Australia

Clive Bean, Queensland University of Technology
Ian McAllister, The Australian National University
Juliet Pietsch, The Australian National University
Rachel Kay Gibson, University of Manchester

Australian Election Study, 2013

Study Documentation

October 5, 2016

Metadata Production

Metadata Producer(s)	Australian Data Archive (ADA) , The Australian National University
Production Date	October 13, 2010
Version	Version 1.0
Identification	au.edu.anu.ada.ddi.01259

Table of Contents

Australian Election Study, 2013

Overview

Type	Australian Election Study
Identification	au.edu.anu.ada.ddi.01259
Version	Production Date: 2014-02-28 Version 1.0 Notes Version 1.0 First release

Abstract

The 2013 Australian Election Study (au.edu.anu.ada.ddi.01259) is the tenth in a series of surveys beginning in 1987 that have been timed to coincide with Australian Federal Elections. The series also builds on the 1967, 1969 and 1979 Australian Political Attitudes Surveys. The Australian Election Studies aim to provide a long-term perspective on stability and change in the political attitudes and behaviour of the Australian electorate, and investigate the changing social bases of Australian politics as the economy and society modernise and change character. In addition to these long-term goals, they examine the political issues prevalent in the current election and assess their importance for the election result.

The 2013 survey replicates many questions from the previous Australian Election Studies, but also introduces new questions regarding immigrants to Australia. Other sections cover the respondent's interest in the election campaign and politics, their past and present political affiliation, evaluation of parties and candidates, alignment with parties on various election issues, evaluation of the current economic situation, and attitudes to a range of election issues including global warming, taxation, education, unemployment, health and Medicare, refugees and asylum seekers, and population policy. Opinions on social policy issues including abortion, equal opportunities, same sex marriages, and Australia's security were also covered in the 2013 Australian Election Study.

Background variables include level of education, employment status, occupation, type of employer, position at workplace, trade union membership, sex, age, own and parents' country of birth, parents' political preferences, religion, marital status, income, and where applicable, the occupation, trade union membership and political preference of the respondent's partner.

The 2013 Australian Election Study is the second in the AES series to provide the option of completing the questionnaire online via a unique password, or via hardcopy. 576 of the 3,955 responses (14.6 percent) were completed online.

This data file is also supplemented with division level election results from the Australian Electoral Commission election results website (http://www.aec.gov.au/Elections/Federal_Elections/2013/index.htm). These variables are found under the variable group "AEC Election Results". Information on the source of these election results and the method by which they were created is available in a Stata *.do syntax file located in the other study materials section.results and the method by which they were created is available in a Stata *.do syntax file located in the other study materials section.

Kind of Data	survey data
Unit of Analysis	Individual

Scope & Coverage

Keywords	Attitudes, Defence, Economic policy, Elections, Environment, Ethnic groups, Immigration, International relations, Internet, Political parties, Politicians, Politics, Republicanism, Shares, Social policy, Taxation
Topics	Politics, Election and Campaign Studies
Time Period(s)	2013-2014
Countries	Australia

Geographic Coverage
National

Universe
Australian adults aged 18 years or over, enrolled and eligible to vote in Australian elections

Producers & Sponsors

Primary Investigator(s)	Clive Bean, Queensland University of Technology Ian McAllister, The Australian National University Juliet Pietsch, The Australian National University Rachel Kay Gibson, University of Manchester
Other Producer(s)	Clive Bean (QUT) , Queensland University of Technology , Primary Investigator Ian McAllister (ANU) , Australian National University , Primary Investigator The Social Research Centre (SRC) , Data Collectors
Funding Agency/ies	Australian Research Council (ARC)
Other Acknowledgment(s)	, Data collector , Social Research Centre , Data collector , Social Research Centre

Sampling

Sampling Procedure
One-stage stratified or systematic random sample See Technical Report for further details

Deviations from Sample Design
None

Response Rate
Of a total mailing of 12,200, there were 3,955 completed returns - with 3,379 mail returns and 576 online returns - giving a raw response rate of 32.4 percent. An adjusted response rate of 34.2 percent was calculated by removing the Out of Scope sample (deceased, incapable, return to sender, n=530).

Weighting
Data was weighted by: Sex, Age and State (based on AEC enrolment data for the 2013 election) and party vote (based on AEC final election vote tallies). See Appendix A4 of the Technical Report for further details.

Data Collection

Data Collection Dates	start 2013-09-06 end 2014-01-06
Time Period(s)	start 2013-09-01 end 2013-09-06
Data Collection Mode	Postal survey with online completion option
Data Collection Notes	An analysis of response patterns and sample performance is included in Sections 3 and 4 of the Technical Report.
Questionnaires 💬 Structured	
Data Collector(s)	The Social Research Centre (SRC)
Supervision	

1. Follow-up mail-outs

One week after the initial mail out, a reminder / thank you card was sent to all respondents, excluding those that had opted out of the survey (n=12,145). Approximately two weeks after this, the Social Research Centre downloaded a list of completed surveys from the online survey provider, and along with Datatime Services, determined all records that remained incomplete. A reminder survey booklet was then mailed on 4th October to 9,057 respondents. A reminder letter was then sent on 11th October to participants who had yet to respond (n=8,728), followed by a second and final reminder letter to all remaining non-respondents on 1 November (n=7,276).

2. Survey Helpline

A survey helpline, including an 1800 number and email address was printed on all survey materials to give sample members the opportunity to seek clarification or ask for assistance regarding any survey matters. This included, but was not limited help establishing survey bona fides, encourage response and record opt-outs to the survey.

The 1800 number and email address were operational from September 6 until the end of the fieldwork period. All calls to the 1800 number not answered in real time (all operators currently busy or the call was received outside hours of operation) were routed to a messaging service and returned within 24 hours. All emails were also responded to within a 24 hour period, unless received over the weekend.

The 1800 number operators were fully briefed on survey background and procedures, the administration of the survey, and response maximisation techniques.

3. Telephone follow-up

Approximately one-third of the way through fieldwork, it was agreed that a telephone follow up would be conducted to try and maximise response to the Candidates survey. A total of 394 records were included as part of the follow up activity.

Data Processing & Appraisal

Data Editing

The data was checked for out of range codes and that the skip patterns were followed. In consultation with the principal investigators, cleaning rules were developed for interpreting multiple responses for single response questions. Coding rules were also developed in consultation with the Principal Investigator where required. See Technical Report for further details.

Accessibility

Contact(s)	Ian McAllister (Research School of Social Sciences, The Australian National University, ACTON, ACT, 0200) , http://aes.anu.edu.au
Distributor(s)	Australian Data Archive
Depositor(s)	Ian McAllister

Confidentiality

A copy of the User Undertaking Form must be signed before the data can be accessed.

Citation Requirements

All manuscripts based in whole or in part on these data should:

(i) identify the data and original investigators by using the recommended bibliographic reference to the data file;

(ii) acknowledge the Australian Data Archive and, where the data are made available through the Australian Data Archive by another archive, acknowledge that archive;

(iii) declare that those who carried out the original analysis and collection of the data bear no responsibility for the further analysis or interpretation of them.

Rights & Disclaimer

Disclaimer

Copyright	

Files Description

Dataset contains 1 file(s)

aes2013_unrestrictedvars_PLUS_AEC	
# Cases	3955
# Variable(s)	354

Variables Group(s)

Dataset contains 12 group(s)

Group Administration Variables

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	DivisNum	Electoral Division - AES numeric code	continuous	numeric-8.2	3955	0	-
2	uniqueid	Unique Identifier	continuous	numeric-8.0	3955	0	-
3	MODE	Mode of completion	discrete	numeric-2.0	3955	0	-
4	DATECOMP	Date of return (Responses received)	discrete	character-11	3955	-	-
5	LODGGRP	Lodgement Group	discrete	numeric-2.0	3955	0	-
6	State	State (from sample)	discrete	numeric-1.0	3955	0	-
7	Postcode	Postcode (from sample)	discrete	numeric-4.0	3955	0	-
8	Division	Division (from sample)	discrete	character-255	3955	0	-
9	Part_Onl	Flag -Survey has partial completion only - Online respondent	discrete	numeric-1.0	44	3911	-

Group Section A: The Election Campaign

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	A1	A1. Interest in politics	discrete	numeric-2.0	3942	13	Generally speaking, how much interest do you usually have in what's going on in politics?
2	A2	A2. Followed election news in the newspapers	discrete	numeric-2.0	3938	17	How much attention did you pay to reports about the election campaign in the newspapers - a good deal, some, not much or none at all?
3	A3P1	A3. Followed election news on TV	discrete	numeric-2.0	3890	65	Did you follow the election campaign news on television—a good deal, some, not much or none at all?
4	A3P2	A3. Followed election news on the radio	discrete	numeric-2.0	3423	532	And did you follow the election campaign news on the radio?
5	A3P3	A3. Followed election news on the internet	discrete	numeric-2.0	3211	744	And how about the internet—did you follow the election campaign news on the internet?
6	A4	A4. Interest in election campaign	discrete	numeric-2.0	3915	40	And how much interest would you say you took in the election campaign overall?
7	A5P1	A5. During election: discussed politics with others	discrete	numeric-2.0	3919	36	Discuss politics with others in person (i.e. face to face or over the phone)
8	A5P6	A5. During election: discussed politics with others online	discrete	numeric-2.0	3810	145	Discuss politics with others online (i.e.through email or on a social network site like Facebook or Twitter)
9	A5P2	A5. During election: talk to people about vote	discrete	numeric-2.0	3836	119	Talk to other people to persuade them to vote for a particular party or candidate
10	A5P3	A5. During election: work for party/candidate	discrete	numeric-2.0	3845	110	Show your support for a particular party or candidate by, for example, attending

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
							a meeting, putting up a poster, or in some other way
11	A5P4	A5. During election: go to meetings or rallies	discrete	numeric-2.0	3837	118	Go to any political meetings or rallies
12	A5P5	A5. During campaign: contribute money	discrete	numeric-2.0	3815	140	Contribute money to a political party or election candidate
13	A6	A6. Watched televised debate 11 August	discrete	numeric-2.0	3818	137	Did you watch the televised debate between Kevin Rudd and Tony Abbott on Sunday 11 August?
14	A7	A7. Who performed better in televised debate	discrete	numeric-2.0	3624	331	From what you saw or what you heard or read about it, who do you think performed better in the debate—Rudd or Abbott?
15	A8P1	A8. Candidate persuade you to vote for them - No	discrete	numeric-2.0	1529	2426	No
16	A8P2	A8. Candidate persuade you to vote for them - By telephone	discrete	numeric-2.0	628	3327	Yes, by telephone
17	A8P3	A8. Candidate persuade you to vote for them - By mail	discrete	numeric-2.0	2019	1936	Yes, by mail
18	A8P4	A8. Candidate persuade you to vote for them - By face-to-face	discrete	numeric-2.0	158	3797	Yes, by face-to-face
19	A8P5	A8. Candidate persuade you to vote for them - By text message or SMS	discrete	numeric-2.0	59	3896	Yes, by text message or SMS
20	A8P6	A8. Candidate persuade you to vote for them - By email	discrete	numeric-2.0	184	3771	Yes, by email
21	A8P7	A8. Candidate persuade you to vote for them - By social network site or other web-based method	discrete	numeric-2.0	123	3832	Yes, by social network site or other web-based method
22	A9P1	A9. Which parties or candidates contacted you by any of these means - None	discrete	numeric-2.0	1381	2574	None
23	A9P2	A9. Which parties or candidates contacted you by any of these means - Liberal	discrete	numeric-2.0	2070	1885	Liberal
24	A9P3	A9. Which parties or candidates contacted you by any of these means - Labor	discrete	numeric-2.0	1626	2329	Labor
25	A9P4	A9. Which parties or candidates contacted you by any of these means - National	discrete	numeric-2.0	331	3624	National
26	A9P5	A9. Which parties or candidates contacted you by any of these means - Greens	discrete	numeric-2.0	670	3285	Greens
27	A9P6	A9. Which parties or candidates contacted you by any of these means - Other party or candidate	discrete	numeric-2.0	479	3476	Other party or candidate
28	A10P1	A10. Try to persuade you to vote for particular party or candidate - No	discrete	numeric-2.0	3190	765	No

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
29	A10P2	A10. Try to persuade you to vote for particular party or candidate - By telephone	discrete	numeric-2.0	69	3886	Yes, by telephone
30	A10P3	A10. Try to persuade you to vote for particular party or candidate - By mail	discrete	numeric-2.0	27	3928	Yes, by mail
31	A10P4	A10. Try to persuade you to vote for particular party or candidate - By face-to-face	discrete	numeric-2.0	542	3413	Yes, by face-to-face
32	A10P5	A10. Try to persuade you to vote for particular party or candidate - By text message or SMS	discrete	numeric-2.0	15	3940	Yes, by text message or SMS
33	A10P6	A10. Try to persuade you to vote for particular party or candidate - By email	discrete	numeric-2.0	40	3915	Yes, by email
34	A10P7	A10. Try to persuade you to vote for particular party or candidate - By social network site or other web-based method	discrete	numeric-2.0	205	3750	Yes, by social network site or other web-based method
35	A11	A11. Should voting be compulsory	discrete	numeric-2.0	3922	33	Do you think that voting at Federal elections should be compulsory, or do you think that people should only have to vote if they want to?
36	A12	A12. Would you vote if voting not compulsory	discrete	numeric-2.0	3926	29	Would you have voted in the election if voting had not been compulsory?
37	A13P1	A13. How much confidence vote accurately recorded and counted - A paper ballot in a polling booth on election day	discrete	numeric-2.0	3775	180	A paper ballot in a polling booth on election day
38	A13P2	A13. How much confidence vote accurately recorded and counted - A paper ballot at a pre-poll centre before election day	discrete	numeric-2.0	3539	416	A paper ballot at a pre-poll centre before election day
39	A13P3	A13. How much confidence vote accurately recorded and counted - A postal ballot before election day	discrete	numeric-2.0	3492	463	A postal ballot before election day
40	A13P4	A13. How much confidence vote accurately recorded and counted - An electronic voting machine in a polling booth on election day	discrete	numeric-2.0	3446	509	An electronic voting machine in a polling booth on election day
41	A13P5	A13. How much confidence vote accurately recorded and counted - Online via the internet before election day	discrete	numeric-2.0	3446	509	Online via the internet before election day
42	A13P6	A13. How much confidence vote accurately recorded and counted - Via a smart phone or SMS text before election day	discrete	numeric-2.0	3437	518	Via a smart phone or SMS text before election day
43	A14	A14. Use internet to get news on 2013 election	discrete	numeric-2.0	3882	73	Did you make use of the internet at all to get news or information about the 2013 Federal election?

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
44	A15P1	A15. Website: Party or candidate campaign sites	discrete	numeric-2.0	420	3535	Party or candidate campaign sites (e.g. home pages, blogs, official Facebook profiles, official YouTube channels)
45	A15P2	A15. Website: Mainstream news media	discrete	numeric-2.0	1067	2888	Mainstream news media (e.g. ABC Online, SMH site etc)
46	A15P3	A15. Website: Unofficial online videos	discrete	numeric-2.0	294	3661	Unofficial online videos (i.e. non-party produced) with campaign or political content (e.g.YouTube)
47	A15P4	A15. Website: Federal parliament	discrete	numeric-2.0	61	3894	Federal Parliament site
48	A15P5	A15. Website: Australian Electoral Commission	discrete	numeric-2.0	483	3472	Australian Electoral Commission site
49	A16P1	A16. Activities online - Signed up to receive information from a party or candidate and/or registered as a follower/friend/supporter on Twitter or Facebook	discrete	numeric-2.0	159	3796	Signed up to receive information from a party or candidate and/or registered as their follower/friend/supporter on Twitter or Facebook
50	A16P2	A16. Activities online - Used online tools to promote parties and candidates	discrete	numeric-2.0	116	3839	Used online tools to promote parties and candidates (e.g. shared, posted or reposted official campaign information on a blog, Twitter feed or social network profile)
51	A16P3	A16. Activities online - Shared, posted or reposted any non-official content	discrete	numeric-2.0	309	3646	Shared, posted, or reposted any non-official content (e.g. links to videos, news stories, jokes) on a blog, Twitter feed or social network profile
52	A16P4	A16. Activities online - Joined or started a political or election related group on a social networking site	discrete	numeric-2.0	56	3899	Joined or started a political or election related group on a social networking site (e.g. Facebook, MySpace, Bebo etc.)

Group Section B: Party Preference and Voting

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	B1	B1. Party identification	discrete	numeric-2.0	3951	4	Generally speaking, do you usually think of yourself as Liberal, Labor, National or what?
2	XB1	B1. Extended-Party identification	discrete	numeric-2.0	3943	12	Generally speaking, do you usually think of yourself as Liberal, Labor, National or what?
3	B2	B2. Strength of party identification	discrete	numeric-2.0	3395	560	Would you call yourself a very strong, fairly strong, or not very strong supporter of that party?
4	B3	B3. Care which party wins election	discrete	numeric-2.0	3938	17	Would you say you cared a good deal which party won the Federal election or that you did not care very much which party won?
5	B4	B4. When decided which way to vote	discrete	numeric-2.0	3935	20	When did you decide how you would definitely vote in this election?
6	B5	B5. Most important in vote decision	discrete	numeric-2.0	3921	34	In deciding how you would vote in the election, which was most important to you?
7	B6	B6. Prefer when Govt controls both houses	discrete	numeric-2.0	3888	67	Which do you think is better—when the Federal Government has a majority

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
							in both the House of Representatives and the Senate, OR when the Federal Government in the House of Representatives does not control the Senate?
8	B7	B7. Difference between Labor and Liberal	discrete	numeric-2.0	3918	37	Considering everything the Labor Party and the Liberal Party stand for, would you say there is....
9	B8OWN	B8. Own left-right position	discrete	numeric-2.0	3453	502	In politics, people sometimes talk about the 'left' and the 'right'. Where would you place yourself on a scale from 0 to 10, where 0 means the left and 10 means the right?
10	B8LIB	B8. Liberal left-right position	discrete	numeric-2.0	3532	423	Liberal Party
11	B8ALP	B8. Labor left-right position	discrete	numeric-2.0	3466	489	Labor Party (ALP)
12	B8NAT	B8. Nationals left-right position	discrete	numeric-2.0	3344	611	National Party
13	B8GRN	B8. Greens left-right position	discrete	numeric-2.0	3331	624	Greens
14	B9REPS	B9. Vote in House of Representatives	discrete	numeric-2.0	3930	25	House of Representatives
15	XB9REPS	B9. Extended - Vote in House of Representatives	discrete	numeric-2.0	3901	54	House of Representatives
16	B9SENAT	B9. Vote in Senate	discrete	numeric-2.0	3931	24	Senate
17	XB9SENAT	B9. Extended - Vote in Senate	discrete	numeric-2.0	3893	62	Senate
18	B10REPS	B10. Preferences to in House of Reps	discrete	numeric-2.0	639	3316	House of Representatives
19	B10SENAT	B10. Preferences to in Senate	discrete	numeric-2.0	861	3094	Senate
20	B11	B11. Followed How to Vote card for H of R	discrete	numeric-2.0	3803	152	In voting for the House of Representatives, did you follow a party 'How to Vote' card or did you decide your own preferences?
21	B12	B12. Thought of changing vote during campaign	discrete	numeric-2.0	3787	168	Was there any time during the election campaign when you seriously thought you might give your first preference to another party in the House of Representatives? Please cross one box only
22	B13	B13. Vote in House of Representatives in 2010	discrete	numeric-2.0	3842	113	In the last Federal election in August 2010, when Labor was led by Julia Gillard and the Liberals by Tony Abbott, which party got your first preference then in the House of Representatives election?
23	B14P1	B14. Previous vote - always same	discrete	numeric-2.0	1955	2000	Always voted for the same party
24	B14P2	B14. Previous vote - sometimes Liberal	discrete	numeric-2.0	628	3327	Sometimes voted Liberal
25	B14P3	B14. Previous vote - sometimes Labor	discrete	numeric-2.0	744	3211	Sometimes voted Labor (ALP)
26	B14P4	B14. Previous vote - sometimes National (Country)	discrete	numeric-2.0	128	3827	Sometimes voted National (Country)

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
27	B14P6	B14. Previous vote - sometimes Greens	discrete	numeric-2.0	376	3579	Sometimes voted Greens
28	B14P7	B14. Previous vote - sometimes other party/independent	discrete	numeric-2.0	595	3360	Sometimes voted for other party/independent
29	B14P8	B14. Previous vote - not before this election	discrete	numeric-2.0	142	3813	Did not vote before this election
30	B15	B15. Political parties care what people think	discrete	numeric-2.0	3876	79	Some people say that political parties in Australia care what ordinary people think. Others say that political parties in Australia don't care what ordinary people think. Where would you place your view on this scale from 1 to 5?
31	B16	B16. Political parties make system work	discrete	numeric-2.0	3871	84	Where would you place your view on this scale from 1 to 5, where 1 means that political parties are necessary to make our political system work, and 5 means that political parties are not needed in Australia?
32	B17LIB	B17. Feelings about Liberal Party	discrete	numeric-2.0	3814	141	Liberal Party
33	B17ALP	B17. Feelings about Labor Party	discrete	numeric-2.0	3797	158	Labor Party (ALP)
34	B17NAT	B17. Feelings about National Party	discrete	numeric-2.0	3722	233	National Party
35	B17GRN	B17. Feelings about Greens	discrete	numeric-2.0	3721	234	Greens

Group Section C: Politicians and Government

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	C1RUDD	C1. Feelings about Kevin Rudd	discrete	numeric-2.0	3836	119	Kevin Rudd
2	C1ABBOTT	C1. Feelings about Tony Abbott	discrete	numeric-2.0	3844	111	Tony Abbott
3	C1TRUSS	C1. Feelings about Warren Truss	discrete	numeric-2.0	3717	238	Warren Truss
4	C1JULIA	C1. Feelings about Julia Gillard	discrete	numeric-2.0	3787	168	Julia Gillard
5	C1MILNE	C1. Feelings about Christine Milne	discrete	numeric-2.0	3753	202	Christine Milne
6	C2KRINT	C2. Kevin Rudd - Intelligent	discrete	numeric-2.0	3811	144	Intelligent
7	C2KRCMP	C2. Kevin Rudd - Compassionate	discrete	numeric-2.0	3772	183	Compassionate
8	C2KRCMPT	C2. Kevin Rudd - Competent	discrete	numeric-2.0	3777	178	Competent
9	C2KRSEN	C2. Kevin Rudd - Sensible	discrete	numeric-2.0	3764	191	Sensible
10	C2KRLEA	C2. Kevin Rudd - Provides strong leadership	discrete	numeric-2.0	3784	171	Provides strong leadership
11	C2KRHON	C2. Kevin Rudd - Honest	discrete	numeric-2.0	3784	171	Honest
12	C2KRKNO	C2. Kevin Rudd - Knowledgeable	discrete	numeric-2.0	3775	180	Knowledgeable
13	C2KRINS	C2. Kevin Rudd - Inspiring	discrete	numeric-2.0	3765	190	Inspiring

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
14	C2KRTRU	C2. Kevin Rudd - Trustworthy	discrete	numeric-2.0	3775	180	Trustworthy
15	C3TAINT	C3. Tony Abbott - Intelligent	discrete	numeric-2.0	3817	138	Intelligent
16	C3TACMP	C3. Tony Abbott - Compassionate	discrete	numeric-2.0	3773	182	Compassionate
17	C3TACMPT	C3. Tony Abbott - Competent	discrete	numeric-2.0	3774	181	Competent
18	C3TASEN	C3. Tony Abbott - Sensible	discrete	numeric-2.0	3768	187	Sensible
19	C3TALEA	C3. Tony Abbott - Provides strong leadership	discrete	numeric-2.0	3780	175	Provides strong leadership
20	C3TAHON	C3. Tony Abbott - Honest	discrete	numeric-2.0	3775	180	Honest
21	C3TAKNO	C3. Tony Abbott - Knowledgeable	discrete	numeric-2.0	3781	174	Knowledgeable
22	C3TAINS	C3. Tony Abbott - Inspiring	discrete	numeric-2.0	3764	191	Inspiring
23	C3TATRU	C3. Tony Abbott - Trustworthy	discrete	numeric-2.0	3776	179	Trustworthy
24	C4	C4. Satisfied with Aust democracy	discrete	numeric-2.0	3854	101	On the whole, are you very satisfied, fairly satisfied, not very satisfied or not at all satisfied with the way democracy works in Australia?
25	C5	C5. Government looks after themselves	discrete	numeric-2.0	3861	94	In general, do you feel that the people in government are too often interested in looking after themselves, or do you feel that they can be trusted to do the right thing nearly all the time?
26	C6	C6. Government run by big interests	discrete	numeric-2.0	3851	104	Would you say the government is run by a few big interests looking out for themselves, or that it is run for the benefit of all the people?
27	C7P6	C7. 5 yrs done to express views-contact official via email	discrete	numeric-2.0	3670	285	Contacted a politician or government official by email
28	C7P1	C7. 5 yrs done to express views-contact official in person or in writing	discrete	numeric-2.0	3655	300	Contacted a politician or government official either in person, or in writing
29	C7P2	C7. 5 yrs done to express views-protest/march	discrete	numeric-2.0	3628	327	Taken part in a protest, march or demonstration
30	C7P3	C7. 5 yrs done to express views-work with like others	discrete	numeric-2.0	3643	312	Worked together with people who shared the same concern
31	C7P4	C7. 5 yrs done to express views-signed written petition	discrete	numeric-2.0	3725	230	Signed a written petition
32	C7P5	C7. 5 yrs done to express views-signed e-petition	discrete	numeric-2.0	3637	318	Signed an online or e-petition
33	C8	C8. Fed Politicians know what people think	discrete	numeric-2.0	3841	114	Some people say that Federal politicians know what ordinary people think. Others say that Federal politicians don't know much about what ordinary people think. Where would you place your view on this scale from 1 to 5?
34	C9	C9. Doesn't make a difference who in power	discrete	numeric-2.0	3860	95	Some people say it makes a big difference who is in power. Others say it doesn't make any difference who is

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
							in power. Using the scale below, where would you place yourself?
35	C10	C10. Doesn't make a difference who vote for	discrete	numeric-2.0	3861	94	Some people say that no matter who people vote for, it won't make any difference to what happens. Others say that who people vote for can make a big difference to what happens. Using the scale below, where would you place yourself?

