Issues concerning the documentation process of the model

Input from Jon Johnson

Things I would like to see if we can wrap up this week are.

* Getting a latest set of rst (reStructured Text) source from lion into BitBucket, probably want to do this as a manual step so the site is correct for the review
	+ Answer: With the background of very limited resources on the Drupal site, the focus is currently on the final goal, i.e. having only one stream from lion, i.e. the XMI file with included documentation. The documentation will be in rst format. Then the rst will be extracted from the XMI in a next step into separate files per package and class.
* Being able to throw up a separate readthedocs for the review (hence a above)
* Can we also get Views into readthedocs
	+ Answer: We are currently trying to find a way to generate specific documentation for Views, including only the used properties and relationships. See the documents [Restrictions of Classes for the Purpose of a Functional View](https://ddi-alliance.atlassian.net/wiki/display/DDI4/Documents%2Bfrom%2BSprint?preview=/38240266/38240281/restrictions-in-functional-views.docx) + [YAML and Markdown](https://ddi-alliance.atlassian.net/wiki/display/DDI4/Documents%2Bfrom%2BSprint?preview=/38240266/38240289/markdown-and-yaml.docx). How to get this into readthedocs is still an open issue.
* Ideas for what else needs writing for readthedocs and hence to go in the Review documentation (high level)
* Are we in a position to do a simple XML example version of something that actually uses the schema?

If we can get the packages and Views in RTD (Read the Docs), then we can generate some half decent documentation, otherwise it will be another dogs breakfast…

The implementation of an alternative way to get reStructured Text out of LION through XMI is projected by Oliver Hopt for the next two weeks. If this time is not enough to accomplish the task, he will inform about.