Group Section D: Election Issues

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	D1TAX	D1. Importance of Taxation	discrete	numeric-2.0	3793	162	Taxation
2	D1IMMIG	D1. Importance of Immigration	discrete	numeric-2.0	3817	138	Immigration
3	D1EDUC	D1. Importance of Education	discrete	numeric-2.0	3821	134	Education
4	D1ENVIR	D1. Importance of The environment	discrete	numeric-2.0	3811	144	The environment
5	D1INDREL	D1. Importance of Industrial relations	discrete	numeric-2.0	3786	169	Industrial relations
6	D1HEALTH	D1. Importance of Health and Medicare	discrete	numeric-2.0	3836	119	Health and Medicare
7	D1REAS	D1. Importance of Refugees and asylum seekers	discrete	numeric-2.0	3818	137	Refugees and asylum seekers
8	D1GLOBAL	D1. Importance of Global warming	discrete	numeric-2.0	3806	149	Global warming
9	D1CARBON	D1. Importance of The carbon tax	discrete	numeric-2.0	3807	148	The carbon tax
10	D1ECONO	D1. Importance of Management of the economy	discrete	numeric-2.0	3822	133	Management of the economy
11	D2TAX	D2. Party closest to own view Taxation	discrete	numeric-2.0	3755	200	Taxation
12	D2IMMIG	D2. Party closest to own view Immigration	discrete	numeric-2.0	3762	193	Immigration
13	D2EDUC	D2. Party closest to own view Education	discrete	numeric-2.0	3770	185	Education
14	D2ENVIR	D2. Party closest to own view The environment	discrete	numeric-2.0	3748	207	The environment
15	D2INDREL	D2. Party closest to own view Industrial relations	discrete	numeric-2.0	3759	196	Industrial relations
16	D2HEALTH	D2. Party closest to own view Health and Medicare	discrete	numeric-2.0	3780	175	Health and Medicare
17	D2REAS	D2. Party closest to own view Refugees and asylum seekers	discrete	numeric-2.0	3769	186	Refugees and asylum seekers
18	D2GLOBAL	D2. Party closest to own view Global warming	discrete	numeric-2.0	3745	210	Global warming
19	D2CARBON	D2. Party closest to own view The carbon tax	discrete	numeric-2.0	3765	190	The carbon tax

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
20	D2ECONO	D2. Party closest to own view Management of the economy	discrete	numeric-2.0	3776	179	Management of the economy
21	D3P1	D3. Most important issue during election campaign	discrete	numeric-2.0	3587	368	Issue of most concern
22	D3P2	D3. Second important issue during election campaign	discrete	numeric-2.0	3491	464	Second issue of concern
23	D4HHOLD	D4. Financial situation of hhold now to 1 yr ago	discrete	numeric-2.0	3841	114	Financial situation of your household
24	D4CNTRY	D4. Economic situation country now to 1 yr ago	discrete	numeric-2.0	3788	167	Economic situation in Australia
25	D5HHOLD	D5. Effect of govt policies on hhold situation	discrete	numeric-2.0	3870	85	Financial situation of your household
26	D5CNTRY	D5. Effect of govt policies on country situation	discrete	numeric-2.0	3819	136	Economic situation in Australia
27	D6HHOLD	D6. Financial situation of hhold in 1 yr	discrete	numeric-2.0	3868	87	Financial situation of your household
28	D6CNTRY	D6. Economic situation country in 1 yr	discrete	numeric-2.0	3831	124	Economic situation in Australia
29	D7HHOLD	D7. Effect of govt policies on hhold situation in 1 yr	discrete	numeric-2.0	3851	104	Financial situation of your household
30	D7CNTRY	D7. Effect of govt policies on country situation in 1 yr	discrete	numeric-2.0	3809	146	Economic situation in Australia
31	D8P1	D8. More or less expenditure - Health	discrete	numeric-2.0	3851	104	Health
32	D8P2	D8. More or less expenditure - Education	discrete	numeric-2.0	3818	137	Education
33	D8P3	D8. More or less expenditure - Unemployment benefits	discrete	numeric-2.0	3790	165	Unemployment benefits
34	D8P4	D8. More or less expenditure - Defence	discrete	numeric-2.0	3786	169	Defence
35	D8P5	D8. More or less expenditure - Old-age pensions	discrete	numeric-2.0	3836	119	Old-age pensions
36	D8P6	D8. More or less expenditure - Business and industry	discrete	numeric-2.0	3788	167	Business and industry
37	D8P7	D8. More or less expenditure - Police and law enforcement	discrete	numeric-2.0	3819	136	Police and law enforcement
38	D8P8	D8. More or less expenditure - Welfare benefits	discrete	numeric-2.0	3818	137	Welfare benefits
39	D9	D9. Improve standard of living next 10 years	discrete	numeric-2.0	3868	87	Over the next ten years or so, how likely or unlikely is it that you will improve your standard of living?
40	D10	D10. Satisfaction with present state of Australian economy	discrete	numeric-2.0	3870	85	On the whole, how satisfied are you with the present state of the economy in Australia?
41	D11	D11. Likelihood household income severely reduced next 12 months	discrete	numeric-2.0	3876	79	How likely or unlikely do you think it is that your household's income could be severely reduced in the next 12 months?
42	D12P1	D12. Ease of finding another job next 12 months - You	discrete	numeric-2.0	3350	605	You

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
43	D12P2	D12. Ease of finding another job next 12 months - Spouse/partner	discrete	numeric-2.0	3312	643	Your spouse / partner
44	D13P1	D13. High tax makes people less willing to work hard	discrete	numeric-2.0	3805	150	High income tax makes people less willing to work hard
45	D13P2	D13. Trade unions have too much power	discrete	numeric-2.0	3807	148	The trade unions in this country have too much power
46	D13P3	D13. Big business has too much power	discrete	numeric-2.0	3808	147	Big business in this country has too much power
47	D13P4	D13. Income and wealth should be redistributed	discrete	numeric-2.0	3814	141	Income and wealth should be redistributed towards ordinary working people
48	D13P5	D13. Stricter laws to regulate trade unions	discrete	numeric-2.0	3810	145	There should be stricter laws to regulate the activities of trade unions
49	D13P6	D13. Government should take measures to reduce difference in income levels	discrete	numeric-2.0	3815	140	The government should take measures to reduce differences in income levels

Group Section E: Social Policy

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	E1	E1. Choice between taxes and social services	discrete	numeric-2.0	3853	102	If the government had a choice between reducing taxes or spending more on social services, which do you think it should do?
2	E2MIGEO	E2. Equal opportunities for migrants	discrete	numeric-2.0	3815	140	Equal opportunities for migrants
3	E2NUDSEX	E2. Nudity & sex in films and magazines	discrete	numeric-2.0	3819	136	The right to show nudity and sex in films and magazines
4	E2ABLAND	E2. Aboriginal land rights	discrete	numeric-2.0	3823	132	Aboriginal land rights
5	E2EQUOP	E2. Equal opportunities for women	discrete	numeric-2.0	3823	132	Equal opportunities for women
6	E2IMMIG	E2. No. of migrants allowed into Australia	discrete	numeric-2.0	3836	119	The number of migrants allowed into Australia at the present time
7	E2ABOR	E2. Government help for aborigines	discrete	numeric-2.0	3828	127	Government help for Aborigines
8	E2ASIA	E2. Building closer links with Asia	discrete	numeric-2.0	3830	125	Building closer relations with Asia
9	E3	E3. Feelings about abortion	discrete	numeric-2.0	3863	92	Which one of these statements comes closest to how you feel about abortion in Australia?
10	E4	E4. Best way of handling asylum seekers	discrete	numeric-2.0	3836	119	What do you think is the best way to handle the processing and resettlement of asylum seekers who come by boat and manage to reach Australian waters?
11	E5P1	E5. Gender difference occurs because - Women are discriminated against in politics and the workplace	discrete	numeric-2.0	3809	146	Women are discriminated against in politics and the workplace
12	E5P2	E5. Gender difference occurs because - Many men are unwilling to take on greater responsibilities at home to	discrete	numeric-2.0	3776	179	Many men are unwilling to take on greater responsibilities at home to allow women to move into top leadership positions

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
		allow women to move into top leadership positions					
13	E5P3	E5. Gender difference occurs because - Women lack the drive and ambition needed to make it to the top	discrete	numeric-2.0	3770	185	Women lack the drive and ambition needed to make it to the top
14	E5P4	E5. Gender difference occurs because - Most women are not tough enough to be good leaders	discrete	numeric-2.0	3770	185	Most women are not tough enough to be good leaders
15	E6DEATHP	E6. Death penalty reintroduced for murder	discrete	numeric-2.0	3846	109	The death penalty should be reintroduced for murder
16	E6MARIJ	E6. Decriminalise smoking of marijuana	discrete	numeric-2.0	3838	117	The smoking of marijuana should NOT be a criminal offence
17	E6LAWBRK	E6. Stiffer sentences if break law	discrete	numeric-2.0	3841	114	People who break the law should be given stiffer sentences
18	E6PREF	E6. Women given preferential treatment	discrete	numeric-2.0	3837	118	Women should be given preferential treatment when applying for jobs and promotions
19	E6BOATS	E6. Turn back boats carrying asylum seekers	discrete	numeric-2.0	3844	111	All boats carrying asylum seekers should be turned back
20	E6SAME	E6. Same-sex marriages prohibited by law	discrete	numeric-2.0	3827	128	Same-sex marriages should be prohibited by law
21	E6WHITE	E6. White Australians advantaged applying for jobs	discrete	numeric-2.0	3836	119	White Australians are advantaged over others in applying for jobs
22	E6ETHNIC	E6. People think of themselves as members of ethnic groups rather than individuals	discrete	numeric-2.0	3832	123	It's a problem if people think of themselves mostly as members of ethnic groups rather than as individuals
23	E6OPP	E6. Increase business opportunities for women	discrete	numeric-2.0	3830	125	The government should increase opportunities for women in business and industry
24	E7P1	E7. Aims 10yrs 1-First choice	discrete	numeric-2.0	3783	172	First choice
25	E7P2	E7. Aims 10yrs 1-Second choice	discrete	numeric-2.0	3744	211	Second choice
26	E8	E8. Better or worse for ethnic minority groups past few years	discrete	numeric-2.0	3837	118	Thinking about the past few years, have things generally got better or worse for ethnic minority groups in Australia, or have things stayed much the same?
27	E9	E9. Ethnic prejudice in Australia nowadays	discrete	numeric-2.0	3833	122	Do you think there is a lot of ethnic prejudice in Australia nowadays, a little or hardly any?

Group Section F: General Political Views

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	F1	F1. Importance of Queen	discrete	numeric-2.0	3871	84	How important do you feel the Queen and the Royal Family are to Australia?
2	F2	F2. Australia a republic	discrete	numeric-2.0	3848	107	Do you think that Australia should become a republic with an Australian head of state, or should the Queen be retained as head of state?

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
3	F3	F3. United States alliance under ANZUS	discrete	numeric-2.0	3866	89	How important do you think the Australian alliance with the United States under the ANZUS treaty is for protecting Australia's security?
4	F4	F4. If Australia threatened trust US come to defence	discrete	numeric-2.0	3870	85	If Australia's security were threatened by some other country, how much trust do you feel Australia can have in the United States to come to Australia's defence?
5	F5JAPAN	F5. Japan threat to Aust. security	discrete	numeric-2.0	3686	269	Japan
6	F5US	F5. United States threat to Aust. security	discrete	numeric-2.0	3684	271	United States
7	F5CHINA	F5. China threat to Aust. security	discrete	numeric-2.0	3745	210	China
8	F5VIETN	F5. Vietnam threat to Aust. security	discrete	numeric-2.0	3662	293	Vietnam
9	F5MALAY	F5. Malaysia threat to Aust. security	discrete	numeric-2.0	3678	277	Malaysia
10	F5INDON	F5. Indonesia threat to Aust. security	discrete	numeric-2.0	3773	182	Indonesia
11	F6P1	F6. Aust defence stronger than 10 yrs ago	discrete	numeric-2.0	3839	116	Australia's defence is stronger now than it was 10 years ago
12	F6P4	F6. Aust would be able to defend itself	discrete	numeric-2.0	3831	124	Australia would be able to defend itself successfully if it were ever attacked
13	F6P7	F6. Aust trading future lies with Asia	discrete	numeric-2.0	3826	129	Australia's trading future lies in Asia
14	F6P8	F6. Aust provide military for war on terrorism	discrete	numeric-2.0	3827	128	Australia should provide military assistance for the war on terrorism
15	F7	F7. Number of immigrants increased	discrete	numeric-2.0	3851	104	Do you think the number of immigrants allowed into Australia nowadays should be reduced or increased?
16	F8P1	F8. Immigrants increase crime	discrete	numeric-2.0	3838	117	Immigrants increase the crime rate
17	F8P2	F8. Immigrants good for economy	discrete	numeric-2.0	3835	120	Immigrants are generally good for Australia's economy
18	F8P3	F8. Immigrants take jobs from Aust	discrete	numeric-2.0	3832	123	Immigrants take jobs away from people who are born in Australia
19	F8P4	F8. Immigrants make Aust more open	discrete	numeric-2.0	3839	116	Immigrants make Australia more open to new ideas and cultures
20	F9	F9. Threat of global warming to way of life	discrete	numeric-2.0	3868	87	How serious a threat do you think global warming will pose to you or your way of life in your lifetime?
21	F10	F10. Federal treasurer before Federal election	discrete	numeric-2.0	3737	218	Now a few questions about your interest in and knowledge of politics. If you don't know the answer, just indicate that and move on to the next one. First, which of these persons was the Federal Treasurer before the recent Federal election?
22	F11	F11. Unemployment rate in Australia June 2013	discrete	numeric-2.0	3842	113	What was the current unemployment rate in Australia as of June 2013?

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
23	F12	F12. Which party came in second H of R	discrete	numeric-2.0	3819	136	Which party came in second in seats in the House of Representatives?
24	F13	F13. Secretary-General of the United Nations	discrete	numeric-2.0	3826	129	Who is the current Secretary-General of the United Nations?
25	F14NAMER	F14. Recall Name of Federal MP	discrete	numeric-2.0	3921	34	Name of Federal MP (or Don't recall MP name)
26	F14PARTR	F14. Recall Name of Party - accuracy of recall	discrete	numeric-2.0	3921	34	Party (or Don't recall party)
27	F14PARTY	F14. Name of Party - response given	continuous	numeric-2.0	2780	1175	Party
28	F15	F15. Ever contacted local Federal MP	discrete	numeric-2.0	3856	99	Have you or anyone in your family living here ever contacted your local Federal MP or anyone in his or her office?
29	F16P1	F16. Quiz - Australia became federation in 1901	discrete	numeric-2.0	3798	157	Australia became a federation in 1901
30	F16P2	F16. Quiz - 75 members of House of Reps	discrete	numeric-2.0	3766	189	There are 75 members of the House of Representatives
31	F16P3	F16. Quiz - Constitution changed by High Court	discrete	numeric-2.0	3773	182	The Constitution can only be changed by the High Court
32	F16P4	F16. Quiz - Elections to Senate proportional	discrete	numeric-2.0	3757	198	The Senate election is based on proportional representation
33	F16P5	F16. Quiz - No-one stands unless pay deposit	discrete	numeric-2.0	3787	168	No-one may stand for Federal parliament unless they pay a deposit
34	F16P6	F16. Quiz - Longest time between Fed elections 4 years	discrete	numeric-2.0	3800	155	The longest time allowed between Federal elections for the House of Representatives is four years

Group Section G: Education and Work

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	G1	G1. Age left school	discrete	numeric-2.0	3854	101	Age when left secondary school
2	G1age	G1. Age given (Numeric) (BASE: Age given)	continuous	numeric-2.0	3723	232	Age when left secondary school
3	G2	G2 - Years of tertiary study completed	discrete	numeric-2.0	3286	669	Years of full-time tertiary study
4	G3	G3. Highest qualification since leaving school	discrete	numeric-2.0	3795	160	No qualification, OR Qualified - cross one box for highest qualification only
5	G4	G4 - Work mainly doing last week	discrete	numeric-2.0	3860	95	Now some questions about the work you are doing now. Last week, what were you mainly doing?
6	G5	G5. Occupation (ANZSCO 2 digit)	discrete	numeric-2.0	3378	577	(Derived question based on coding of responses)
7	XG5	G5. Extended-Occupation (ANZSCO 4 digit)	discrete	numeric-2.0	3378	577	(Derived question based on coding of responses)
8	G5POS	G5. Position in organisation	discrete	numeric-2.0	3467	488	Which of the following best describes the position that you hold (or held)?
9	G5EMPLOY	G5. Employer	discrete	numeric-2.0	3548	407	Whom do (or did) you work for?
10	G5SUPERV	G5. Supervisory responsibility	discrete	numeric-2.0	3574	381	As an official part of your job, do (or did) you directly supervise the work of

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
							other employees or tell other employees what work to do?
11	G6	G6. Belong to a trade union	discrete	numeric-2.0	3611	344	Do you belong to a trade union?

Group Section H: Personal Background

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	H1	H1. Sex	discrete	numeric-2.0	3878	77	Are you male or female?
2	H2	H2. Year of birth	continuous	numeric-2.0	3853	102	In what year were you born?
3	Age	Age (derived)	discrete	numeric-3.0	3853	102	In what year were you born?
4	Ageder	Age (categorised - derived)	discrete	numeric-2.0	3851	104	In what year were you born?
5	H3	H3. Aboriginal or Torres Strait Islander	discrete	numeric-2.0	3876	79	Do you identify yourself as Aboriginal or Torres Strait Islander?
6	H4P1	H4. You or household member own - A residence	discrete	numeric-2.0	3807	148	A residence – for example, a home or an apartment
7	H4P2	H4. You or household member own - A business	discrete	numeric-2.0	3260	695	A business, a piece of property, a farm, or livestock
8	H4P3	H4. You or household member own - Stocks or bonds	discrete	numeric-2.0	3325	630	Stocks or bonds
9	H4P4	H4. You or household member own - Any savings	discrete	numeric-2.0	3609	346	Any savings
10	H5DAD	H5. Fathers party ID at 14	discrete	numeric-2.0	3740	215	Father
11	H5MUM	H5. Mothers party ID at 14	discrete	numeric-2.0	3657	298	Mother
12	H6	H6. Religion	discrete	numeric-2.0	3849	106	What is your religion or faith?
13	XH6	H6. Extended-Religion	discrete	numeric-2.0	3846	109	What is your religion or faith?
14	H7	H7. Religious attendance	discrete	numeric-2.0	3841	114	Apart from weddings, funerals and baptisms, about how often do you attend religious services?
15	H8	H8. Marital status	discrete	numeric-2.0	3850	105	What is your current marital status?
16	H9	H9. Own, buying or rent home	discrete	numeric-2.0	3839	116	Do you own outright, are you buying or renting the dwelling in which you now live?
17	H10	H10. How often use internet	discrete	numeric-2.0	3843	112	In general, how often do you use the internet?
18	H11	H11. When start using internet	discrete	numeric-2.0	3229	726	When did you first start using the internet?
19	H12ATTCH	H12. Sent an attachment with an email	discrete	numeric-2.0	2936	1019	Sent an attachment with an email
20	H12MLMED	H12. Posted audio, video or image files	discrete	numeric-2.0	1857	2098	Posted audio, video or image files
21	H12WPBLG	H12. Personally designed a webpage or blog	discrete	numeric-2.0	583	3372	Personally designed a webpage or blog
22	H12DWLD	H12. Downloaded a software program to your computer	discrete	numeric-2.0	2226	1729	Downloaded a software program to your computer
23	H13	H13. Social class	discrete	numeric-2.0	3827	128	Which social class would you say you belong to?
24	H14	H14. Live rural or urban	discrete	numeric-2.0	3829	126	Would you say you now live in …

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
25	H15	H15. Gross annual income	discrete	numeric-2.0	3617	338	What is the gross annual income, before tax or other deductions, for you and your family living with you from all sources? Please include any pensions and allowances, and income from interest or dividends.
26	H16	H16. Prepared to take part in similar survey next Federal election	discrete	numeric-2.0	3808	147	Would you be prepared to take part in a similar survey at the next Federal election?
27	H17	H17. Spouse working now	discrete	numeric-2.0	2555	1400	What was your partner's main activity last week?
28	H18	H18. Spouse Occupation (ANZSCO 2 digit)	discrete	numeric-4.0	2299	1656	(Derived variable based on responses to H18a and H18B)
29	XH18	H18. Extended-Spouse Occupation (ANZSCO 4 digit)	discrete	numeric-4.0	2299	1656	(Derived variable based on responses to H18a and H18B)
30	H19	H19. Spouse employer	discrete	numeric-2.0	2365	1590	Whom does (or did) your partner work for?
31	H20	H20. Does spouse supervise people at work	discrete	numeric-2.0	2396	1559	As an official part of their job, does (or did) your partner directly supervise the work of other employees or tell other employees what work to do?
32	H21	H21. Spouse member of trade union	discrete	numeric-2.0	2414	1541	Does your partner belong to a trade union?
33	H22	H22. Spouse party identification	discrete	numeric-2.0	2511	1444	Generally speaking, does your partner usually think of himself or herself as Liberal, Labor, National or what?
34	XH22	H22. Extended-Spouse party identification	discrete	numeric-2.0	2483	1472	Generally speaking, does your partner usually think of himself or herself as Liberal, Labor, National or what?
35	H23OWN	H23. Country of birth	discrete	numeric-2.0	3795	160	Yourself
36	XH23OWN	H23. Extended-Country of birth	discrete	numeric-4.0	3795	160	Yourself
37	H23MUM	H23. Mothers country of birth	discrete	numeric-2.0	3770	185	Mother
38	XH23MUM	H23. Extended-Mothers country of birth	discrete	numeric-4.0	3770	185	Mother
39	H23DAD	H23. Fathers country of birth	discrete	numeric-2.0	3763	192	Father
40	XH23DAD	H23. Extended-Fathers country of birth	discrete	numeric-4.0	3763	192	Father
41	H24OWN	H24. Year came to Australia - You	continuous	numeric-2.0	940	3015	Yourself
42	H24MUM	H24. Year came to Australia - Mother	continuous	numeric-2.0	1367	2588	Mother
43	H24DAD	H24. Year came to Australia - Father	continuous	numeric-2.0	1469	2486	Father
44	H25P1	H25. Reasons for coming to live in Australia - Accompanying or joining family	discrete	numeric-2.0	1527	2428	Accompanying or joining family
45	H25P2	H25. Reasons for coming to live in Australia -	discrete	numeric-2.0	1527	2428	Accompanying or joining husband or wife

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
		Accompanying or joining husband or wife					
46	H25P3	H25. Reasons for coming to live in Australia - To be a student	discrete	numeric-2.0	1527	2428	To be a student
47	H25P4	H25. Reasons for coming to live in Australia - Better life	discrete	numeric-2.0	1527	2428	Better life
48	H25P5	H25. Reasons for coming to live in Australia - Freedom	discrete	numeric-2.0	1527	2428	Freedom
49	H25P6	H25. Reasons for coming to live in Australia - Earn money	discrete	numeric-2.0	1527	2428	Earn money
50	H25P7	H25. Reasons for coming to live in Australia - Just to live in Australia	discrete	numeric-2.0	1527	2428	Just to live in Australia
51	H25P8	H25. Reasons for coming to live in Australia - Poverty in country of origin	discrete	numeric-2.0	1527	2428	Poverty in country of origin
52	H25P9	H25. Reasons for coming to live in Australia - Persecution in country of origin	discrete	numeric-2.0	1527	2428	Persecution in country of origin
53	H25P10	H25. Reasons for coming to live in Australia - Other	discrete	numeric-2.0	1527	2428	Other (please specify)
54	H25P11	H25. Reasons for coming to live in Australia - For the climate (i.e. sunshine)	discrete	numeric-2.0	1527	2428	For the climate (eg. sunshine)
55	H25P12	H25. Reasons for coming to live in Australia - Turmoil in country of origin (i.e. war, occupation, displacement)	discrete	numeric-2.0	1527	2428	Turmoil in country of origin (i.e. war, occupation, displacement)
56	H25P13	H25. Reasons for coming to live in Australia - For the medical benefits	discrete	numeric-2.0	1527	2428	For the medical benefits
57	H25P14	H25. Reasons for coming to live in Australia - Refugee NFI	discrete	numeric-2.0	1527	2428	Refugee NFI
58	h26you_1	H26. Citizenship - You (1st listed)	continuous	numeric-4.0	1416	2539	Yourself
59	h26you_2	H26. Citizenship - You (2nd listed)	continuous	numeric-4.0	13	3942	Yourself
60	h26mum_1	H26. Citizenship - Mother (1st listed)	continuous	numeric-4.0	1098	2857	Mother
61	h26mum_2	H26. Citizenship - Mother (2nd listed)	discrete	numeric-4.0	2	3953	Mother
62	h26dad_1	H26. Citizenship - Father (1st listed)	continuous	numeric-4.0	1070	2885	Father
63	h26dad_2	H26. Citizenship - Father (2nd listed)	discrete	numeric-4.0	0	3955	Father
64	H27	H27. Ever been back to country of birth	discrete	numeric-2.0	1498	2457	If you were born outside of Australia: Since coming to Australia, have you ever been back to your country of birth? If you were born in Australia: Have you

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
							ever been to your mother's or father's country of birth?
65	H28	H28. Interest in politics in country of birth	discrete	numeric-2.0	1588	2367	And how much interest do you generally have in what is going on in politics in your (or your mother's or father's) country of birth?
66	H29	H29. Experienced discrimination or unfair treatment in Australia past 5 years	discrete	numeric-2.0	1577	2378	In the past five years, how often do you feel that you have experienced ethnic discrimination or unfair treatment in Australia?
67	H30	H30. English main language spoken at home	discrete	numeric-2.0	1590	2365	Is English the main language you speak at home?
68	H31	H31. How good at speaking English day-to-day	discrete	numeric-2.0	1571	2384	In day-to-day life, how good are you at speaking English when you need to?

Group Weight Variables

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	weight	Weight	continuous	numeric-8.2	3955	0	-

Group Mapping Variables

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	StateMap	State mapping variable	discrete	numeric-8.2	3955	0	(Derived from State)

Group AEC Election Results

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	ALP_1PRF	ALP First Preference Vote - Percentage	continuous	numeric-5.2	3955	0	-
2	LP_1PRF	Liberal First Preference Vote - Percentage	continuous	numeric-5.2	3955	0	-
3	LNP_1PRF	Liberal-National Party (QLD) First Preference Vote - Percentage	continuous	numeric-5.2	3955	0	-
4	NP_1PRF	National Party First Preference Vote - Percentage	continuous	numeric-5.2	3955	0	-
5	CLP_1PRF	Country Liberal Party First Preference Vote - Percentage	continuous	numeric-5.2	3955	0	-
6	OTH_1PRF	Other Parties First Preference Vote - Percentage	continuous	numeric-5.2	3955	0	-
7	ALP_2PP	ALP Two-Party Preferred Vote - 2 party preferred (vs LNP)	continuous	numeric-5.2	3955	0	-
8	LNC_2PP	ALP Two-Party Preferred Vote - 2 party preferred (vs ALP)	continuous	numeric-5.2	3955	0	-
9	STATEAB	State	discrete	character-9	3955	0	-
10	ENROLMNT	Enrolment - number of enrolled voters in division	continuous	numeric-6.0	3955	0	-
11	TURNOUT	Turnout - number of voters that voted in the election	continuous	numeric-6.0	3955	0	-

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
12	TURNPCT	Turnout percentage - turnout as a percentage of enrolled voters	continuous	numeric-5.2	3955	0	-
13	TURNSWG	Turnout swing - change in the turnout percentage compared to 2010	continuous	numeric-5.2	3955	0	-
14	ORDVOTES	Number of ordinary votes in division	continuous	numeric-6.0	3955	0	-
15	ABSVOTES	Number of absent votes in division	continuous	numeric-4.0	3955	0	-
16	PRVVOTES	Number of provisional votes in division	continuous	numeric-3.0	3955	0	-
17	PREVOTES	Number of prepoll votes in division	continuous	numeric-4.0	3955	0	-
18	POSVOTES	Number of postal votes in division	continuous	numeric-5.0	3955	0	-
19	TOTVOTES	Total number of votes in division	continuous	numeric-6.0	3955	0	-
20	TOTPCT	Turnout - total votes as a percentage of enrolled voters	continuous	numeric-5.2	3955	0	-
21	FORVOTES	Number of Formal votes in division	continuous	numeric-6.0	3955	0	-
22	INFVOTES	Number of Informal votes in division	continuous	numeric-5.0	3955	0	-
23	INFPCT	Informal votes as a percentage of total votes in division	continuous	numeric-5.2	3955	0	-
24	INFSWING	Informal Swing - change in the informal percentage compared to 2007	continuous	numeric-5.2	3955	0	-
25	CANDIDN	AEC 2010 Election Candidate identifier - 2CP non-elected candidate	continuous	numeric-5.0	3955	0	-
26	PARTYABN	Party of 2CP non-elected candidate	discrete	character-9	3955	0	-
27	TOTVOTN	Number of votes for 2CP non-elected candidate	continuous	numeric-5.0	3955	0	-
28	SWINGN	N swing	continuous	numeric-6.2	3955	0	-
29	CANDIDY	AEC 2010 Election Candidate identifier - 2CP elected candidate	continuous	numeric-5.0	3955	0	-
30	PARTYABY	Party of 2CP elected candidate	discrete	character-9	3955	0	-
31	TOTVOTY	Number of votes for 2CP elected candidate	continuous	numeric-5.0	3955	0	-
32	SWINGY	Swing in pct vote for 2CP elected candidate	continuous	numeric-6.2	3955	0	-

Variables Description

Dataset contains 354 variable(s)

File : aes2013_unrestrictedvars_PLUS_AEC

DivisNum: Electoral Division - AES numeric code

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 101-316] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3955 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
101	Canberra	28	0.7%
102	Fraser	30	0.8%
103	Banks	25	0.6%
104	Barton	23	0.6%
105	Bennelong	29	0.7%
106	Berowra	35	0.9%
107	Blaxland	25	0.6%
108	Bradfield	27	0.7%
109	Calare	29	0.7%
110	Charlton	23	0.6%
111	Chifley	21	0.5%
112	Cook	22	0.6%
113	Cowper	37	0.9%
114	Cunningham	28	0.7%
115	Dobell	17	0.4%
117	Eden-Monaro	38	1.0%
118	Farrer	31	0.8%
119	Fowler	16	0.4%
120	Gilmore	36	0.9%
121	Grayndler	20	0.5%
122	Greenway	24	0.6%
124	Hughes	28	0.7%
125	Hume	40	1.0%
126	Hunter	23	0.6%
127	Kingsford Smith	26	0.7%
128	Lindsay	25	0.6%
130	Lyne	30	0.8%
131	Macarthur	15	0.4%
132	Mackellar	29	0.7%
133	Macquarie	28	0.7%
134	Mitchell	19	0.5%
135	New England	27	0.7%
136	Newcastle	34	0.9%
137	North Sydney	23	0.6%
138	Page	29	0.7%
139	Parkes	18	0.5%
140	Parramatta	21	0.5%
144	Reid	20	0.5%
145	Richmond	32	0.8%

File : aes2013_unrestrictedvars_PLUS_AEC

DivisNum: Electoral Division - AES numeric code

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
146	Robertson	32	0.8%
148	Shortland	31	0.8%
149	Sydney	21	0.5%
150	Throsby	20	0.5%
151	Warringah	25	0.6%
152	Wentworth	22	0.6%
153	Werriwa	19	0.5%
155	Bowman	25	0.6%
156	Brisbane	30	0.8%
157	Capricornia	19	0.5%
158	Dawson	24	0.6%
159	Fadden	22	0.6%
160	Fairfax	31	0.8%
161	Fisher	38	1.0%
162	Forde	19	0.5%
163	Griffith	17	0.4%
164	Groom	27	0.7%
165	Herbert	24	0.6%
166	Hinkler	28	0.7%
167	Kennedy	32	0.8%
168	Leichhardt	19	0.5%
169	Lilley	28	0.7%
170	Maranoa	23	0.6%
171	McPherson	20	0.5%
172	Moncrieff	26	0.7%
173	Moreton	28	0.7%
174	Oxley	28	0.7%
175	Petrie	27	0.7%
176	Rankin	24	0.6%
177	Ryan	31	0.8%
178	Wide Bay	38	1.0%
179	Adelaide	32	0.8%
180	Barker	28	0.7%
182	Boothby	36	0.9%
183	Grey	31	0.8%
185	Hindmarsh	30	0.8%
186	Kingston	36	0.9%
187	Makin	33	0.8%
188	Mayo	33	0.8%
189	Port Adelaide	29	0.7%
190	Sturt	31	0.8%
191	Wakefield	28	0.7%

File : aes2013_unrestrictedvars_PLUS_AEC

DivisNum: Electoral Division - AES numeric code

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
192	Bass	31	0.8%
193	Braddon	28	0.7%
194	Denison	29	0.7%
195	Franklin	37	0.9%
196	Lyons	34	0.9%
197	Aston	25	0.6%
198	Ballarat	29	0.7%
199	Batman	29	0.7%
200	Bendigo	29	0.7%
201	Bruce	27	0.7%
203	Calwell	22	0.6%
204	Casey	29	0.7%
205	Chisholm	24	0.6%
207	Corangamite	30	0.8%
208	Corio	24	0.6%
209	Deakin	22	0.6%
210	Dunkley	28	0.7%
211	Flinders	32	0.8%
212	Gellibrand	18	0.5%
213	Gippsland	31	0.8%
214	Goldstein	22	0.6%
215	Higgins	34	0.9%
216	Holt	26	0.7%
217	Hotham	24	0.6%
218	Indi	28	0.7%
219	Isaacs	25	0.6%
220	Jagajaga	25	0.6%
221	Kooyong	26	0.7%
222	Lalor	22	0.6%
223	La Trobe	27	0.7%
224	Mallee	29	0.7%
225	Maribyrnong	22	0.6%
226	McEwen	24	0.6%
227	McMillan	28	0.7%
228	Melbourne	25	0.6%
229	Menzies	23	0.6%
230	Melbourne Ports	30	0.8%
231	Murray	33	0.8%
232	Scullin	28	0.7%
233	Wannon	25	0.6%
234	Wills	26	0.7%
235	Brand	25	0.6%

File : aes2013_unrestrictedvars_PLUS_AEC

DivisNum: Electoral Division - AES numeric code

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
236	Canning	25	0.6%
237	Cowan	27	0.7%
238	Curtin	29	0.7%
239	Forrest	23	0.6%
240	Fremantle	31	0.8%
242	Moore	29	0.7%
243	O-Connor	23	0.6%
244	Pearce	24	0.6%
245	Perth	26	0.7%
246	Stirling	23	0.6%
247	Swan	23	0.6%
248	Tangney	31	0.8%
249	Paterson	27	0.7%
250	Riverina	17	0.4%
251	Watson	18	0.5%
252	Dickson	23	0.6%
302	Longman	24	0.6%
304	Blair	30	0.8%
305	Hasluck	23	0.6%
306	Lingiari	11	0.3%
307	Solomon	19	0.5%
309	Gorton	17	0.4%
310	Bonner	38	1.0%
311	Flynn	26	0.7%
312	Durack	16	0.4%
315	McMahon	18	0.5%
316	Wright	23	0.6%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

uniqueid: Unique Identifier

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1000794-9872391] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3955 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=5349839.27 /-] [StdDev=2546301.564 /-]

MODE: Mode of completion

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3955 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Hardcopy	3379	85.4%
2	Online	576	14.6%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

DATECOMP: Date of return (Responses received)

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]

File : aes2013_unrestrictedvars_PLUS_AEC

#DATECOMP: Date of return (Responses received)

Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3955 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2013-09-09		16	0.4%
2013-09-10		58	1.5%
2013-09-11		56	1.4%
2013-09-12		103	2.6%
2013-09-13		119	3.0%
2013-09-14		6	0.2%
2013-09-15		7	0.2%
2013-09-16		264	6.7%
2013-09-17		363	9.2%
2013-09-18		185	4.7%
2013-09-19		229	5.8%
2013-09-20		229	5.8%
2013-09-21		4	0.1%
2013-09-22		8	0.2%
2013-09-23		227	5.7%
2013-09-24		295	7.5%
2013-09-25		95	2.4%
2013-09-26		118	3.0%
2013-09-27		101	2.6%
2013-09-28		2	0.1%
2013-09-30		60	1.5%
2013-10-01		48	1.2%
2013-10-02		29	0.7%
2013-10-03		31	0.8%
2013-10-04		23	0.6%
2013-10-06		4	0.1%
2013-10-07		30	0.8%
2013-10-08		28	0.7%
2013-10-09		25	0.6%
2013-10-10		46	1.2%
2013-10-11		49	1.2%
2013-10-12		2	0.1%
2013-10-13		9	0.2%
2013-10-14		82	2.1%
2013-10-15		192	4.9%
2013-10-16		76	1.9%
2013-10-17		98	2.5%
2013-10-18		63	1.6%
2013-10-19		6	0.2%
2013-10-20		4	0.1%

File : aes2013_unrestrictedvars_PLUS_AEC

DATECOMP: Date of return (Responses received)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2013-10-21		69	1.7%
2013-10-22		70	1.8%
2013-10-23		53	1.3%
2013-10-24		49	1.2%
2013-10-25		36	0.9%
2013-10-26		5	0.1%
2013-10-27		1	0.0%
2013-10-28		26	0.7%
2013-10-29		20	0.5%
2013-10-30		8	0.2%
2013-10-31		6	0.2%
2013-11-01		6	0.2%
2013-11-04		21	0.5%
2013-11-05		10	0.3%
2013-11-06		20	0.5%
2013-11-07		8	0.2%
2013-11-08		17	0.4%
2013-11-09		4	0.1%
2013-11-10		4	0.1%
2013-11-11		15	0.4%
2013-11-12		16	0.4%
2013-11-13		10	0.3%
2013-11-14		16	0.4%
2013-11-15		9	0.2%
2013-11-16		1	0.0%
2013-11-18		3	0.1%
2013-11-19		7	0.2%
2013-11-20		1	0.0%
2013-11-21		3	0.1%
2013-11-22		4	0.1%
2013-11-24		3	0.1%
2013-11-25		6	0.2%
2013-11-26		7	0.2%
2013-11-27		1	0.0%
2013-11-28		4	0.1%
2013-11-29		2	0.1%
2013-12-02		2	0.1%
2013-12-03		1	0.0%
2013-12-04		1	0.0%
2013-12-05		1	0.0%
2013-12-06		2	0.1%
2013-12-07		1	0.0%

File : aes2013_unrestrictedvars_PLUS_AEC

DATECOMP: Date of return (Responses received)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2013-12-10		2	0.1%
2013-12-11		1	0.0%
2013-12-13		2	0.1%
2013-12-17		2	0.1%
2013-12-18		2	0.1%
2013-12-19		1	0.0%
2013-12-20		1	0.0%
2013-12-23		1	0.0%
2013-12-24		2	0.1%
2013-12-29		1	0.0%
2014-01-03		1	0.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

LODGGRP: Lodgement Group

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3955 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Mail 01 - Initial Questionnaire/Reminder Card	2538	64.2%
2	Mail 02 - Reminder Questionnaire	193	4.9%
3	Mail 03 - Reminder Letter	945	23.9%
4	Mail 04 - Reminder Letter	279	7.1%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

State: State (from sample)

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3955 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	NSW	1223	30.9%
2	VIC	967	24.5%
3	QLD	794	20.1%
4	SA	347	8.8%
5	WA	378	9.6%
6	TAS	159	4.0%
7	NT	31	0.8%
8	ACT	56	1.4%
9	Other Territories	0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

Postcode: Postcode (from sample)

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 9999-9999] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3955 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
9999		3955	100.0%

File : aes2013_unrestrictedvars_PLUS_AEC

Postcode: Postcode (from sample)

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

Division: Division (from sample)

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3955 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
ADELAIDE		32	0.8%
ASTON		25	0.6%
BALLARAT		29	0.7%
BANKS		25	0.6%
BARKER		28	0.7%
BARTON		23	0.6%
BASS		31	0.8%
BATMAN		29	0.7%
BENDIGO		29	0.7%
BENNELONG		29	0.7%
BEROWRA		35	0.9%
BLAIR		30	0.8%
BLAXLAND		25	0.6%
BONNER		38	1.0%
BOOTHBY		36	0.9%
BOWMAN		25	0.6%
BRADDON		28	0.7%
BRADFIELD		27	0.7%
BRAND		25	0.6%
BRISBANE		30	0.8%
BRUCE		27	0.7%
CALARE		29	0.7%
CALWELL		22	0.6%
CANBERRA		28	0.7%
CANNING		25	0.6%
CAPRICORNIA		19	0.5%
CASEY		29	0.7%
CHARLTON		23	0.6%
CHIFLEY		21	0.5%
CHISHOLM		24	0.6%
COOK		22	0.6%
CORANGAMITE		30	0.8%
CORIO		24	0.6%
COWAN		27	0.7%
COWPER		37	0.9%
CUNNINGHAM		28	0.7%
CURTIN		29	0.7%

File : aes2013_unrestrictedvars_PLUS_AEC

Division: Division (from sample)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
DAWSON		24	0.6%
DEAKIN		22	0.6%
DENISON		29	0.7%
DICKSON		23	0.6%
DOBELL		17	0.4%
DUNKLEY		28	0.7%
DURACK		16	0.4%
EDEN-MONARO		38	1.0%
FADDEN		22	0.6%
FAIRFAX		31	0.8%
FARRER		31	0.8%
FISHER		38	1.0%
FLINDERS		32	0.8%
FLYNN		26	0.7%
FORDE		19	0.5%
FORREST		23	0.6%
FOWLER		16	0.4%
FRANKLIN		37	0.9%
FRASER		30	0.8%
FREMANTLE		31	0.8%
GELLIBRAND		18	0.5%
GILMORE		36	0.9%
GIPPSLAND		31	0.8%
GOLDSTEIN		22	0.6%
GORTON		17	0.4%
GRAYNDLER		20	0.5%
GREENWAY		24	0.6%
GREY		31	0.8%
GRIFFITH		17	0.4%
GROOM		27	0.7%
HASLUCK		23	0.6%
HERBERT		24	0.6%
HIGGINS		34	0.9%
HINDMARSH		30	0.8%
HINKLER		28	0.7%
HOLT		26	0.7%
HOTHAM		24	0.6%
HUGHES		28	0.7%
HUME		40	1.0%
HUNTER		23	0.6%
INDI		28	0.7%

File : aes2013_unrestrictedvars_PLUS_AEC

Division: Division (from sample)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
ISAACS		25	0.6%
JAGAJAGA		25	0.6%
KENNEDY		32	0.8%
KINGSFORD SMITH		26	0.7%
KINGSTON		36	0.9%
KOOYONG		26	0.7%
LA TROBE		27	0.7%
LALOR		22	0.6%
LEICHHARDT		19	0.5%
LILLEY		28	0.7%
LINDSAY		25	0.6%
LINGIARI		11	0.3%
LONGMAN		24	0.6%
LYNE		30	0.8%
LYONS		34	0.9%
MACARTHUR		15	0.4%
MACKELLAR		29	0.7%
MACQUARIE		28	0.7%
MAKIN		33	0.8%
MALLEE		29	0.7%
MARANOA		23	0.6%
MARIBYRNONG		22	0.6%
MAYO		33	0.8%
MCEWEN		24	0.6%
MCMAHON		18	0.5%
MCMILLAN		28	0.7%
MCPHERSON		20	0.5%
MELBOURNE		25	0.6%
MELBOURNE PORTS		30	0.8%
MENZIES		23	0.6%
MITCHELL		19	0.5%
MONCRIEFF		26	0.7%
MOORE		29	0.7%
MORETON		28	0.7%
MURRAY		33	0.8%
NEW ENGLAND		27	0.7%
NEWCASTLE		34	0.9%
NORTH SYDNEY		23	0.6%
O'CONNOR		23	0.6%

File : aes2013_unrestrictedvars_PLUS_AEC

#Division: Division (from sample)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
OXLEY		28	0.7%
PAGE		29	0.7%
PARKES		18	0.5%
PARRAMATTA		21	0.5%
PATERSON		27	0.7%
PEARCE		24	0.6%
PERTH		26	0.7%
PETRIE		27	0.7%
PORT ADELAIDE		29	0.7%
RANKIN		24	0.6%
REID		20	0.5%
RICHMOND		32	0.8%
RIVERINA		17	0.4%
ROBERTSON		32	0.8%
RYAN		31	0.8%
SCULLIN		28	0.7%
SHORTLAND		31	0.8%
SOLOMON		19	0.5%
STIRLING		23	0.6%
STURT		31	0.8%
SWAN		23	0.6%
SYDNEY		21	0.5%
TANGNEY		31	0.8%
THROSBY		20	0.5%
WAKEFIELD		28	0.7%
WANNON		25	0.6%
WARRINGAH		25	0.6%
WATSON		18	0.5%
WENTWORTH		22	0.6%
WERRIWA		19	0.5%
WIDE BAY		38	1.0%
WILLS		26	0.7%
WRIGHT		23	0.6%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

Part_Onl: Flag -Survey has partial completion only - Online respondent

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=44 /-] [Invalid=3911 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Partial Complete	44	100.0%
Sysmiss		3911	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

File : aes2013_unrestrictedvars_PLUS_AEC

A1: A1. Interest in politics

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*/-1]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3942 / 3944.474] [Invalid=13 / 10.526]
Literal question	Generally speaking, how much interest do you usually have in what's going on in politics?

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)	
1	A good deal	1438	1278.8		32.4%
2	Some	1706	1673.6		42.4%
3	Not much	661	778.1		19.7%
4	None	137	214.0		5.4%
-1	Missing	13	10.5		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

A2: A2. Followed election news in the newspapers

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*/-1]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3938 / 3941.089] [Invalid=17 / 13.911]
Literal question	How much attention did you pay to reports about the election campaign in the newspapers - a good deal, some, not much or none at all?

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)	
1	A good deal	736	653.4		16.6%
2	Some	1400	1325.5		33.6%
3	Not much	1160	1192.3		30.3%
4	None at all	642	769.9		19.5%
-1	Missing	17	13.9		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

A3P1: A3. Followed election news on TV

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*/-1]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3890 / 3898.307] [Invalid=65 / 56.693]
Literal question	Did you follow the election campaign news on television—a good deal, some, not much or none at all?

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)	
1	A good deal	1316	1186.0		30.4%
2	Some	1530	1530.6		39.3%
3	Not much	741	795.0		20.4%
4	None at all	303	386.8		9.9%
-1	Missing	65	56.7		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

A3P2: A3. Followed election news on the radio

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*/-1]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3423 / 3533.628] [Invalid=532 / 421.372]
Literal question	And did you follow the election campaign news on the radio?

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)	
1	A good deal	591	534.3		15.1%
2	Some	1068	1053.9		29.8%

File : aes2013_unrestrictedvars_PLUS_AEC

A3P2: A3. Followed election news on the radio

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
3	Not much	879	933.2	26.4%
4	None at all	885	1012.2	28.6%
-1	Missing	532	421.4	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

A3P3: A3. Followed election news on the internet

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*/-1]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3211 / 3368.189] [Invalid=744 / 586.811]
Literal question	And how about the internet—did you follow the election campaign news on the internet?

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	A good deal	416	477.3	14.2%
2	Some	579	651.8	19.4%
3	Not much	641	691.4	20.5%
4	None at all	1575	1547.8	46.0%
-1	Missing	744	586.8	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

A4: A4. Interest in election campaign

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*/-1]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3915 / 3922.287] [Invalid=40 / 32.713]
Literal question	And how much interest would you say you took in the election campaign overall?

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	A good deal	1423	1283.0	32.7%
2	Some	1543	1515.3	38.6%
3	Not much	783	887.8	22.6%
4	None at all	166	236.1	6.0%
-1	Missing	40	32.7	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

A5P1: A5. During election: discussed politics with others

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*/-1]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3919 / 3921.669] [Invalid=36 / 33.331]
Pre-question	Here is a list of things some people do during elections. How often did you do any of these things during the recent election?
Literal question	Discuss politics with others in person (i.e. face to face or over the phone)

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Frequently	1133	1072.5	27.3%
2	Occasionally	1791	1782.0	45.4%
3	Rarely	676	718.1	18.3%
4	Not at all	319	349.1	8.9%
-1	Missing	36	33.3	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

File : aes2013_unrestrictedvars_PLUS_AEC

A5P6: A5. During election: discussed politics with others online

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*/-1]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3810 / 3835.354] [Invalid=145 / 119.646]
Pre-question	Here is a list of things some people do during elections. How often did you do any of these things during the recent election?
Literal question	Discuss politics with others online (i.e.through email or on a social network site like Facebook or Twitter)

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)	
1	Frequently	100	123.5	3.2%	
2	Occasionally	264	296.7	7.7%	
3	Rarely	484	536.4	14.0%	
4	Not at all	2962	2878.8		75.1%
-1	Missing	145	119.6		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

A5P2: A5. During election: talk to people about vote

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*/-1]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3836 / 3856.094] [Invalid=119 / 98.906]
Pre-question	Here is a list of things some people do during elections. How often did you do any of these things during the recent election?
Literal question	Talk to other people to persuade them to vote for a particular party or candidate

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)	
1	Frequently	102	97.7	2.5%	
2	Occasionally	450	454.9	11.8%	
3	Rarely	644	675.9	17.5%	
4	Not at all	2640	2627.6		68.1%
-1	Missing	119	98.9		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

A5P3: A5. During election: work for party/candidate

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*/-1]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3845 / 3864.586] [Invalid=110 / 90.414]
Pre-question	Here is a list of things some people do during elections. How often did you do any of these things during the recent election?
Literal question	Show your support for a particular party or candidate by, for example, attending a meeting, putting up a poster, or in some other way

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)	
1	Frequently	118	100.5	2.6%	
2	Occasionally	244	237.0	6.1%	
3	Rarely	353	353.3	9.1%	
4	Not at all	3130	3173.8		82.1%
-1	Missing	110	90.4		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

A5P4: A5. During election: go to meetings or rallies

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*/-1]

File : aes2013_unrestrictedvars_PLUS_AEC

A5P4: A5. During election: go to meetings or rallies

Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3837 / 3855.814] [Invalid=118 / 99.186]
Pre-question	Here is a list of things some people do during elections. How often did you do any of these things during the recent election?
Literal question	Go to any political meetings or rallies

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Frequently	32	26.8	0.7%
2	Occasionally	88	81.1	2.1%
3	Rarely	178	177.3	4.6%
4	Not at all	3539	3570.6	92.6%
-1	Missing	118	99.2	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

A5P5: A5. During campaign: contribute money

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*/-1]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3815 / 3839.966] [Invalid=140 / 115.034]
Pre-question	Here is a list of things some people do during elections. How often did you do any of these things during the recent election?
Literal question	Contribute money to a political party or election candidate

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Frequently	35	27.8	0.7%
2	Occasionally	91	80.6	2.1%
3	Rarely	93	91.8	2.4%
4	Not at all	3596	3639.7	94.8%
-1	Missing	140	115.0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

A6: A6. Watched televised debate 11 August

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*/-1]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3818 / 3835.248] [Invalid=137 / 119.752]
Literal question	Did you watch the televised debate between Kevin Rudd and Tony Abbott on Sunday 11 August?

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	1372	1236.5	32.2%
2	No	2446	2598.8	67.8%
-1	Missing	137	119.8	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

A7: A7. Who performed better in televised debate

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/-1]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3624 / 3624.842] [Invalid=331 / 330.158]
Literal question	From what you saw or what you heard or read about it, who do you think performed better in the debate—Rudd or Abbott?

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Rudd did much better	292	288.0	7.9%
2	Rudd did somewhat better	763	786.8	21.7%

File : aes2013_unrestrictedvars_PLUS_AEC

A7: A7. Who performed better in televised debate

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)	
3	About equal	1367	1416.9		39.1%
4	Abbott did somewhat better	901	850.8		23.5%
5	Abbott did much better	301	282.4		7.8%
-1	Missing	331	330.2		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

A8P1: A8. Candidate persuade you to vote for them - No

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/-1]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1529 / 1588.671] [Invalid=2426 / 2366.329]
Pre-question	During the election campaign, did a candidate or anyone from a political party contact you to persuade you to vote for them? Please cross all that apply
Literal question	No

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)	
0	Unchecked	0	0.0		
1	Checked	1529	1588.7		100.0%
-1	Missing	2426	2366.3		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

A8P2: A8. Candidate persuade you to vote for them - By telephone

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/-1]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=628 / 551.399] [Invalid=3327 / 3403.601]
Pre-question	During the election campaign, did a candidate or anyone from a political party contact you to persuade you to vote for them? Please cross all that apply
Literal question	Yes, by telephone

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)	
0	Unchecked	0	0.0		
1	Checked	628	551.4		100.0%
-1	Missing	3327	3403.6		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

A8P3: A8. Candidate persuade you to vote for them - By mail

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/-1]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2019 / 1986.498] [Invalid=1936 / 1968.502]
Pre-question	During the election campaign, did a candidate or anyone from a political party contact you to persuade you to vote for them? Please cross all that apply
Literal question	Yes, by mail

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)	
0	Unchecked	0	0.0		
1	Checked	2019	1986.5		100.0%
-1	Missing	1936	1968.5		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

A8P4: A8. Candidate persuade you to vote for them - By face-to-face

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/-1]

File : aes2013_unrestrictedvars_PLUS_AEC

A8P4: A8. Candidate persuade you to vote for them - By face-to-face

Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=158 / 162.293] [Invalid=3797 / 3792.707]
Pre-question	During the election campaign, did a candidate or anyone from a political party contact you to persuade you to vote for them? Please cross all that apply
Literal question	Yes, by face-to-face

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)	
0	Unchecked	0	0.0		
1	Checked	158	162.3		100.0%
-1	Missing	3797	3792.7		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

A8P5: A8. Candidate persuade you to vote for them - By text message or SMS

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/-1]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=59 / 55.623] [Invalid=3896 / 3899.377]
Pre-question	During the election campaign, did a candidate or anyone from a political party contact you to persuade you to vote for them? Please cross all that apply
Literal question	Yes, by text message or SMS

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)	
0	Unchecked	0	0.0		
1	Checked	59	55.6		100.0%
-1	Missing	3896	3899.4		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

A8P6: A8. Candidate persuade you to vote for them - By email

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/-1]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=184 / 176.801] [Invalid=3771 / 3778.199]
Pre-question	During the election campaign, did a candidate or anyone from a political party contact you to persuade you to vote for them? Please cross all that apply
Literal question	Yes, by email

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)	
0	Unchecked	0	0.0		
1	Checked	184	176.8		100.0%
-1	Missing	3771	3778.2		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

A8P7: A8. Candidate persuade you to vote for them - By social network site or other web-based method

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/-1]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=123 / 144.731] [Invalid=3832 / 3810.269]
Pre-question	During the election campaign, did a candidate or anyone from a political party contact you to persuade you to vote for them? Please cross all that apply
Literal question	Yes, by social network site or other web-based method

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)	
0	Unchecked	0	0.0		
1	Checked	123	144.7		100.0%

File : aes2013_unrestrictedvars_PLUS_AEC

A8P7: A8. Candidate persuade you to vote for them - By social network site or other web-based method

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
-1	Missing	3832	3810.3	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

A9P1: A9. Which parties or candidates contacted you by any of these means - None

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/-1]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1381 / 1437.494] [Invalid=2574 / 2517.506]
Pre-question	Which parties or candidates contacted you by any of these means? Please cross all that apply
Literal question	None

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
0	Unchecked	0	0.0	
1	Checked	1381	1437.5	100.0%
-1	Missing	2574	2517.5	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

A9P2: A9. Which parties or candidates contacted you by any of these means - Liberal

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/-1]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2070 / 2022.675] [Invalid=1885 / 1932.325]
Pre-question	Which parties or candidates contacted you by any of these means? Please cross all that apply
Literal question	Liberal

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
0	Unchecked	0	0.0	
1	Checked	2070	2022.7	100.0%
-1	Missing	1885	1932.3	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

A9P3: A9. Which parties or candidates contacted you by any of these means - Labor

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/-1]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1626 / 1605.986] [Invalid=2329 / 2349.014]
Pre-question	Which parties or candidates contacted you by any of these means? Please cross all that apply
Literal question	Labor

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
0	Unchecked	0	0.0	
1	Checked	1626	1606.0	100.0%
-1	Missing	2329	2349.0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

A9P4: A9. Which parties or candidates contacted you by any of these means - National

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/-1]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=331 / 320.773] [Invalid=3624 / 3634.227]
Pre-question	Which parties or candidates contacted you by any of these means? Please cross all that apply

File : aes2013_unrestrictedvars_PLUS_AEC

A9P4: A9. Which parties or candidates contacted you by any of these means - National

Literal question	National			

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
0	Unchecked	0	0.0	
1	Checked	331	320.8	100.0%
-1	Missing	3624	3634.2	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

A9P5: A9. Which parties or candidates contacted you by any of these means - Greens

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/-1]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=670 / 657.793] [Invalid=3285 / 3297.207]
Pre-question	Which parties or candidates contacted you by any of these means? Please cross all that apply
Literal question	Greens

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
0	Unchecked	0	0.0	
1	Checked	670	657.8	100.0%
-1	Missing	3285	3297.2	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

A9P6: A9. Which parties or candidates contacted you by any of these means - Other party or candidate

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/-1]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=479 / 475.992] [Invalid=3476 / 3479.008]
Pre-question	Which parties or candidates contacted you by any of these means? Please cross all that apply
Literal question	Other party or candidate

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
0	Unchecked	0	0.0	
1	Checked	479	476.0	100.0%
-1	Missing	3476	3479.0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

A10P1: A10. Try to persuade you to vote for particular party or candidate - No

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/-1]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3190 / 3056.747] [Invalid=765 / 898.253]
Pre-question	During the campaign, did a friend, family member, neighbour, work colleague or other acquaintance try to persuade you to vote for a particular party or candidate? Please cross all that apply
Literal question	No

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
0	Unchecked	0	0.0	
1	Checked	3190	3056.7	100.0%
-1	Missing	765	898.3	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

File : aes2013_unrestrictedvars_PLUS_AEC

A10P2: A10. Try to persuade you to vote for particular party or candidate - By telephone

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/-1]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=69 / 77.957] [Invalid=3886 / 3877.043]
Pre-question	During the campaign, did a friend, family member, neighbour, work colleague or other acquaintance try to persuade you to vote for a particular party or candidate? Please cross all that apply
Literal question	Yes, by telephone

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)	
0	Unchecked	0	0.0		
1	Checked	69	78.0		100.0%
-1	Missing	3886	3877.0		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

A10P3: A10. Try to persuade you to vote for particular party or candidate - By mail

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/-1]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=27 / 24.334] [Invalid=3928 / 3930.666]
Pre-question	During the campaign, did a friend, family member, neighbour, work colleague or other acquaintance try to persuade you to vote for a particular party or candidate? Please cross all that apply
Literal question	Yes, by mail

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)	
0	Unchecked	0	0.0		
1	Checked	27	24.3		100.0%
-1	Missing	3928	3930.7		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

A10P4: A10. Try to persuade you to vote for particular party or candidate - By face-to-face

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/-1]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=542 / 644.038] [Invalid=3413 / 3310.962]
Pre-question	During the campaign, did a friend, family member, neighbour, work colleague or other acquaintance try to persuade you to vote for a particular party or candidate? Please cross all that apply
Literal question	Yes, by face-to-face

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)	
0	Unchecked	0	0.0		
1	Checked	542	644.0		100.0%
-1	Missing	3413	3311.0		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

A10P5: A10. Try to persuade you to vote for particular party or candidate - By text message or SMS

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/-1]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=15 / 20.851] [Invalid=3940 / 3934.149]
Pre-question	During the campaign, did a friend, family member, neighbour, work colleague or other acquaintance try to persuade you to vote for a particular party or candidate? Please cross all that apply
Literal question	Yes, by text message or SMS

File : aes2013_unrestrictedvars_PLUS_AEC

A10P5: A10. Try to persuade you to vote for particular party or candidate - By text message or SMS

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
0	Unchecked	0	0.0	
1	Checked	15	20.9	100.0%
-1	Missing	3940	3934.1	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

A10P6: A10. Try to persuade you to vote for particular party or candidate - By email

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/-1]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=40 / 42.824] [Invalid=3915 / 3912.176]
Pre-question	During the campaign, did a friend, family member, neighbour, work colleague or other acquaintance try to persuade you to vote for a particular party or candidate? Please cross all that apply
Literal question	Yes, by email

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
0	Unchecked	0	0.0	
1	Checked	40	42.8	100.0%
-1	Missing	3915	3912.2	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

A10P7: A10. Try to persuade you to vote for particular party or candidate - By social network site or other web-based method

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/-1]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=205 / 259.675] [Invalid=3750 / 3695.325]
Pre-question	During the campaign, did a friend, family member, neighbour, work colleague or other acquaintance try to persuade you to vote for a particular party or candidate? Please cross all that apply
Literal question	Yes, by social network site or other web-based method

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
0	Unchecked	0	0.0	
1	Checked	205	259.7	100.0%
-1	Missing	3750	3695.3	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

A11: A11. Should voting be compulsory

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*/-1]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3922 / 3925.855] [Invalid=33 / 29.145]
Literal question	Do you think that voting at Federal elections should be compulsory, or do you think that people should only have to vote if they want to?

| Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Strongly favour compulsory voting	1899	1767.7	45.0%
2	Favour compulsory voting	992	990.1	25.2%
3	Favour people voting only if they want to	616	664.7	16.9%
4	Strongly favour people voting only if they want to	415	503.5	12.8%
-1	Missing	33	29.1	

File : aes2013_unrestrictedvars_PLUS_AEC

A11: A11. Should voting be compulsory

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

## # A12: A12. Would you vote if voting not compulsory

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/-1]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3926 / 3926.984] [Invalid=29 / 28.016]
Literal question	Would you have voted in the election if voting had not been compulsory?

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Definitely would have voted	2574	2359.2	60.1%
2	Probably would have voted	721	741.6	18.9%
3	Might, might not	236	282.2	7.2%
4	Probably not	269	344.7	8.8%
5	Definitely not	126	199.3	5.1%
-1	Missing	29	28.0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

A13P1: A13. How much confidence vote accurately recorded and counted - A paper ballot in a polling booth on election day

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*/-1]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3775 / 3809.552] [Invalid=180 / 145.448]
Pre-question	Currently, most voters complete paper ballots at polling booths on election day. How much confidence do you have that your vote would be accurately recorded and counted if you cast it in the following ways?
Literal question	A paper ballot in a polling booth on election day

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	A great deal of confidence	1951	1827.0	48.0%
2	Quite a lot of confidence	1442	1505.2	39.5%
3	Not very much confidence	306	361.6	9.5%
4	None at all	76	115.8	3.0%
-1	Missing	180	145.4	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

A13P2: A13. How much confidence vote accurately recorded and counted - A paper ballot at a pre-poll centre before election day

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*/-1]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3539 / 3626.089] [Invalid=416 / 328.911]
Pre-question	Currently, most voters complete paper ballots at polling booths on election day. How much confidence do you have that your vote would be accurately recorded and counted if you cast it in the following ways?
Literal question	A paper ballot at a pre-poll centre before election day

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	A great deal of confidence	1508	1438.9	39.7%
2	Quite a lot of confidence	1483	1548.0	42.7%
3	Not very much confidence	431	497.1	13.7%
4	None at all	117	142.0	3.9%
-1	Missing	416	328.9	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

File : aes2013_unrestrictedvars_PLUS_AEC

A13P3: A13. How much confidence vote accurately recorded and counted - A postal ballot before election day

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*/-1]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3492 / 3588.289] [Invalid=463 / 366.711]
Pre-question	Currently, most voters complete paper ballots at polling booths on election day. How much confidence do you have that your vote would be accurately recorded and counted if you cast it in the following ways?
Literal question	A postal ballot before election day

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)	
1	A great deal of confidence	1314	1243.6		34.7%
2	Quite a lot of confidence	1524	1565.0		43.6%
3	Not very much confidence	499	588.4		16.4%
4	None at all	155	191.3		5.3%
-1	Missing	463	366.7		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

A13P4: A13. How much confidence vote accurately recorded and counted - An electronic voting machine in a polling booth on election day

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*/-1]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3446 / 3558.114] [Invalid=509 / 396.886]
Pre-question	Currently, most voters complete paper ballots at polling booths on election day. How much confidence do you have that your vote would be accurately recorded and counted if you cast it in the following ways?
Literal question	An electronic voting machine in a polling booth on election day

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)	
1	A great deal of confidence	1249	1321.2		37.1%
2	Quite a lot of confidence	1187	1227.9		34.5%
3	Not very much confidence	719	705.3		19.8%
4	None at all	291	303.7		8.5%
-1	Missing	509	396.9		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

A13P5: A13. How much confidence vote accurately recorded and counted - Online via the internet before election day

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*/-1]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3446 / 3555.488] [Invalid=509 / 399.512]
Pre-question	Currently, most voters complete paper ballots at polling booths on election day. How much confidence do you have that your vote would be accurately recorded and counted if you cast it in the following ways?
Literal question	Online via the internet before election day

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)	
1	A great deal of confidence	845	920.7		25.9%
2	Quite a lot of confidence	1033	1088.4		30.6%
3	Not very much confidence	998	984.7		27.7%
4	None at all	570	561.7		15.8%
-1	Missing	509	399.5		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

A13P6: A13. How much confidence vote accurately recorded and counted - Via a smart phone or SMS text before election day

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*/-1]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3437 / 3548.727] [Invalid=518 / 406.273]
Pre-question	Currently, most voters complete paper ballots at polling booths on election day. How much confidence do you have that your vote would be accurately recorded and counted if you cast it in the following ways?
Literal question	Via a smart phone or SMS text before election day

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	A great deal of confidence	481	524.4	14.8%
2	Quite a lot of confidence	794	859.3	24.2%
3	Not very much confidence	1252	1282.1	36.1%
4	None at all	910	883.0	24.9%
-1	Missing	518	406.3	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

A14: A14. Use internet to get news on 2013 election

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/-1]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3882 / 3896.687] [Invalid=73 / 58.313]
Literal question	Did you make use of the internet at all to get news or information about the 2013 Federal election?

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes, many times	490	551.4	14.2%
2	Yes, on several occasions	442	460.7	11.8%
3	Yes, once or twice	538	593.4	15.2%
4	Have internet access but didn€™t use it for election inform	1789	1780.5	45.7%
5	Do not use/have access to internet	623	510.7	13.1%
-1	Missing	73	58.3	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

A15P1: A15. Website: Party or candidate campaign sites

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/-1]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=420 / 474.609] [Invalid=3535 / 3480.391]
Pre-question	During the 2013 election campaign did you read or access any of the following websites? Please cross all that apply
Literal question	Party or candidate campaign sites (e.g. home pages, blogs, official Facebook profiles, official YouTube channels)

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
0	Unchecked	0	0.0	
1	Checked	420	474.6	100.0%
-1	Missing	3535	3480.4	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

A15P2: A15. Website: Mainstream news media

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/-1]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1067 / 1168.964] [Invalid=2888 / 2786.036]
Pre-question	During the 2013 election campaign did you read or access any of the following websites? Please cross all that apply
Literal question	Mainstream news media (e.g. ABC Online, SMH site etc)

A15P2: A15. Website: Mainstream news media

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)	
0	Unchecked	0	0.0		
1	Checked	1067	1169.0		100.0%
-1	Missing	2888	2786.0		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

A15P3: A15. Website: Unofficial online videos

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/-1]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=294 / 353.551] [Invalid=3661 / 3601.449]
Pre-question	During the 2013 election campaign did you read or access any of the following websites? Please cross all that apply
Literal question	Unofficial online videos (i.e. non-party produced) with campaign or political content (e.g.YouTube)

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)	
0	Unchecked	0	0.0		
1	Checked	294	353.6		100.0%
-1	Missing	3661	3601.4		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

A15P4: A15. Website: Federal parliament

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/-1]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=61 / 68.928] [Invalid=3894 / 3886.072]
Pre-question	During the 2013 election campaign did you read or access any of the following websites? Please cross all that apply
Literal question	Federal Parliament site

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)	
0	Unchecked	0	0.0		
1	Checked	61	68.9		100.0%
-1	Missing	3894	3886.1		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

A15P5: A15. Website: Australian Electoral Commission

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/-1]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=483 / 566.168] [Invalid=3472 / 3388.832]
Pre-question	During the 2013 election campaign did you read or access any of the following websites? Please cross all that apply
Literal question	Australian Electoral Commission site

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)	
0	Unchecked	0	0.0		
1	Checked	483	566.2		100.0%
-1	Missing	3472	3388.8		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

A16P1: A16. Activities online - Signed up to receive information from a party or candidate and/or registered as a follower/friend/supporter on Twitter or Facebook

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/-1]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=159 / 184.995] [Invalid=3796 / 3770.005]

A16P1: A16. Activities online - Signed up to receive information from a party or candidate and/or registered as a follower/friend/supporter on Twitter or Facebook

Pre-question	During the 2013 election campaign did you do any of the following activities online? Please cross all that apply
Literal question	Signed up to receive information from a party or candidate and/or registered as their follower/friend/supporter on Twitter or Facebook

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)	
0	Unchecked	0	0.0		
1	Checked	159	185.0		100.0%
-1	Missing	3796	3770.0		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

A16P2: A16. Activities online - Used online tools to promote parties and candidates

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/-1]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=116 / 143.723] [Invalid=3839 / 3811.277]
Pre-question	During the 2013 election campaign did you do any of the following activities online? Please cross all that apply
Literal question	Used online tools to promote parties and candidates (e.g. shared, posted or reposted official campaign information on a blog, Twitter feed or social network profile)

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)	
0	Unchecked	0	0.0		
1	Checked	116	143.7		100.0%
-1	Missing	3839	3811.3		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

A16P3: A16. Activities online - Shared, posted or reposted any non-official content

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/-1]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=309 / 372.712] [Invalid=3646 / 3582.288]
Pre-question	During the 2013 election campaign did you do any of the following activities online? Please cross all that apply
Literal question	Shared, posted, or reposted any non-official content (e.g. links to videos, news stories, jokes) on a blog, Twitter feed or social network profile

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)	
0	Unchecked	0	0.0		
1	Checked	309	372.7		100.0%
-1	Missing	3646	3582.3		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

A16P4: A16. Activities online - Joined or started a political or election related group on a social networking site

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/-1]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=56 / 72.352] [Invalid=3899 / 3882.648]
Pre-question	During the 2013 election campaign did you do any of the following activities online? Please cross all that apply
Literal question	Joined or started a political or election related group on a social networking site (e.g. Facebook, MySpace, Bebo etc.)

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)	
0	Unchecked	0	0.0		
1	Checked	56	72.4		100.0%

A16P4: A16. Activities online - Joined or started a political or election related group on a social networking site

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
-1	Missing	3899	3882.6	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

B1: B1. Party identification

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*/-1]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3951 / 3950.819] [Invalid=4 / 4.181]
Literal question	Generally speaking, do you usually think of yourself as Liberal, Labor, National or what?

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)	
1	Liberal	1497	1360.1		34.4%
2	Labor	1417	1373.7		34.8%
3	National (Country) Party	158	145.3		3.7%
4	Greens	236	238.7		6.0%
5	Other party (please specify)	145	165.0		4.2%
6	No party	498	668.0		16.9%
-1	Missing	4	4.2		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

XB1: B1. Extended-Party identification

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*/-1]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3943 / 3940.017] [Invalid=12 / 14.983]
Literal question	Generally speaking, do you usually think of yourself as Liberal, Labor, National or what?
Notes	IF 6 (No Party) - GO TO B3

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)	
1	Liberal	1498	1361.3		34.6%
2	Labor	1419	1375.4		34.9%
3	National (Country) Party	158	145.3		3.7%
4	Greens	238	240.1		6.1%
5	Other party (please specify)	43	48.3		1.2%
6	No party	0	0.0		
7	Australian Democrats	4	4.2		0.1%
8	Christian Democratic Party	9	10.7		0.3%
9	Citizens Electoral Council	1	1.6		0.0%
10	Family First Party	9	9.9		0.3%
11	One Nation	2	2.8		0.1%
12	Republican Party	0	0.0		
13	Shooters Party	1	1.1		0.0%
14	Fishing Party	0	0.0		
15	Palmer's United Party	13	13.9		0.4%
16	Katter's Australia Party	1	1.9		0.0%
17	Liberal Democrats	1	1.7		0.0%
18	Motoring Enthusiasts Party	1	1.8		0.0%
19	Australian Sports Party	0	0.0		
20	The Australian Sex Party	5	9.8		0.2%
21	The Wikileaks Party	0	0.0		

XB1: B1. Extended-Party identification

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
22	Australian Christians	5	5.8	0.1%
95	Swing Voter	19	14.8	0.4%
96	Independent	7	8.5	0.2%
97	Other party (not specified)	5	5.9	0.1%
98	No party	504	675.1	17.1%
-1	Missing	12	15.0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

B2: B2. Strength of party identification

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*/-1]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3395 / 3226.953] [Invalid=560 / 728.047]
Literal question	Would you call yourself a very strong, fairly strong, or not very strong supporter of that party?

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Very strong supporter	762	670.9	20.8%
2	Fairly strong supporter	1708	1616.8	50.1%
3	Not very strong supporter	925	939.3	29.1%
-1	Missing	560	728.0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

B3: B3. Care which party wins election

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*/-1]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3938 / 3939.662] [Invalid=17 / 15.338]
Literal question	Would you say you cared a good deal which party won the Federal election or that you did not care very much which party won?

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Cared a good deal	2874	2690.5	68.3%
2	Did not care very much	909	1015.8	25.8%
3	Did not care at all	155	233.3	5.9%
-1	Missing	17	15.3	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

B4: B4. When decided which way to vote

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*/-1]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3935 / 3932.429] [Invalid=20 / 22.571]
Literal question	When did you decide how you would definitely vote in this election?

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	A long time ago	1921	1769.0	45.0%
2	A few months ago, before election day was announced	556	551.9	14.0%
3	About the time the election was announced, on 4 August	287	288.2	7.3%
4	In the first few weeks of the campaign	259	267.2	6.8%
5	A few days before election day	513	563.1	14.3%
6	On election day	399	493.1	12.5%
-1	Missing	20	22.6	

B4: B4. When decided which way to vote

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

B5: B5. Most important in vote decision

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*/-1]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3921 / 3918.388] [Invalid=34 / 36.612]
Literal question	In deciding how you would vote in the election, which was most important to you?

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)	
1	The party leaders	553	551.7		14.1%
2	The policy issues	2148	2118.7		54.1%
3	The candidates in your electorate	308	293.8		7.5%
4	The parties taken as a whole	912	954.2		24.4%
-1	Missing	34	36.6		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

B6: B6. Prefer when Govt controls both houses

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/-1]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3888 / 3892.162] [Invalid=67 / 62.838]
Literal question	Which do you think is better—when the Federal Government has a majority in both the House of Representatives and the Senate, OR when the Federal Government in the House of Representatives does not control the Senate?

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)	
1	Much better when Government controls both	845	767.5		19.7%
2	Better when Government controls both	908	856.4		22.0%
3	Neither/doesn'€™t matter	659	812.6		20.9%
4	Better when Government does not control the Senate	1173	1153.5		29.6%
5	Much better when Government does not control the Senate	303	302.2		7.8%
-1	Missing	67	62.8		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

B7: B7. Difference between Labor and Liberal

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*/-1]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3918 / 3918.186] [Invalid=37 / 36.814]
Literal question	Considering everything the Labor Party and the Liberal Party stand for, would you say there is....

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)	
1	A good deal of difference between the parties	1342	1242.2		31.7%
2	Some difference between the parties	1763	1766.7		45.1%
3	Not much difference between the parties	690	747.5		19.1%
4	No difference between the parties	123	161.8		4.1%
-1	Missing	37	36.8		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

B8OWN: B8. Own left-right position

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-10] [Missing=*/-1]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3453 / 3444.019] [Invalid=502 / 510.981]
Literal question	In politics, people sometimes talk about the 'left' and the 'right'. Where would you place yourself on a scale from 0 to 10, where 0 means the left and 10 means the right?

B8OWN: B8. Own left-right position

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
0	Left - 0	124	130.9	3.8%
1	1	85	91.3	2.7%
2	2	226	235.0	6.8%
3	3	342	354.9	10.3%
4	4	301	293.1	8.5%
5	5	1172	1227.2	35.6%
6	6	293	287.0	8.3%
7	7	351	341.1	9.9%
8	8	275	244.0	7.1%
9	9	78	66.1	1.9%
10	Right - 10	206	173.5	5.0%
-1	Missing	502	511.0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

B8LIB: B8. Liberal left-right position

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-10] [Missing=*/-1]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3532 / 3571.298] [Invalid=423 / 383.702]
Pre-question	Using the same scale, where would you place each of the Federal political parties?
Literal question	Liberal Party

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
0	Left - 0	167	192.1	5.4%
1	1	52	51.6	1.4%
2	2	75	83.2	2.3%
3	3	109	120.3	3.4%
4	4	109	111.9	3.1%
5	5	601	690.3	19.3%
6	6	310	304.4	8.5%
7	7	549	541.1	15.2%
8	8	694	674.3	18.9%
9	9	344	314.6	8.8%
10	Right - 10	522	487.5	13.6%
-1	Missing	423	383.7	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

B8ALP: B8. Labor left-right position

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-10] [Missing=*/-1]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3466 / 3525.315] [Invalid=489 / 429.685]
Pre-question	Using the same scale, where would you place each of the Federal political parties?
Literal question	Labor Party (ALP)

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
0	Left - 0	349	326.8	9.3%
1	1	163	161.3	4.6%
2	2	405	379.4	10.8%

B8ALP: B8. Labor left-right position

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
3	3	495	480.0	13.6%
4	4	537	525.2	14.9%
5	5	786	896.4	25.4%
6	6	260	266.4	7.6%
7	7	142	147.4	4.2%
8	8	119	124.9	3.5%
9	9	54	55.4	1.6%
10	Right - 10	156	162.0	4.6%
-1	Missing	489	429.7	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

B8NAT: B8. Nationals left-right position

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-10] [Missing=*/-1]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3344 / 3424.085] [Invalid=611 / 530.915]
Pre-question	Using the same scale, where would you place each of the Federal political parties?
Literal question	National Party

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
0	Left - 0	153	173.4	5.1%
1	1	65	66.8	2.0%
2	2	119	119.3	3.5%
3	3	141	142.4	4.2%
4	4	179	176.3	5.1%
5	5	661	790.3	23.1%
6	6	277	279.5	8.2%
7	7	383	399.6	11.7%
8	8	492	485.7	14.2%
9	9	464	423.3	12.4%
10	Right - 10	410	367.5	10.7%
-1	Missing	611	530.9	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

B8GRN: B8. Greens left-right position

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-10] [Missing=*/-1]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3331 / 3415.887] [Invalid=624 / 539.113]
Pre-question	Using the same scale, where would you place each of the Federal political parties?
Literal question	Greens

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
0	Left - 0	725	719.2	21.1%
1	1	482	469.8	13.8%
2	2	518	530.4	15.5%
3	3	372	367.1	10.7%
4	4	232	219.0	6.4%
5	5	645	729.8	21.4%
6	6	78	87.8	2.6%

B8GRN: B8. Greens left-right position

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
7	7	70	71.4	2.1%
8	8	74	82.8	2.4%
9	9	34	32.6	1.0%
10	Right - 10	101	106.0	3.1%
-1	Missing	624	539.1	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

B9REPS: B9. Vote in House of Representatives

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*/-1]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3930 / 3930.342] [Invalid=25 / 24.658]
Pre-question	In the Federal election for the House of Representatives on Saturday 7 September, which party did you vote for first in the House of Representatives? And in the Senate election?
Literal question	House of Representatives

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Liberal Party	1697	1531.4	39.0%
2	Labor Party (ALP)	1262	1218.9	31.0%
3	National (Country) Party	153	131.8	3.4%
4	Greens	351	315.8	8.0%
5	Other (please specify party)	395	453.4	11.5%
6	Voted informal/Did not vote	72	279.0	7.1%
-1	Missing	25	24.7	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

XB9REPS: B9. Extended - Vote in House of Representatives

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*/-1]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3901 / 3894.351] [Invalid=54 / 60.649]
Pre-question	In the Federal election for the House of Representatives on Saturday 7 September, which party did you vote for first in the House of Representatives? And in the Senate election?
Literal question	House of Representatives

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Liberal	1699	1533.1	39.4%
2	Labor	1263	1219.8	31.3%
3	National (Country) Party	153	131.8	3.4%
4	Greens	351	315.8	8.1%
5	Other party (please specify)	19	26.6	0.7%
6	Voted informal/did not vote	82	288.8	7.4%
7	Australian Democrats	1	1.3	0.0%
8	Christian Democratic Party	19	23.5	0.6%
9	Citizens Electoral Council	2	2.5	0.1%
10	Family First Party	26	29.7	0.8%
11	One Nation	6	6.9	0.2%
12	Republican Party	0	0.0	
13	Shooters Party	0	0.0	
14	Fishing Party	3	4.9	0.1%

XB9REPS: B9. Extended - Vote in House of Representatives

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
15	Palmer's United Party	82	89.4	2.3%
16	Katter's Australia Party	14	15.1	0.4%
17	Liberal Democrats	0	0.0	
18	Motoring Enthusiasts Party	3	5.0	0.1%
19	Australian Sports Party	0	0.0	
20	The Australian Sex Party	17	25.6	0.7%
21	The Wikileaks Party	1	2.0	0.1%
22	Australian Christians	7	8.1	0.2%
95	Swing Voter	0	0.0	
96	Independent	34	40.7	1.0%
97	Other party (not specified)	119	123.9	3.2%
98	No party	0	0.0	
-1	Missing	54	60.6	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

B9SENAT: B9. Vote in Senate

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*/-1]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3931 / 3931.101] [Invalid=24 / 23.899]
Pre-question	In the Federal election for the House of Representatives on Saturday 7 September, which party did you vote for first in the House of Representatives? And in the Senate election?
Literal question	Senate

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Liberal Party	1526	1398.6	35.6%
2	Labor Party (ALP)	1003	969.6	24.7%
3	National (Country) Party	150	137.4	3.5%
4	Greens	479	458.6	11.7%
5	Other (please specify party)	711	736.3	18.7%
6	Voted informal/Did not vote	62	230.5	5.9%
-1	Missing	24	23.9	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

XB9SENAT: B9. Extended - Vote in Senate

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*/-1]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3893 / 3885.497] [Invalid=62 / 69.503]
Pre-question	In the Federal election for the House of Representatives on Saturday 7 September, which party did you vote for first in the House of Representatives? And in the Senate election?
Literal question	Senate

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Liberal	1528	1400.2	36.0%
2	Labor	1004	970.5	25.0%
3	National (Country) Party	150	137.4	3.5%
4	Greens	479	458.6	11.8%
5	Other party (please specify)	51	60.0	1.5%
6	Voted informal/did not vote	72	240.7	6.2%

XB9SENAT: B9. Extended - Vote in Senate

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
7	Australian Democrats	6	6.7	0.2%
8	Christian Democratic Party	17	20.3	0.5%
9	Citizens Electoral Council	1	0.9	0.0%
10	Family First Party	23	28.1	0.7%
11	One Nation	12	16.5	0.4%
12	Republican Party	0	0.0	
13	Shooters Party	3	3.4	0.1%
14	Fishing Party	2	2.3	0.1%
15	Palmer's United Party	107	106.3	2.7%
16	Katter's Australia Party	17	15.7	0.4%
17	Liberal Democrats	6	8.7	0.2%
18	Motoring Enthusiasts Party	7	9.4	0.2%
19	Australian Sports Party	0	0.0	
20	The Australian Sex Party	22	29.1	0.7%
21	The Wikileaks Party	19	19.2	0.5%
22	Australian Christians	8	9.9	0.3%
95	Swing Voter	0	0.0	
96	Independent	86	80.8	2.1%
97	Other party (not specified)	273	260.8	6.7%
98	No party	0	0.0	
-1	Missing	62	69.5	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

B10REPS: B10. Preferences to in House of Reps

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*/-1]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=639 / 657.631] [Invalid=3316 / 3297.369]
Pre-question	If your first preference was for the Greens or other minor party: In the end, which of the two major parties, the Liberal-National Coalition or the Labor Party, did you give your preference to in the House of Representatives? And in the Senate election?
Literal question	House of Representatives

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Liberal-National Coalition	124	132.2	20.1%
2	Labor Party (ALP)	338	333.8	50.8%
3	Not sure/Don't know	177	191.7	29.1%
-1	Missing	3316	3297.4	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

B10SENAT: B10. Preferences to in Senate

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*/-1]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=861 / 878.967] [Invalid=3094 / 3076.033]
Pre-question	If your first preference was for the Greens or other minor party: In the end, which of the two major parties, the Liberal-National Coalition or the Labor Party, did you give your preference to in the House of Representatives? And in the Senate election?
Literal question	Senate

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)

B10SENAT: B10. Preferences to in Senate

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Liberal-National Coalition	153	151.6	17.2%
2	Labor Party (ALP)	393	394.9	44.9%
3	Not sure/Don't know	315	332.4	37.8%
-1	Missing	3094	3076.0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

B11: B11. Followed How to Vote card for H of R

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*/-1]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3803 / 3601.358] [Invalid=152 / 353.642]
Literal question	In voting for the House of Representatives, did you follow a party 'How to Vote' card or did you decide your own preferences?

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Followed a â€˜How to Voteâ€™ card	1783	1563.8	43.4%
2	Decided my own preferences	2020	2037.5	56.6%
-1	Missing	152	353.6	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

B12: B12. Thought of changing vote during campaign

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*/-1]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3787 / 3589.445] [Invalid=168 / 365.555]
Literal question	Was there any time during the election campaign when you seriously thought you might give your first preference to another party in the House of Representatives? Please cross one box only

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	No	2715	2504.8	69.8%
2	Yes, Liberal Party	212	213.2	5.9%
3	Yes, Labor Party (ALP)	254	255.4	7.1%
4	Yes, National (Country) Party	38	36.2	1.0%
5	Yes, Greens	225	232.2	6.5%
6	Yes, another party/independent	343	347.6	9.7%
-1	Missing	168	365.6	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

B13: B13. Vote in House of Representatives in 2010

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*/-1]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3842 / 3846.594] [Invalid=113 / 108.406]
Literal question	In the last Federal election in August 2010, when Labor was led by Julia Gillard and the Liberals by Tony Abbott, which party got your first preference then in the House of Representatives election?

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Liberal Party	1494	1358.8	35.3%
2	Labor Party (ALP)	1542	1534.3	39.9%
3	National (Country) Party	133	116.6	3.0%
4	Greens	310	325.9	8.5%
5	Another party/independent	191	230.5	6.0%
6	Did not vote	172	280.5	7.3%

B13: B13. Vote in House of Representatives in 2010

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
-1	Missing	113	108.4	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

B14P1: B14. Previous vote - always same

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*/-1]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1955 / 1822.209] [Invalid=2000 / 2132.791]
Pre-question	Before this current Federal election for the House of Representatives, had you always voted for the same party, or had you sometimes voted for different parties? Please cross all that apply
Literal question	Always voted for the same party

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)	
1	Always voted for the same party	1955	1822.2		100.0%
-1	Missing	2000	2132.8		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

B14P2: B14. Previous vote - sometimes Liberal

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 2-2] [Missing=*/-1]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=628 / 622.984] [Invalid=3327 / 3332.016]
Pre-question	Before this current Federal election for the House of Representatives, had you always voted for the same party, or had you sometimes voted for different parties? Please cross all that apply
Literal question	Sometimes voted Liberal

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)	
2	Sometimes voted Liberal	628	623.0		100.0%
-1	Missing	3327	3332.0		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

B14P3: B14. Previous vote - sometimes Labor

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 3-3] [Missing=*/-1]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=744 / 741.834] [Invalid=3211 / 3213.166]
Pre-question	Before this current Federal election for the House of Representatives, had you always voted for the same party, or had you sometimes voted for different parties? Please cross all that apply
Literal question	Sometimes voted Labor (ALP)

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)	
3	Sometimes voted Labor (ALP)	744	741.8		100.0%
-1	Missing	3211	3213.2		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

B14P4: B14. Previous vote - sometimes National (Country)

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 4-4] [Missing=*/-1]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=128 / 126.066] [Invalid=3827 / 3828.934]
Pre-question	Before this current Federal election for the House of Representatives, had you always voted for the same party, or had you sometimes voted for different parties? Please cross all that apply
Literal question	Sometimes voted National (Country)

B14P4: B14. Previous vote - sometimes National (Country)

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)	
4	Sometimes voted National (Country)	128	126.1		100.0%
-1	Missing	3827	3828.9		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

B14P6: B14. Previous vote - sometimes Greens

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 6-6] [Missing=*/-1]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=376 / 405.35] [Invalid=3579 / 3549.65]
Pre-question	Before this current Federal election for the House of Representatives, had you always voted for the same party, or had you sometimes voted for different parties? Please cross all that apply
Literal question	Sometimes voted Greens

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)	
6	Sometimes voted Greens	376	405.4		100.0%
-1	Missing	3579	3549.6		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

B14P7: B14. Previous vote - sometimes other party/independent

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 7-7] [Missing=*/-1]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=595 / 625.768] [Invalid=3360 / 3329.232]
Pre-question	Before this current Federal election for the House of Representatives, had you always voted for the same party, or had you sometimes voted for different parties? Please cross all that apply
Literal question	Sometimes voted for other party/independent

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)	
7	Sometimes voted for other party/independent	595	625.8		100.0%
-1	Missing	3360	3329.2		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

B14P8: B14. Previous vote - not before this election

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 8-8] [Missing=*/-1]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=142 / 246.19] [Invalid=3813 / 3708.81]
Pre-question	Before this current Federal election for the House of Representatives, had you always voted for the same party, or had you sometimes voted for different parties? Please cross all that apply
Literal question	Did not vote before this election

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)	
8	Did not vote before this election	142	246.2		100.0%
-1	Missing	3813	3708.8		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

B15: B15. Political parties care what people think

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/-1]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3876 / 3882.814] [Invalid=79 / 72.186]
Literal question	Some people say that political parties in Australia care what ordinary people think. Others say that political parties in Australia don't care what ordinary people think. Where would you place your view on this scale from 1 to 5?

B15: B15. Political parties care what people think

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Political parties in Australia care what ordinary people thi	316	283.0	7.3%
2	2	874	888.2	22.9%
3	3	1269	1217.9	31.4%
4	4	810	862.3	22.2%
5	Political parties in Australia donâ€™t care what ordinary pe	607	631.4	16.3%
-1	Missing	79	72.2	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

B16: B16. Political parties make system work

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/-1]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3871 / 3879.181] [Invalid=84 / 75.819]
Literal question	Where would you place your view on this scale from 1 to 5, where 1 means that political parties are necessary to make our political system work, and 5 means that political parties are not needed in Australia?

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Political parties are necessary to make our political system	1755	1601.4	41.3%
2	2	1047	1057.2	27.3%
3	3	696	793.1	20.4%
4	4	210	232.3	6.0%
5	Political parties are not needed in Australia - 5	163	195.2	5.0%
-1	Missing	84	75.8	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

B17LIB: B17. Feelings about Liberal Party

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-10] [Missing=*/-1]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3814 / 3832.166] [Invalid=141 / 122.834]
Pre-question	Finally in this section, we would like to know what you think about each of our political parties. Please rate each party on a scale from 0 to 10, where 0 means you strongly dislike that party and 10 means that you strongly like that party. If you are neutral about a particular party or don't know much about them, you should give them a rating of 5. How do you feel about:
Literal question	Liberal Party

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
0	Strongly dislike - 0	601	641.9	16.7%
1	1	182	191.0	5.0%
2	2	265	274.0	7.2%
3	3	205	216.9	5.7%
4	4	185	181.6	4.7%
5	Neutral - 5	560	643.0	16.8%
6	6	215	222.7	5.8%
7	7	308	314.6	8.2%
8	8	476	443.6	11.6%
9	9	275	243.7	6.4%
10	Strongly like - 10	542	459.1	12.0%
-1	Missing	141	122.8	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

B17ALP: B17. Feelings about Labor Party

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-10] [Missing=*/-1]	
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3797 / 3821.355] [Invalid=158 / 133.645]	
Pre-question	Finally in this section, we would like to know what you think about each of our political parties. Please rate each party on a scale from 0 to 10, where 0 means you strongly dislike that party and 10 means that you strongly like that party. If you are neutral about a particular party or don't know much about them, you should give them a rating of 5. How do you feel about:	
Literal question	Labor Party (ALP)	

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
0	Strongly dislike - 0	523	496.5	13.0%
1	1	207	203.6	5.3%
2	2	320	306.5	8.0%
3	3	317	316.3	8.3%
4	4	279	275.7	7.2%
5	Neutral - 5	625	707.0	18.5%
6	6	325	328.1	8.6%
7	7	376	398.6	10.4%
8	8	323	331.2	8.7%
9	9	173	161.8	4.2%
10	Strongly like - 10	329	296.1	7.7%
-1	Missing	158	133.6	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

B17NAT: B17. Feelings about National Party

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-10] [Missing=*/-1]	
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3722 / 3761.439] [Invalid=233 / 193.561]	
Pre-question	Finally in this section, we would like to know what you think about each of our political parties. Please rate each party on a scale from 0 to 10, where 0 means you strongly dislike that party and 10 means that you strongly like that party. If you are neutral about a particular party or don't know much about them, you should give them a rating of 5. How do you feel about:	
Literal question	National Party	

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
0	Strongly dislike - 0	472	482.2	12.8%
1	1	206	204.5	5.4%
2	2	246	243.5	6.5%
3	3	267	287.8	7.7%
4	4	200	197.2	5.2%
5	Neutral - 5	1240	1378.9	36.7%
6	6	221	213.6	5.7%
7	7	231	202.5	5.4%
8	8	259	230.7	6.1%
9	9	168	149.6	4.0%
10	Strongly like - 10	212	170.9	4.5%
-1	Missing	233	193.6	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

B17GRN: B17. Feelings about Greens

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-10] [Missing=*/-1]	
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3721 / 3761.597] [Invalid=234 / 193.403]	

B17GRN: B17. Feelings about Greens

Pre-question	Finally in this section, we would like to know what you think about each of our political parties. Please rate each party on a scale from 0 to 10, where 0 means you strongly dislike that party and 10 means that you strongly like that party. If you are neutral about a particular party or don't know much about them, you should give them a rating of 5. How do you feel about:
Literal question	Greens

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)	
0	Strongly dislike - 0	1030	951.4		25.3%
1	1	252	247.2		6.6%
2	2	243	245.6		6.5%
3	3	224	235.6		6.3%
4	4	179	174.3		4.6%
5	Neutral - 5	830	919.4		24.4%
6	6	248	260.5		6.9%
7	7	234	241.6		6.4%
8	8	236	248.3		6.6%
9	9	116	106.9		2.8%
10	Strongly like - 10	129	130.8		3.5%
-1	Missing	234	193.4		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

C1RUDD: C1. Feelings about Kevin Rudd

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-10] [Missing=*/-1]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3836 / 3852.277] [Invalid=119 / 102.723]
Pre-question	Again using a scale from 0 to 10, please show how much you like or dislike the party leaders. If you don't know much about them, you should give them a rating of 5. How do you feel about:
Literal question	Kevin Rudd

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)	
0	Strongly dislike - 0	977	876.4		22.8%
1	1	288	273.8		7.1%
2	2	337	348.1		9.0%
3	3	253	235.0		6.1%
4	4	219	229.7		6.0%
5	Neutral - 5	515	568.2		14.8%
6	6	292	323.7		8.4%
7	7	283	308.7		8.0%
8	8	295	317.2		8.2%
9	9	129	126.2		3.3%
10	Strongly like - 10	248	245.3		6.4%
-1	Missing	119	102.7		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

C1ABBOTT: C1. Feelings about Tony Abbott

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-10] [Missing=*/-1]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3844 / 3854.542] [Invalid=111 / 100.458]
Pre-question	Again using a scale from 0 to 10, please show how much you like or dislike the party leaders. If you don't know much about them, you should give them a rating of 5. How do you feel about:

C1ABBOTT: C1. Feelings about Tony Abbott

Literal question	Tony Abbott

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
0	Strongly dislike - 0	882	942.7	24.5%
1	1	244	244.0	6.3%
2	2	247	256.8	6.7%
3	3	204	210.3	5.5%
4	4	187	195.9	5.1%
5	Neutral - 5	478	557.1	14.5%
6	6	272	268.5	7.0%
7	7	311	292.3	7.6%
8	8	407	367.2	9.5%
9	9	242	204.5	5.3%
10	Strongly like - 10	370	315.3	8.2%
-1	Missing	111	100.5	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

C1TRUSS: C1. Feelings about Warren Truss

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-10] [Missing=*/-1]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3717 / 3747.668] [Invalid=238 / 207.332]
Pre-question	Again using a scale from 0 to 10, please show how much you like or dislike the party leaders. If you don't know much about them, you should give them a rating of 5. How do you feel about:
Literal question	Warren Truss

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
0	Strongly dislike - 0	377	372.6	9.9%
1	1	188	178.5	4.8%
2	2	210	210.8	5.6%
3	3	176	172.9	4.6%
4	4	146	147.4	3.9%
5	Neutral - 5	2084	2209.1	58.9%
6	6	128	118.7	3.2%
7	7	113	96.2	2.6%
8	8	135	118.3	3.2%
9	9	64	50.7	1.4%
10	Strongly like - 10	96	72.6	1.9%
-1	Missing	238	207.3	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

C1JULIA: C1. Feelings about Julia Gillard

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-10] [Missing=*/-1]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3787 / 3805.899] [Invalid=168 / 149.101]
Pre-question	Again using a scale from 0 to 10, please show how much you like or dislike the party leaders. If you don't know much about them, you should give them a rating of 5. How do you feel about:
Literal question	Julia Gillard

C1JULIA: C1. Feelings about Julia Gillard

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)	
0	Strongly dislike - 0	866	836.6		22.0%
1	1	264	260.8		6.9%
2	2	305	302.3		7.9%
3	3	263	260.8		6.9%
4	4	284	297.8		7.8%
5	Neutral - 5	550	622.0		16.3%
6	6	309	332.5		8.7%
7	7	276	267.7		7.0%
8	8	277	281.4		7.4%
9	9	175	152.2		4.0%
10	Strongly like - 10	218	191.8		5.0%
-1	Missing	168	149.1		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

C1MILNE: C1. Feelings about Christine Milne

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-10] [Missing=*/-1]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3753 / 3774.817] [Invalid=202 / 180.183]
Pre-question	Again using a scale from 0 to 10, please show how much you like or dislike the party leaders. If you don't know much about them, you should give them a rating of 5. How do you feel about:
Literal question	Christine Milne

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
0	Strongly dislike - 0	799	722.6	19.1%
1	1	239	221.1	5.9%
2	2	239	224.8	6.0%
3	3	211	200.9	5.3%
4	4	177	179.5	4.8%
5	Neutral - 5	1511	1678.8	44.5%
6	6	192	201.9	5.3%
7	7	145	133.2	3.5%
8	8	112	105.0	2.8%
9	9	65	56.6	1.5%
10	Strongly like - 10	63	50.4	1.3%
-1	Missing	202	180.2	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

C2KRINT: C2. Kevin Rudd - Intelligent

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*/-1]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3811 / 3824.099] [Invalid=144 / 130.901]
Pre-question	Here is a list of words and phrases people use to describe party leaders. Thinking first about Kevin Rudd, in your opinion how well does each of these describe him—extremely well, quite well, not too well or not well at all?
Literal question	Intelligent

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Extremely well	1211	1179.9	30.9%
2	Quite well	2040	2051.4	53.6%
3	Not too well	399	413.7	10.8%
4	Not well at all	161	179.1	4.7%
-1	Missing	144	130.9	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

C2KRCMP: C2. Kevin Rudd - Compassionate

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*/-1]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3772 / 3799.037] [Invalid=183 / 155.963]
Pre-question	Here is a list of words and phrases people use to describe party leaders. Thinking first about Kevin Rudd, in your opinion how well does each of these describe him—extremely well, quite well, not too well or not well at all?
Literal question	Compassionate

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Extremely well	336	330.3	8.7%
2	Quite well	1446	1511.0	39.8%
3	Not too well	1413	1398.6	36.8%

C2KRCMP: C2. Kevin Rudd - Compassionate

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
4	Not well at all	577	559.0	14.7%
-1	Missing	183	156.0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

C2KRCMPT: C2. Kevin Rudd - Competent

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*/-1]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3777 / 3801.88] [Invalid=178 / 153.12]
Pre-question	Here is a list of words and phrases people use to describe party leaders. Thinking first about Kevin Rudd, in your opinion how well does each of these describe him—extremely well, quite well, not too well or not well at all?
Literal question	Competent

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Extremely well	403	399.9	10.5%
2	Quite well	1478	1557.3	41.0%
3	Not too well	1206	1178.0	31.0%
4	Not well at all	690	666.6	17.5%
-1	Missing	178	153.1	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

C2KRSEN: C2. Kevin Rudd - Sensible

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*/-1]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3764 / 3790.145] [Invalid=191 / 164.855]
Pre-question	Here is a list of words and phrases people use to describe party leaders. Thinking first about Kevin Rudd, in your opinion how well does each of these describe him—extremely well, quite well, not too well or not well at all?
Literal question	Sensible

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Extremely well	347	353.6	9.3%
2	Quite well	1417	1486.6	39.2%
3	Not too well	1404	1373.9	36.3%
4	Not well at all	596	576.0	15.2%
-1	Missing	191	164.9	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

C2KRLEA: C2. Kevin Rudd - Provides strong leadership

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*/-1]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3784 / 3808.156] [Invalid=171 / 146.844]
Pre-question	Here is a list of words and phrases people use to describe party leaders. Thinking first about Kevin Rudd, in your opinion how well does each of these describe him—extremely well, quite well, not too well or not well at all?
Literal question	Provides strong leadership

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Extremely well	400	401.8	10.6%
2	Quite well	1061	1102.7	29.0%
3	Not too well	1347	1356.3	35.6%
4	Not well at all	976	947.5	24.9%
-1	Missing	171	146.8	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

C2KRHON: C2. Kevin Rudd - Honest

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*/-1]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3784 / 3807.219] [Invalid=171 / 147.781]
Pre-question	Here is a list of words and phrases people use to describe party leaders. Thinking first about Kevin Rudd, in your opinion how well does each of these describe him—extremely well, quite well, not too well or not well at all?
Literal question	Honest

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Extremely well	275	256.6	6.7%
2	Quite well	1133	1176.1	30.9%
3	Not too well	1292	1296.6	34.1%
4	Not well at all	1084	1077.9	28.3%
-1	Missing	171	147.8	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

C2KRKNO: C2. Kevin Rudd - Knowledgeable

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*/-1]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3775 / 3794.083] [Invalid=180 / 160.917]
Pre-question	Here is a list of words and phrases people use to describe party leaders. Thinking first about Kevin Rudd, in your opinion how well does each of these describe him—extremely well, quite well, not too well or not well at all?
Literal question	Knowledgeable

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Extremely well	1031	997.0	26.3%
2	Quite well	1987	2019.8	53.2%
3	Not too well	563	561.7	14.8%
4	Not well at all	194	215.6	5.7%
-1	Missing	180	160.9	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

C2KRINS: C2. Kevin Rudd - Inspiring

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*/-1]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3765 / 3792.45] [Invalid=190 / 162.55]
Pre-question	Here is a list of words and phrases people use to describe party leaders. Thinking first about Kevin Rudd, in your opinion how well does each of these describe him—extremely well, quite well, not too well or not well at all?
Literal question	Inspiring

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Extremely well	290	295.2	7.8%
2	Quite well	916	958.6	25.3%
3	Not too well	1387	1406.9	37.1%
4	Not well at all	1172	1131.7	29.8%
-1	Missing	190	162.6	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

C2KRTRU: C2. Kevin Rudd - Trustworthy

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*/-1]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3775 / 3799.456] [Invalid=180 / 155.544]
Pre-question	Here is a list of words and phrases people use to describe party leaders. Thinking first about Kevin Rudd, in your opinion how well does each of these describe him—extremely well, quite well, not too well or not well at all?

C2KRTRU: C2. Kevin Rudd - Trustworthy

	Literal question		Trustworthy		

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)	
1	Extremely well	220	209.8	5.5%	
2	Quite well	839	880.5	23.2%	
3	Not too well	1295	1334.5	35.1%	
4	Not well at all	1421	1374.6	36.2%	
-1	Missing	180	155.5		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

C3TAINT: C3. Tony Abbott - Intelligent

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*/-1]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3817 / 3832.478] [Invalid=138 / 122.522]
Pre-question	Now thinking about Tony Abbott, in your opinion how well does each of these describe him - extremely well, quite well, not too well or not well at all?
Literal question	Intelligent

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)	
1	Extremely well	874	767.7	20.0%	
2	Quite well	1865	1827.9	47.7%	
3	Not too well	732	806.9	21.1%	
4	Not well at all	346	429.9	11.2%	
-1	Missing	138	122.5		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

C3TACMP: C3. Tony Abbott - Compassionate

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*/-1]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3773 / 3798.985] [Invalid=182 / 156.015]
Pre-question	Now thinking about Tony Abbott, in your opinion how well does each of these describe him - extremely well, quite well, not too well or not well at all?
Literal question	Compassionate

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)	
1	Extremely well	374	329.6	8.7%	
2	Quite well	1511	1422.4	37.4%	
3	Not too well	1194	1257.1	33.1%	
4	Not well at all	694	789.9	20.8%	
-1	Missing	182	156.0		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

C3TACMPT: C3. Tony Abbott - Competent

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*/-1]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3774 / 3797.656] [Invalid=181 / 157.344]
Pre-question	Now thinking about Tony Abbott, in your opinion how well does each of these describe him - extremely well, quite well, not too well or not well at all?
Literal question	Competent

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)	
1	Extremely well	453	403.5	10.6%	

C3TACMPT: C3. Tony Abbott - Competent

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
2	Quite well	1827	1763.0	46.4%
3	Not too well	1017	1076.5	28.3%
4	Not well at all	477	554.7	14.6%
-1	Missing	181	157.3	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

C3TASEN: C3. Tony Abbott - Sensible

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*/-1]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3768 / 3794.149] [Invalid=187 / 160.851]
Pre-question	Now thinking about Tony Abbott, in your opinion how well does each of these describe him - extremely well, quite well, not too well or not well at all?
Literal question	Sensible

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Extremely well	510	457.9	12.1%
2	Quite well	1582	1510.2	39.8%
3	Not too well	1147	1207.0	31.8%
4	Not well at all	529	619.1	16.3%
-1	Missing	187	160.9	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

C3TALEA: C3. Tony Abbott - Provides strong leadership

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*/-1]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3780 / 3805.227] [Invalid=175 / 149.773]
Pre-question	Now thinking about Tony Abbott, in your opinion how well does each of these describe him - extremely well, quite well, not too well or not well at all?
Literal question	Provides strong leadership

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Extremely well	726	657.4	17.3%
2	Quite well	1627	1620.1	42.6%
3	Not too well	968	996.1	26.2%
4	Not well at all	459	531.7	14.0%
-1	Missing	175	149.8	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

C3TAHON: C3. Tony Abbott - Honest

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*/-1]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3775 / 3801.133] [Invalid=180 / 153.867]
Pre-question	Now thinking about Tony Abbott, in your opinion how well does each of these describe him - extremely well, quite well, not too well or not well at all?
Literal question	Honest

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Extremely well	565	475.6	12.5%
2	Quite well	1301	1251.6	32.9%
3	Not too well	1128	1189.5	31.3%
4	Not well at all	781	884.3	23.3%

C3TAHON: C3. Tony Abbott - Honest

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
-1	Missing	180	153.9	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

C3TAKNO: C3. Tony Abbott - Knowledgeable

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*/-1]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3781 / 3799.663] [Invalid=174 / 155.337]
Pre-question	Now thinking about Tony Abbott, in your opinion how well does each of these describe him - extremely well, quite well, not too well or not well at all?
Literal question	Knowledgeable

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Extremely well	607	530.6	14.0%
2	Quite well	1719	1676.1	44.1%
3	Not too well	979	1037.4	27.3%
4	Not well at all	476	555.6	14.6%
-1	Missing	174	155.3	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

C3TAINS: C3. Tony Abbott - Inspiring

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*/-1]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3764 / 3790.861] [Invalid=191 / 164.139]
Pre-question	Now thinking about Tony Abbott, in your opinion how well does each of these describe him - extremely well, quite well, not too well or not well at all?
Literal question	Inspiring

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Extremely well	287	265.1	7.0%
2	Quite well	973	900.2	23.7%
3	Not too well	1407	1421.3	37.5%
4	Not well at all	1097	1204.2	31.8%
-1	Missing	191	164.1	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

C3TATRU: C3. Tony Abbott - Trustworthy

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*/-1]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3776 / 3796.141] [Invalid=179 / 158.859]
Pre-question	Now thinking about Tony Abbott, in your opinion how well does each of these describe him - extremely well, quite well, not too well or not well at all?
Literal question	Trustworthy

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Extremely well	521	435.7	11.5%
2	Quite well	1137	1092.3	28.8%
3	Not too well	1107	1160.7	30.6%
4	Not well at all	1011	1107.4	29.2%
-1	Missing	179	158.9	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

C4: C4. Satisfied with Aust democracy

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*/-1]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3854 / 3862.576] [Invalid=101 / 92.424]
Literal question	On the whole, are you very satisfied, fairly satisfied, not very satisfied or not at all satisfied with the way democracy works in Australia?

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Very satisfied	575	542.2	14.0%
2	Fairly satisfied	2301	2229.8	57.7%
3	Not very satisfied	802	857.8	22.2%
4	Not at all satisfied	176	232.8	6.0%
-1	Missing	101	92.4	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

C5: C5. Government looks after themselves

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*/-1]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3861 / 3871.474] [Invalid=94 / 83.526]
Literal question	In general, do you feel that the people in government are too often interested in looking after themselves, or do you feel that they can be trusted to do the right thing nearly all the time?

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Usually look after themselves	1406	1467.5	37.9%
2	Sometimes look after themselves	1072	1078.1	27.8%
3	Sometimes can be trusted to do the right thing	917	894.9	23.1%
4	Usually can be trusted to do the right thing	466	431.0	11.1%
-1	Missing	94	83.5	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

C6: C6. Government run by big interests

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/-1]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3851 / 3862.458] [Invalid=104 / 92.542]
Literal question	Would you say the government is run by a few big interests looking out for themselves, or that it is run for the benefit of all the people?

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Entirely run for the big interests	386	434.9	11.3%
2	Mostly run for the big interests	1334	1373.8	35.6%
3	About half and half	1469	1431.0	37.0%
4	Mostly run for the benefit of all	628	588.5	15.2%
5	Entirely run for the benefit of all	34	34.2	0.9%
-1	Missing	104	92.5	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

C7P6: C7. 5 yrs done to express views-contact official via email

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*/-1]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3670 / 3710.224] [Invalid=285 / 244.776]
Pre-question	Over the past five years or so, have you done any of the following things to express your views about something the government should or should not be doing?
Literal question	Contacted a politician or government official by email

C7P6: C7. 5 yrs done to express views-contact official via email

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	628	621.8	16.8%
2	No	3042	3088.4	83.2%
-1	Missing	285	244.8	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

C7P1: C7. 5 yrs done to express views-contact official in person or in writing

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*/-1]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3655 / 3692.501] [Invalid=300 / 262.499]
Pre-question	Over the past five years or so, have you done any of the following things to express your views about something the government should or should not be doing?
Literal question	Contacted a politician or government official either in person, or in writing

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	612	561.5	15.2%
2	No	3043	3131.0	84.8%
-1	Missing	300	262.5	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

C7P2: C7. 5 yrs done to express views-protest/march

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*/-1]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3628 / 3676.697] [Invalid=327 / 278.303]
Pre-question	Over the past five years or so, have you done any of the following things to express your views about something the government should or should not be doing?
Literal question	Taken part in a protest, march or demonstration

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	368	380.2	10.3%
2	No	3260	3296.5	89.7%
-1	Missing	327	278.3	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

C7P3: C7. 5 yrs done to express views-work with like others

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*/-1]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3643 / 3687.484] [Invalid=312 / 267.516]
Pre-question	Over the past five years or so, have you done any of the following things to express your views about something the government should or should not be doing?
Literal question	Worked together with people who shared the same concern

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	720	707.5	19.2%
2	No	2923	2980.0	80.8%
-1	Missing	312	267.5	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

C7P4: C7. 5 yrs done to express views-signed written petition

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*/-1]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3725 / 3757.336] [Invalid=230 / 197.664]

C7P4: C7. 5 yrs done to express views-signed written petition

Pre-question		Over the past five years or so, have you done any of the following things to express your views about something the government should or should not be doing?		
Literal question		Signed a written petition		

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	1648	1602.1	42.6%
2	No	2077	2155.2	57.4%
-1	Missing	230	197.7	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

C7P5: C7. 5 yrs done to express views-signed e-petition

Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*/-1]
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=3637 / 3685.875] [Invalid=318 / 269.125]
Pre-question		Over the past five years or so, have you done any of the following things to express your views about something the government should or should not be doing?
Literal question		Signed an online or e-petition

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	958	1057.8	28.7%
2	No	2679	2628.1	71.3%
-1	Missing	318	269.1	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

C8: C8. Fed Politicians know what people think

Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/-1]
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=3841 / 3842.896] [Invalid=114 / 112.104]
Literal question		Some people say that Federal politicians know what ordinary people think. Others say that Federal politicians don't know much about what ordinary people think. Where would you place your view on this scale from 1 to 5?

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Federal politicians know what ordinary people think - 1	134	133.9	3.5%
2	2	688	696.2	18.1%
3	3	1390	1345.9	35.0%
4	4	967	985.7	25.6%
5	Federal politicians don't know what ordinary people think	662	681.2	17.7%
-1	Missing	114	112.1	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

C9: C9. Doesn't make a difference who in power

Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/-1]
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=3860 / 3863.774] [Invalid=95 / 91.226]
Literal question		Some people say it makes a big difference who is in power. Others say it doesn't make any difference who is in power. Using the scale below, where would you place yourself?

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	It makes a big difference who is in power - 1	1213	1130.1	29.2%
2	2	1188	1200.2	31.1%
3	3	756	746.3	19.3%

C9: C9. Doesn't make a difference who in power

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
4	4	401	446.5	11.6%
5	It doesn'€™t make any difference who is in power - 5	302	340.6	8.8%
-1	Missing	95	91.2	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

C10: C10. Doesn't make a difference who vote for

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/-1]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3861 / 3864.422] [Invalid=94 / 90.578]
Literal question	Some people say that no matter who people vote for, it won't make any difference to what happens. Others say that who people vote for can make a big difference to what happens. Using the scale below, where would you place yourself?

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Who people vote for can make a big difference - 1	1269	1205.1	31.2%
2	2	1290	1261.2	32.6%
3	3	738	733.7	19.0%
4	4	314	368.7	9.5%
5	Who people vote for won'€™t make any difference - 5	250	295.7	7.7%
-1	Missing	94	90.6	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

D1TAX: D1. Importance of Taxation

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*/-1]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3793 / 3808.961] [Invalid=162 / 146.039]
Pre-question	Here is a list of important issues that were discussed during the election campaign. When you were deciding about how to vote, how important was each of these issues to you personally?
Literal question	Taxation

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Extremely important	1329	1391.1	36.5%
2	Quite important	1729	1712.1	44.9%
3	Not very important	735	705.8	18.5%
-1	Missing	162	146.0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

D1IMMIG: D1. Importance of Immigration

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*/-1]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3817 / 3825.34] [Invalid=138 / 129.66]
Pre-question	Here is a list of important issues that were discussed during the election campaign. When you were deciding about how to vote, how important was each of these issues to you personally?
Literal question	Immigration

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Extremely important	1709	1643.3	43.0%
2	Quite important	1453	1473.0	38.5%
3	Not very important	655	709.1	18.5%
-1	Missing	138	129.7	

D1IMMIG: D1. Importance of Immigration

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

D1EDUC: D1. Importance of Education

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*/-1]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3821 / 3831.881] [Invalid=134 / 123.119]
Pre-question	Here is a list of important issues that were discussed during the election campaign. When you were deciding about how to vote, how important was each of these issues to you personally?
Literal question	Education

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)	
1	Extremely important	2424	2424.8		63.3%
2	Quite important	1162	1150.5		30.0%
3	Not very important	235	256.5		6.7%
-1	Missing	134	123.1		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

D1ENVIR: D1. Importance of The environment

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*/-1]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3811 / 3823.149] [Invalid=144 / 131.851]
Pre-question	Here is a list of important issues that were discussed during the election campaign. When you were deciding about how to vote, how important was each of these issues to you personally?
Literal question	The environment

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)	
1	Extremely important	1636	1632.6		42.7%
2	Quite important	1726	1714.0		44.8%
3	Not very important	449	476.5		12.5%
-1	Missing	144	131.9		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

D1INDREL: D1. Importance of Industrial relations

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*/-1]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3786 / 3803.947] [Invalid=169 / 151.053]
Pre-question	Here is a list of important issues that were discussed during the election campaign. When you were deciding about how to vote, how important was each of these issues to you personally?
Literal question	Industrial relations

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)	
1	Extremely important	1134	1091.4		28.7%
2	Quite important	1962	1952.6		51.3%
3	Not very important	690	760.0		20.0%
-1	Missing	169	151.1		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

D1HEALTH: D1. Importance of Health and Medicare

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*/-1]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3836 / 3841.478] [Invalid=119 / 113.522]
Pre-question	Here is a list of important issues that were discussed during the election campaign. When you were deciding about how to vote, how important was each of these issues to you personally?
Literal question	Health and Medicare

D1HEALTH: D1. Importance of Health and Medicare

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)	
1	Extremely important	2701	2625.9		68.4%
2	Quite important	987	1035.1		26.9%
3	Not very important	148	180.6		4.7%
-1	Missing	119	113.5		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

D1REAS: D1. Importance of Refugees and asylum seekers

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*/-1]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3818 / 3827.03] [Invalid=137 / 127.97]
Pre-question	Here is a list of important issues that were discussed during the election campaign. When you were deciding about how to vote, how important was each of these issues to you personally?
Literal question	Refugees and asylum seekers

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)	
1	Extremely important	1827	1743.7		45.6%
2	Quite important	1298	1321.9		34.5%
3	Not very important	693	761.5		19.9%
-1	Missing	137	128.0		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

D1GLOBAL: D1. Importance of Global warming

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*/-1]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3806 / 3817.06] [Invalid=149 / 137.94]
Pre-question	Here is a list of important issues that were discussed during the election campaign. When you were deciding about how to vote, how important was each of these issues to you personally?
Literal question	Global warming

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)	
1	Extremely important	1257	1274.8		33.4%
2	Quite important	1559	1543.5		40.4%
3	Not very important	990	998.8		26.2%
-1	Missing	149	137.9		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

D1CARBON: D1. Importance of The carbon tax

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*/-1]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3807 / 3816.916] [Invalid=148 / 138.084]
Pre-question	Here is a list of important issues that were discussed during the election campaign. When you were deciding about how to vote, how important was each of these issues to you personally?
Literal question	The carbon tax

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)	
1	Extremely important	1398	1356.5		35.5%
2	Quite important	1432	1440.6		37.7%
3	Not very important	977	1019.8		26.7%
-1	Missing	148	138.1		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

D1ECONO: D1. Importance of Management of the economy

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*/-1]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3822 / 3832.357] [Invalid=133 / 122.643]
Pre-question	Here is a list of important issues that were discussed during the election campaign. When you were deciding about how to vote, how important was each of these issues to you personally?
Literal question	Management of the economy

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)	
1	Extremely important	2715	2591.1		67.6%
2	Quite important	938	1029.5	26.9%	
3	Not very important	169	211.7	5.5%	
-1	Missing	133	122.6		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

D2TAX: D2. Party closest to own view Taxation

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*/-1]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3755 / 3774.43] [Invalid=200 / 180.57]
Pre-question	Still thinking about these same issues, whose policies—the Labor Party's or the Liberal–National Coalition's—would you say come closer to your own views on each of these issues?
Literal question	Taxation

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)	
1	Labor (ALP)	963	943.9	25.0%	
2	Liberal - National Coalition	1391	1297.0		34.4%
3	No difference	799	816.9	21.6%	
4	Don't know	602	716.7	19.0%	
-1	Missing	200	180.6		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

D2IMMIG: D2. Party closest to own view Immigration

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*/-1]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3762 / 3779.348] [Invalid=193 / 175.652]
Pre-question	Still thinking about these same issues, whose policies—the Labor Party's or the Liberal–National Coalition's—would you say come closer to your own views on each of these issues?
Literal question	Immigration

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)	
1	Labor (ALP)	777	786.4	20.8%	
2	Liberal - National Coalition	1531	1395.9		36.9%
3	No difference	967	992.9	26.3%	
4	Don't know	487	604.2	16.0%	
-1	Missing	193	175.7		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

D2EDUC: D2. Party closest to own view Education

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*/-1]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3770 / 3787.692] [Invalid=185 / 167.308]
Pre-question	Still thinking about these same issues, whose policies—the Labor Party's or the Liberal–National Coalition's—would you say come closer to your own views on each of these issues?
Literal question	Education

D2EDUC: D2. Party closest to own view Education

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)	
1	Labor (ALP)	1618	1621.5		42.8%
2	Liberal - National Coalition	1067	961.1		25.4%
3	No difference	705	706.6		18.7%
4	Don't know	380	498.4		13.2%
-1	Missing	185	167.3		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

D2ENVIR: D2. Party closest to own view The environment

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*/-1]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3748 / 3767.452] [Invalid=207 / 187.548]
Pre-question	Still thinking about these same issues, whose policies—the Labor Party's or the Liberal–National Coalition's—would you say come closer to your own views on each of these issues?
Literal question	The environment

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)	
1	Labor (ALP)	1327	1342.9		35.6%
2	Liberal - National Coalition	947	849.2		22.5%
3	No difference	953	934.1		24.8%
4	Don't know	521	641.2		17.0%
-1	Missing	207	187.5		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

D2INDREL: D2. Party closest to own view Industrial relations

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*/-1]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3759 / 3776.915] [Invalid=196 / 178.085]
Pre-question	Still thinking about these same issues, whose policies—the Labor Party's or the Liberal–National Coalition's—would you say come closer to your own views on each of these issues?
Literal question	Industrial relations

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)	
1	Labor (ALP)	1203	1161.3		30.7%
2	Liberal - National Coalition	1246	1142.9		30.3%
3	No difference	663	674.4		17.9%
4	Don't know	647	798.3		21.1%
-1	Missing	196	178.1		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

D2HEALTH: D2. Party closest to own view Health and Medicare

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*/-1]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3780 / 3792.578] [Invalid=175 / 162.422]
Pre-question	Still thinking about these same issues, whose policies—the Labor Party's or the Liberal–National Coalition's—would you say come closer to your own views on each of these issues?
Literal question	Health and Medicare

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)	
1	Labor (ALP)	1398	1382.4		36.5%
2	Liberal - National Coalition	1115	1012.1		26.7%

D2HEALTH: D2. Party closest to own view Health and Medicare

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
3	No difference	815	815.5	21.5%
4	Don't know	452	582.6	15.4%
-1	Missing	175	162.4	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

D2REAS: D2. Party closest to own view Refugees and asylum seekers

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*/-1]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3769 / 3782.788] [Invalid=186 / 172.212]
Pre-question	Still thinking about these same issues, whose policies—the Labor Party's or the Liberal–National Coalition's—would you say come closer to your own views on each of these issues?
Literal question	Refugees and asylum seekers

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Labor (ALP)	713	711.1	18.8%
2	Liberal - National Coalition	1650	1539.8	40.7%
3	No difference	992	1024.5	27.1%
4	Don't know	414	507.4	13.4%
-1	Missing	186	172.2	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

D2GLOBAL: D2. Party closest to own view Global warming

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*/-1]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3745 / 3762.558] [Invalid=210 / 192.442]
Pre-question	Still thinking about these same issues, whose policies—the Labor Party's or the Liberal–National Coalition's—would you say come closer to your own views on each of these issues?
Literal question	Global warming

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Labor (ALP)	1299	1297.5	34.5%
2	Liberal - National Coalition	940	845.7	22.5%
3	No difference	908	904.0	24.0%
4	Don't know	598	715.5	19.0%
-1	Missing	210	192.4	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

D2CARBON: D2. Party closest to own view The carbon tax

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*/-1]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3765 / 3781.146] [Invalid=190 / 173.854]
Pre-question	Still thinking about these same issues, whose policies—the Labor Party's or the Liberal–National Coalition's—would you say come closer to your own views on each of these issues?
Literal question	The carbon tax

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Labor (ALP)	1214	1211.8	32.0%
2	Liberal - National Coalition	1686	1566.0	41.4%
3	No difference	441	460.8	12.2%
4	Don't know	424	542.5	14.3%
-1	Missing	190	173.9	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

D2ECONO: D2. Party closest to own view Management of the economy

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*/-1]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3776 / 3790.745] [Invalid=179 / 164.255]
Pre-question	Still thinking about these same issues, whose policies—the Labor Party's or the Liberal–National Coalition's—would you say come closer to your own views on each of these issues?
Literal question	Management of the economy

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Labor (ALP)	880	864.9	22.8%
2	Liberal - National Coalition	1799	1657.9	43.7%
3	No difference	664	695.2	18.3%
4	Don't know	433	572.8	15.1%
-1	Missing	179	164.3	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

D3P1: D3. Most important issue during election campaign

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-10] [Missing=*/-1]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3587 / 3619.111] [Invalid=368 / 335.889]
Pre-question	Still thinking about the same 10 issues, which of these issues was most important to you and your family during the election campaign? And which next? Please put the number of the issue in the appropriate box below
Literal question	Issue of most concern

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Taxation	335	384.1	10.6%
2	Immigration	72	80.4	2.2%
3	Education	475	538.0	14.9%
4	The environment	203	209.9	5.8%
5	Industrial relations	108	104.0	2.9%
6	Health and Medicare	699	687.0	19.0%
7	Refugees and asylum seekers	397	364.9	10.1%
8	Global warming	132	124.9	3.5%
9	The carbon tax	135	129.3	3.6%
10	Management of the economy	1031	996.6	27.5%
-1	Missing	368	335.9	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

D3P2: D3. Second important issue during election campaign

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-10] [Missing=*/-1]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3491 / 3529.522] [Invalid=464 / 425.478]
Pre-question	Still thinking about the same 10 issues, which of these issues was most important to you and your family during the election campaign? And which next? Please put the number of the issue in the appropriate box below
Literal question	Second issue of concern

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Taxation	335	376.5	10.7%
2	Immigration	150	141.5	4.0%
3	Education	538	552.2	15.6%

D3P2: D3. Second important issue during election campaign

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
4	The environment	203	220.7	6.3%
5	Industrial relations	149	146.0	4.1%
6	Health and Medicare	721	739.5	21.0%
7	Refugees and asylum seekers	512	486.7	13.8%
8	Global warming	171	167.9	4.8%
9	The carbon tax	220	208.4	5.9%
10	Management of the economy	492	490.0	13.9%
-1	Missing	464	425.5	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

D4HHOLD: D4. Financial situation of hhold now to 1 yr ago

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/-1]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3841 / 3843.145] [Invalid=114 / 111.855]
Pre-question	How does the financial situation of your household now compare with what it was 12 months ago? And how do you think the general economic situation in Australia now compares with what it was 12 months ago?
Literal question	Financial situation of your household

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	A lot better	140	163.6	4.3%
2	A little better	530	543.4	14.1%
3	About the same	1761	1778.1	46.3%
4	A little worse	932	900.0	23.4%
5	A lot worse	478	458.2	11.9%
-1	Missing	114	111.9	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

D4CNTRY: D4. Economic situation country now to 1 yr ago

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/-1]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3788 / 3792.367] [Invalid=167 / 162.633]
Pre-question	How does the financial situation of your household now compare with what it was 12 months ago? And how do you think the general economic situation in Australia now compares with what it was 12 months ago?
Literal question	Economic situation in Australia

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	A lot better	95	91.2	2.4%
2	A little better	491	513.4	13.5%
3	About the same	1380	1443.4	38.1%
4	A little worse	1105	1089.2	28.7%
5	A lot worse	717	655.2	17.3%
-1	Missing	167	162.6	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

D5HHOLD: D5. Effect of govt policies on hhold situation

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*/-1]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3870 / 3865.678] [Invalid=85 / 89.322]
Pre-question	Compared with 12 months ago, would you say that the Federal government's policies have had a good effect, a bad effect, or that they really have not made much difference to the financial situation of your household? And what effect do you think they have had on the general economic situation in Australia as a whole?

D5HHOLD: D5. Effect of govt policies on hhold situation

Literal question	Financial situation of your household			

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	A good effect	321	323.1	8.4%
2	Not much difference	2398	2439.8	63.1%
3	A bad effect	1151	1102.8	28.5%
-1	Missing	85	89.3	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

D5CNTRY: D5. Effect of govt policies on country situation

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*/-1]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3819 / 3822.968] [Invalid=136 / 132.032]
Pre-question	Compared with 12 months ago, would you say that the Federal government's policies have had a good effect, a bad effect, or that they really have not made much difference to the financial situation of your household? And what effect do you think they have had on the general economic situation in Australia as a whole?
Literal question	Economic situation in Australia

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	A good effect	498	509.0	13.3%
2	Not much difference	1837	1911.9	50.0%
3	A bad effect	1484	1402.0	36.7%
-1	Missing	136	132.0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

D6HHOLD: D6. Financial situation of hhold in 1 yr

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/-1]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3868 / 3866.732] [Invalid=87 / 88.268]
Pre-question	Compared to now, what do you think the financial situation of your household will be in 12 months time? And what do you think the general economic situation in Australia as a whole will be in 12 months time?
Literal question	Financial situation of your household

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	A lot better	179	180.9	4.7%
2	A little better	1057	1043.7	27.0%
3	About the same	1670	1650.2	42.7%
4	A little worse	678	683.7	17.7%
5	A lot worse	284	308.3	8.0%
-1	Missing	87	88.3	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

D6CNTRY: D6. Economic situation country in 1 yr

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/-1]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3831 / 3831.584] [Invalid=124 / 123.416]
Pre-question	Compared to now, what do you think the financial situation of your household will be in 12 months time? And what do you think the general economic situation in Australia as a whole will be in 12 months time?
Literal question	Economic situation in Australia

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	A lot better	296	272.3	7.1%

D6CNTRY: D6. Economic situation country in 1 yr

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
2	A little better	1201	1146.8	29.9%
3	About the same	1351	1393.6	36.4%
4	A little worse	660	674.2	17.6%
5	A lot worse	323	344.7	9.0%
-1	Missing	124	123.4	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

D7HHOLD: D7. Effect of govt policies on hhold situation in 1 yr

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*/-1]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3851 / 3849.604] [Invalid=104 / 105.396]
Pre-question	Do you think that, 12 months from now, the Federal government's policies will have had a good effect, a bad effect, or that they really will have not made much difference to the financial situation of your household? And what effect do you think they will have had on general economic situation in Australia as a whole?
Literal question	Financial situation of your household

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	A good effect	871	842.0	21.9%
2	Not much difference	2370	2353.4	61.1%
3	A bad effect	610	654.3	17.0%
-1	Missing	104	105.4	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

D7CNTRY: D7. Effect of govt policies on country situation in 1 yr

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*/-1]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3809 / 3808.81] [Invalid=146 / 146.19]
Pre-question	Do you think that, 12 months from now, the Federal government's policies will have had a good effect, a bad effect, or that they really will have not made much difference to the financial situation of your household? And what effect do you think they will have had on general economic situation in Australia as a whole?
Literal question	Economic situation in Australia

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	A good effect	1326	1259.1	33.1%
2	Not much difference	1844	1871.1	49.1%
3	A bad effect	639	678.6	17.8%
-1	Missing	146	146.2	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

D8P1: D8. More or less expenditure - Health

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/-1]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3851 / 3848.325] [Invalid=104 / 106.675]
Pre-question	Please say whether there should be more or less public expenditure in each of the following areas. Remember if you say 'more' it could require a tax increase, and if you say 'less' it could require a reduction in those services.
Literal question	Health

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Much more than now	1247	1215.3	31.6%
2	Somewhat more than now	1790	1769.2	46.0%
3	The same as now	726	740.9	19.3%

D8P1: D8. More or less expenditure - Health

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
4	Somewhat less than now	50	57.0	1.5%
5	Much less than now	38	65.9	1.7%
-1	Missing	104	106.7	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

D8P2: D8. More or less expenditure - Education

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/-1]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3818 / 3825.194] [Invalid=137 / 129.806]
Pre-question	Please say whether there should be more or less public expenditure in each of the following areas. Remember if you say 'more' it could require a tax increase, and if you say 'less' it could require a reduction in those services.
Literal question	Education

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Much more than now	997	992.7	26.0%
2	Somewhat more than now	1644	1653.4	43.2%
3	The same as now	1040	1012.4	26.5%
4	Somewhat less than now	95	97.2	2.5%
5	Much less than now	42	69.5	1.8%
-1	Missing	137	129.8	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

D8P3: D8. More or less expenditure - Unemployment benefits

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/-1]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3790 / 3802.864] [Invalid=165 / 152.136]
Pre-question	Please say whether there should be more or less public expenditure in each of the following areas. Remember if you say 'more' it could require a tax increase, and if you say 'less' it could require a reduction in those services.
Literal question	Unemployment benefits

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Much more than now	205	197.5	5.2%
2	Somewhat more than now	607	542.4	14.3%
3	The same as now	1877	1826.8	48.0%
4	Somewhat less than now	766	834.4	21.9%
5	Much less than now	335	401.7	10.6%
-1	Missing	165	152.1	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

D8P4: D8. More or less expenditure - Defence

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/-1]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3786 / 3790.236] [Invalid=169 / 164.764]
Pre-question	Please say whether there should be more or less public expenditure in each of the following areas. Remember if you say 'more' it could require a tax increase, and if you say 'less' it could require a reduction in those services.
Literal question	Defence

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Much more than now	315	282.1	7.4%
2	Somewhat more than now	819	743.6	19.6%
3	The same as now	1830	1874.0	49.4%

D8P4: D8. More or less expenditure - Defence

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
4	Somewhat less than now	582	611.7	16.1%
5	Much less than now	240	278.8	7.4%
-1	Missing	169	164.8	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

D8P5: D8. More or less expenditure - Old-age pensions

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/-1]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3836 / 3836.185] [Invalid=119 / 118.815]
Pre-question	Please say whether there should be more or less public expenditure in each of the following areas. Remember if you say 'more' it could require a tax increase, and if you say 'less' it could require a reduction in those services.
Literal question	Old-age pensions

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Much more than now	907	845.8	22.0%
2	Somewhat more than now	1704	1661.8	43.3%
3	The same as now	1103	1168.6	30.5%
4	Somewhat less than now	81	97.2	2.5%
5	Much less than now	41	62.6	1.6%
-1	Missing	119	118.8	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

D8P6: D8. More or less expenditure - Business and industry

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/-1]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3788 / 3794.345] [Invalid=167 / 160.655]
Pre-question	Please say whether there should be more or less public expenditure in each of the following areas. Remember if you say 'more' it could require a tax increase, and if you say 'less' it could require a reduction in those services.
Literal question	Business and industry

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Much more than now	305	297.8	7.8%
2	Somewhat more than now	1060	1006.8	26.5%
3	The same as now	1807	1828.1	48.2%
4	Somewhat less than now	469	496.7	13.1%
5	Much less than now	147	165.0	4.3%
-1	Missing	167	160.7	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

D8P7: D8. More or less expenditure - Police and law enforcement

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/-1]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3819 / 3819.687] [Invalid=136 / 135.313]
Pre-question	Please say whether there should be more or less public expenditure in each of the following areas. Remember if you say 'more' it could require a tax increase, and if you say 'less' it could require a reduction in those services.
Literal question	Police and law enforcement

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Much more than now	667	611.0	16.0%
2	Somewhat more than now	1434	1362.4	35.7%
3	The same as now	1498	1576.4	41.3%

D8P7: D8. More or less expenditure - Police and law enforcement

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
4	Somewhat less than now	148	170.6	4.5%
5	Much less than now	72	99.2	2.6%
-1	Missing	136	135.3	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

D8P8: D8. More or less expenditure - Welfare benefits

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/-1]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3818 / 3820.353] [Invalid=137 / 134.647]
Pre-question	Please say whether there should be more or less public expenditure in each of the following areas. Remember if you say 'more' it could require a tax increase, and if you say 'less' it could require a reduction in those services.
Literal question	Welfare benefits

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Much more than now	313	282.5	7.4%
2	Somewhat more than now	785	740.3	19.4%
3	The same as now	1725	1717.3	45.0%
4	Somewhat less than now	669	696.0	18.2%
5	Much less than now	326	384.3	10.1%
-1	Missing	137	134.6	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

D9: D9. Improve standard of living next 10 years

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*/-1]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3868 / 3866.973] [Invalid=87 / 88.027]
Literal question	Over the next ten years or so, how likely or unlikely is it that you will improve your standard of living?

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Very likely	599	721.7	18.7%
2	Somewhat likely	1628	1713.0	44.3%
3	Somewhat unlikely	1153	992.9	25.7%
4	Very unlikely	488	439.4	11.4%
-1	Missing	87	88.0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

D10: D10. Satisfaction with present state of Australian economy

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-10] [Missing=*/-1]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3870 / 3869.524] [Invalid=85 / 85.476]
Literal question	On the whole, how satisfied are you with the present state of the economy in Australia?

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
0	Extremely dissatisfied - 0	250	227.0	5.9%
1	1	121	110.4	2.9%
2	2	292	279.5	7.2%
3	3	401	423.9	11.0%
4	4	361	367.0	9.5%
5	5	903	898.4	23.2%
6	6	490	499.1	12.9%

D10: D10. Satisfaction with present state of Australian economy

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
7	7	547	550.3	14.2%
8	8	354	356.7	9.2%
9	9	75	70.4	1.8%
10	Extremely satisfied - 10	76	86.7	2.2%
-1	Missing	85	85.5	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

D11: D11. Likelihood household income severely reduced next 12 months

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*/-1]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3876 / 3872.553] [Invalid=79 / 82.447]
Literal question	How likely or unlikely do you think it is that your household's income could be severely reduced in the next 12 months?

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Very likely	433	414.4	10.7%
2	Somewhat likely	1196	1188.8	30.7%
3	Somewhat unlikely	1632	1625.8	42.0%
4	Very unlikely	615	643.6	16.6%
-1	Missing	79	82.4	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

D12P1: D12. Ease of finding another job next 12 months - You

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*/-1]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3350 / 3488.5] [Invalid=605 / 466.5]
Pre-question	If you lost your job, how easy or difficult would it be to find another job in the next 12 months? If your spouse / partner lost their job, how easy or difficult would it be for them to find another job in the next 12 months?
Literal question	You

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Very easy	233	278.0	8.0%
2	Somewhat easy	778	930.6	26.7%
3	Somewhat difficult	1034	1065.5	30.5%
4	Very difficult	1225	1133.8	32.5%
5	Do not have spouse/partner	0	0.0	
6	Do not have job	80	80.5	2.3%
-1	Missing	605	466.5	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

D12P2: D12. Ease of finding another job next 12 months - Spouse/partner

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*/-1]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3312 / 3424.362] [Invalid=643 / 530.638]
Pre-question	If you lost your job, how easy or difficult would it be to find another job in the next 12 months? If your spouse / partner lost their job, how easy or difficult would it be for them to find another job in the next 12 months?
Literal question	Your spouse / partner

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Very easy	191	230.1	6.7%
2	Somewhat easy	639	722.4	21.1%

D12P2: D12. Ease of finding another job next 12 months - Spouse/partner

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
3	Somewhat difficult	767	791.3	23.1%
4	Very difficult	926	829.4	24.2%
5	Do not have spouse/partner	714	777.3	22.7%
6	Do not have job	75	73.8	2.2%
-1	Missing	643	530.6	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

D13P1: D13. High tax makes people less willing to work hard

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/-1]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3805 / 3815.097] [Invalid=150 / 139.903]
Pre-question	Please say whether you strongly agree, agree, disagree or strongly disagree with each of these statements.
Literal question	High income tax makes people less willing to work hard

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Strongly agree	550	564.0	14.8%
2	Agree	1296	1263.9	33.1%
3	Neither agree nor disagree	994	994.1	26.1%
4	Disagree	802	825.6	21.6%
5	Strongly disagree	163	167.4	4.4%
-1	Missing	150	139.9	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

D13P2: D13. Trade unions have too much power

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/-1]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3807 / 3814.689] [Invalid=148 / 140.311]
Pre-question	Please say whether you strongly agree, agree, disagree or strongly disagree with each of these statements.
Literal question	The trade unions in this country have too much power

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Strongly agree	764	682.3	17.9%
2	Agree	1051	1050.9	27.5%
3	Neither agree nor disagree	1112	1210.4	31.7%
4	Disagree	710	705.0	18.5%
5	Strongly disagree	170	166.1	4.4%
-1	Missing	148	140.3	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

D13P3: D13. Big business has too much power

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/-1]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3808 / 3815.228] [Invalid=147 / 139.772]
Pre-question	Please say whether you strongly agree, agree, disagree or strongly disagree with each of these statements.
Literal question	Big business in this country has too much power

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Strongly agree	943	953.6	25.0%
2	Agree	1591	1576.0	41.3%
3	Neither agree nor disagree	959	975.9	25.6%

D13P3: D13. Big business has too much power

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
4	Disagree	279	275.4	7.2%
5	Strongly disagree	36	34.2	0.9%
-1	Missing	147	139.8	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

D13P4: D13. Income and wealth should be redistributed

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/-1]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3814 / 3818.234] [Invalid=141 / 136.766]
Pre-question	Please say whether you strongly agree, agree, disagree or strongly disagree with each of these statements.
Literal question	Income and wealth should be redistributed towards ordinary working people

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Strongly agree	595	617.1	16.2%
2	Agree	1307	1301.9	34.1%
3	Neither agree nor disagree	1076	1086.7	28.5%
4	Disagree	643	613.3	16.1%
5	Strongly disagree	193	199.3	5.2%
-1	Missing	141	136.8	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

D13P5: D13. Stricter laws to regulate trade unions

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/-1]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3810 / 3815.72] [Invalid=145 / 139.28]
Pre-question	Please say whether you strongly agree, agree, disagree or strongly disagree with each of these statements.
Literal question	There should be stricter laws to regulate the activities of trade unions

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Strongly agree	687	607.0	15.9%
2	Agree	1177	1160.6	30.4%
3	Neither agree nor disagree	1286	1407.4	36.9%
4	Disagree	510	498.1	13.1%
5	Strongly disagree	150	142.6	3.7%
-1	Missing	145	139.3	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

D13P6: D13. Government should take measures to reduce difference in income levels

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/-1]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3815 / 3818.566] [Invalid=140 / 136.434]
Pre-question	Please say whether you strongly agree, agree, disagree or strongly disagree with each of these statements.
Literal question	The government should take measures to reduce differences in income levels

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Strongly agree	599	596.7	15.6%
2	Agree	1291	1259.6	33.0%
3	Neither agree nor disagree	1010	1037.6	27.2%
4	Disagree	700	703.9	18.4%
5	Strongly disagree	215	220.9	5.8%

D13P6: D13. Government should take measures to reduce difference in income levels

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
-1	Missing	140	136.4	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

E1: E1. Choice between taxes and social services

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/-1]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3853 / 3850.162] [Invalid=102 / 104.838]
Literal question	If the government had a choice between reducing taxes or spending more on social services, which do you think it should do?

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Strongly favour reducing taxes	751	784.0	20.4%
2	Mildly favour reducing taxes	643	609.0	15.8%
3	Depends	1256	1287.1	33.4%
4	Mildly favour spending more on social services	676	657.2	17.1%
5	Strongly favour spending more on social services	527	512.9	13.3%
-1	Missing	102	104.8	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

E2MIGEO: E2. Equal opportunities for migrants

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/-1]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3815 / 3819.156] [Invalid=140 / 135.844]
Pre-question	The statements below indicate some of the changes that have been happening in Australia over the years. For each one, please say whether you think the change has gone too far, not gone far enough, or is it about right?
Literal question	Equal opportunities for migrants

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Gone much too far	595	586.6	15.4%
2	Gone too far	857	828.4	21.7%
3	About right	1788	1754.7	45.9%
4	Not gone far enough	468	528.0	13.8%
5	Not gone nearly far enough	107	121.5	3.2%
-1	Missing	140	135.8	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

E2NUDSEX: E2. Nudity & sex in films and magazines

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/-1]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3819 / 3819.842] [Invalid=136 / 135.158]
Pre-question	The statements below indicate some of the changes that have been happening in Australia over the years. For each one, please say whether you think the change has gone too far, not gone far enough, or is it about right?
Literal question	The right to show nudity and sex in films and magazines

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Gone much too far	720	637.7	16.7%
2	Gone too far	1052	996.3	26.1%
3	About right	1738	1836.4	48.1%
4	Not gone far enough	213	249.6	6.5%
5	Not gone nearly far enough	96	99.9	2.6%
-1	Missing	136	135.2	

E2NUDSEX: E2. Nudity & sex in films and magazines

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

E2ABLAND: E2. Aboriginal land rights

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/-1]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3823 / 3823.47] [Invalid=132 / 131.53]
Pre-question	The statements below indicate some of the changes that have been happening in Australia over the years. For each one, please say whether you think the change has gone too far, not gone far enough, or is it about right?
Literal question	Aboriginal land rights

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Gone much too far	478	449.9	11.8%
2	Gone too far	750	705.7	18.5%
3	About right	1724	1747.9	45.7%
4	Not gone far enough	667	686.6	18.0%
5	Not gone nearly far enough	204	233.4	6.1%
-1	Missing	132	131.5	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

E2EQUOP: E2. Equal opportunities for women

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/-1]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3823 / 3822.016] [Invalid=132 / 132.984]
Pre-question	The statements below indicate some of the changes that have been happening in Australia over the years. For each one, please say whether you think the change has gone too far, not gone far enough, or is it about right?
Literal question	Equal opportunities for women

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Gone much too far	80	87.5	2.3%
2	Gone too far	198	201.7	5.3%
3	About right	1715	1720.5	45.0%
4	Not gone far enough	1421	1402.4	36.7%
5	Not gone nearly far enough	409	409.9	10.7%
-1	Missing	132	133.0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

E2IMMIG: E2. No. of migrants allowed into Australia

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/-1]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3836 / 3833.921] [Invalid=119 / 121.079]
Pre-question	The statements below indicate some of the changes that have been happening in Australia over the years. For each one, please say whether you think the change has gone too far, not gone far enough, or is it about right?
Literal question	The number of migrants allowed into Australia at the present time

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Gone much too far	801	766.8	20.0%
2	Gone too far	871	841.5	21.9%
3	About right	1493	1487.5	38.8%
4	Not gone far enough	500	534.4	13.9%
5	Not gone nearly far enough	171	203.7	5.3%
-1	Missing	119	121.1	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

E2ABOR: E2. Government help for aborigines

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/-1]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3828 / 3826.944] [Invalid=127 / 128.056]
Pre-question	The statements below indicate some of the changes that have been happening in Australia over the years. For each one, please say whether you think the change has gone too far, not gone far enough, or is it about right?
Literal question	Government help for Aborigines

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Gone much too far	481	478.0	12.5%
2	Gone too far	817	815.6	21.3%
3	About right	1442	1431.6	37.4%
4	Not gone far enough	856	845.3	22.1%
5	Not gone nearly far enough	232	256.4	6.7%
-1	Missing	127	128.1	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

E2ASIA: E2. Building closer links with Asia

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/-1]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3830 / 3828.248] [Invalid=125 / 126.752]
Pre-question	The statements below indicate some of the changes that have been happening in Australia over the years. For each one, please say whether you think the change has gone too far, not gone far enough, or is it about right?
Literal question	Building closer relations with Asia

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Gone much too far	207	210.6	5.5%
2	Gone too far	360	379.8	9.9%
3	About right	2157	2148.3	56.1%
4	Not gone far enough	908	895.6	23.4%
5	Not gone nearly far enough	198	193.9	5.1%
-1	Missing	125	126.8	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

E3: E3. Feelings about abortion

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*/-1]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3863 / 3861.457] [Invalid=92 / 93.543]
Literal question	Which one of these statements comes closest to how you feel about abortion in Australia?

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Women should be able to obtain an abortion readily when they	2341	2364.7	61.2%
2	Abortion should be allowed only in special circumstances	1137	1077.9	27.9%
3	Abortion should not be allowed under any circumstances	142	153.5	4.0%
4	Don't know	243	265.4	6.9%
-1	Missing	92	93.5	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

E4: E4. Best way of handling asylum seekers

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*/-1]

E4: E4. Best way of handling asylum seekers

Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3836 / 3834.744] [Invalid=119 / 120.256]
Literal question	What do you think is the best way to handle the processing and resettlement of asylum seekers who come by boat and manage to reach Australian waters?

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)	
1	Process and resettle offshore	1696	1657.2		43.2%
2	Process offshore but resettle in Australia	534	521.5	13.6%	
3	Process and resettle onshore in Australia	764	801.5	20.9%	
4	None of these options	842	854.6	22.3%	
-1	Missing	119	120.3		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

E5P1: E5. Gender difference occurs because - Women are discriminated against in politics and the workplace

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range=1-4] [Missing=*/-1]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3809 / 3811.77] [Invalid=146 / 143.23]
Pre-question	On average, there are fewer women than men in top leadership positions in politics and business in Australia. How much of this gender difference occurs because …?
Literal question	Women are discriminated against in politics and the workplace

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)	
1	Great deal	827	808.7	21.2%	
2	Some	1580	1557.5		40.9%
3	A little	931	929.7	24.4%	
4	None	471	515.9	13.5%	
-1	Missing	146	143.2		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

E5P2: E5. Gender difference occurs because - Many men are unwilling to take on greater responsibilities at home to allow women to move into top leadership positions

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*/-1]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3776 / 3784.826] [Invalid=179 / 170.174]
Pre-question	On average, there are fewer women than men in top leadership positions in politics and business in Australia. How much of this gender difference occurs because …?
Literal question	Many men are unwilling to take on greater responsibilities at home to allow women to move into top leadership positions

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)	
1	Great deal	646	648.2	17.1%	
2	Some	1734	1657.0		43.8%
3	A little	972	1005.1	26.6%	
4	None	424	474.4	12.5%	
-1	Missing	179	170.2		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

E5P3: E5. Gender difference occurs because - Women lack the drive and ambition needed to make it to the top

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*/-1]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3770 / 3781.155] [Invalid=185 / 173.845]
Pre-question	On average, there are fewer women than men in top leadership positions in politics and business in Australia. How much of this gender difference occurs because …?
Literal question	Women lack the drive and ambition needed to make it to the top

E5P3: E5. Gender difference occurs because - Women lack the drive and ambition needed to make it to the top

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Great deal	143	141.3	3.7%
2	Some	948	846.2	22.4%
3	A little	911	871.2	23.0%
4	None	1768	1922.4	50.8%
-1	Missing	185	173.8	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

E5P4: E5. Gender difference occurs because - Most women are not tough enough to be good leaders

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*/-1]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3770 / 3782.911] [Invalid=185 / 172.089]
Pre-question	On average, there are fewer women than men in top leadership positions in politics and business in Australia. How much of this gender difference occurs because …?
Literal question	Most women are not tough enough to be good leaders

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Great deal	196	182.0	4.8%
2	Some	921	819.3	21.7%
3	A little	703	681.0	18.0%
4	None	1950	2100.6	55.5%
-1	Missing	185	172.1	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

E6DEATHP: E6. Death penalty reintroduced for murder

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/-1]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3846 / 3842.577] [Invalid=109 / 112.423]
Pre-question	Here are some statements about general social concerns. Please say whether you strongly agree, agree, disagree or strongly disagree with each of these statements.
Literal question	The death penalty should be reintroduced for murder

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Strongly agree	908	863.2	22.5%
2	Agree	958	973.6	25.3%
3	Neither agree nor disagree	623	662.3	17.2%
4	Disagree	685	657.5	17.1%
5	Strongly disagree	672	686.0	17.9%
-1	Missing	109	112.4	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

E6MARIJ: E6. Decriminalise smoking of marijuana

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/-1]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3838 / 3837.682] [Invalid=117 / 117.318]
Pre-question	Here are some statements about general social concerns. Please say whether you strongly agree, agree, disagree or strongly disagree with each of these statements.
Literal question	The smoking of marijuana should NOT be a criminal offence

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Strongly agree	410	453.9	11.8%

E6MARIJ: E6. Decriminalise smoking of marijuana

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
2	Agree	837	850.2	22.2%
3	Neither agree nor disagree	834	848.7	22.1%
4	Disagree	1012	979.0	25.5%
5	Strongly disagree	745	705.9	18.4%
-1	Missing	117	117.3	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

E6LAWBRK: E6. Stiffer sentences if break law

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/-1]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3841 / 3835.468] [Invalid=114 / 119.532]
Pre-question	Here are some statements about general social concerns. Please say whether you strongly agree, agree, disagree or strongly disagree with each of these statements.
Literal question	People who break the law should be given stiffer sentences

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Strongly agree	1187	1130.4	29.5%
2	Agree	1563	1565.4	40.8%
3	Neither agree nor disagree	771	807.6	21.1%
4	Disagree	222	237.9	6.2%
5	Strongly disagree	98	94.2	2.5%
-1	Missing	114	119.5	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

E6PREF: E6. Women given preferential treatment

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/-1]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3837 / 3836.374] [Invalid=118 / 118.626]
Pre-question	Here are some statements about general social concerns. Please say whether you strongly agree, agree, disagree or strongly disagree with each of these statements.
Literal question	Women should be given preferential treatment when applying for jobs and promotions

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Strongly agree	118	115.4	3.0%
2	Agree	255	255.3	6.7%
3	Neither agree nor disagree	1100	1118.9	29.2%
4	Disagree	1657	1605.7	41.9%
5	Strongly disagree	707	741.2	19.3%
-1	Missing	118	118.6	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

E6BOATS: E6. Turn back boats carrying asylum seekers

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/-1]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3844 / 3840.415] [Invalid=111 / 114.585]
Pre-question	Here are some statements about general social concerns. Please say whether you strongly agree, agree, disagree or strongly disagree with each of these statements.
Literal question	All boats carrying asylum seekers should be turned back

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Strongly agree	1146	1106.6	28.8%

E6BOATS: E6. Turn back boats carrying asylum seekers

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
2	Agree	797	755.1	19.7%
3	Neither agree nor disagree	653	684.3	17.8%
4	Disagree	647	674.3	17.6%
5	Strongly disagree	601	620.1	16.1%
-1	Missing	111	114.6	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

E6SAME: E6. Same-sex marriages prohibited by law

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/-1]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3827 / 3825.816] [Invalid=128 / 129.184]
Pre-question	Here are some statements about general social concerns. Please say whether you strongly agree, agree, disagree or strongly disagree with each of these statements.
Literal question	Same-sex marriages should be prohibited by law

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Strongly agree	709	675.8	17.7%
2	Agree	449	410.9	10.7%
3	Neither agree nor disagree	810	791.0	20.7%
4	Disagree	744	727.6	19.0%
5	Strongly disagree	1115	1220.5	31.9%
-1	Missing	128	129.2	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

E6WHITE: E6. White Australians advantaged applying for jobs

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/-1]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3836 / 3831.544] [Invalid=119 / 123.456]
Pre-question	Here are some statements about general social concerns. Please say whether you strongly agree, agree, disagree or strongly disagree with each of these statements.
Literal question	White Australians are advantaged over others in applying for jobs

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Strongly agree	301	319.6	8.3%
2	Agree	820	823.6	21.5%
3	Neither agree nor disagree	1182	1149.1	30.0%
4	Disagree	1008	1002.7	26.2%
5	Strongly disagree	525	536.6	14.0%
-1	Missing	119	123.5	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

E6ETHNIC: E6. People think of themselves as members of ethnic groups rather than individuals

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/-1]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3832 / 3830.66] [Invalid=123 / 124.34]
Pre-question	Here are some statements about general social concerns. Please say whether you strongly agree, agree, disagree or strongly disagree with each of these statements.
Literal question	It's a problem if people think of themselves mostly as members of ethnic groups rather than as individuals

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Strongly agree	989	944.0	24.6%
2	Agree	1671	1618.4	42.2%
3	Neither agree nor disagree	838	913.2	23.8%
4	Disagree	256	271.6	7.1%
5	Strongly disagree	78	83.5	2.2%
-1	Missing	123	124.3	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

E6OPP: E6. Increase business opportunities for women

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/-1]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3830 / 3825.758] [Invalid=125 / 129.242]
Pre-question	Here are some statements about general social concerns. Please say whether you strongly agree, agree, disagree or strongly disagree with each of these statements.
Literal question	The government should increase opportunities for women in business and industry

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Strongly agree	466	467.4	12.2%
2	Agree	1464	1466.5	38.3%
3	Neither agree nor disagree	1376	1378.8	36.0%
4	Disagree	401	388.6	10.2%
5	Strongly disagree	123	124.4	3.3%
-1	Missing	125	129.2	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

E7P1: E7. Aims 10yrs 1-First choice

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*/-1]

E7P1: E7. Aims 10yrs 1-First choice

Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3783 / 3780.386] [Invalid=172 / 174.614]
Pre-question	Next, a question about what you think the aims of Australia should be for the next ten years. Here is a list of four aims that different people would give priority. If you had to choose among these four aims, which would be your first choice? And which would be your second choice?
Literal question	First choice
Notes	Source: Inglehart, Ronald 1971: The silent revolution in Europe. Intergenerational change in post-industrial societies. In: American Political Science Review 65 (4), 1971.

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)	
1	Maintain order in the nation	1421	1326.2		35.1%
2	Give people more say in important government decisions	947	942.9		24.9%
3	Fight rising prices	904	1017.8		26.9%
4	Protect freedom of speech	511	493.5		13.1%
-1	Missing	172	174.6		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

E7P2: E7. Aims 10yrs 1-Second choice

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*/-1]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3744 / 3748.72] [Invalid=211 / 206.28]
Pre-question	Next, a question about what you think the aims of Australia should be for the next ten years. Here is a list of four aims that different people would give priority. If you had to choose among these four aims, which would be your first choice? And which would be your second choice?
Literal question	Second choice
Notes	Source: Inglehart, Ronald 1971: The silent revolution in Europe. Intergenerational change in post-industrial societies. In: American Political Science Review 65 (4), 1971.

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)	
1	Maintain order in the nation	770	793.0		21.2%
2	Give people more say in important government decisions	1031	1037.7		27.7%
3	Fight rising prices	1004	993.3		26.5%
4	Protect freedom of speech	939	924.7		24.7%
-1	Missing	211	206.3		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

E8: E8. Better or worse for ethnic minority groups past few years

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/-1]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3837 / 3836.885] [Invalid=118 / 118.115]
Literal question	Thinking about the past few years, have things generally got better or worse for ethnic minority groups in Australia, or have things stayed much the same?

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)	
1	A lot better	682	628.1		16.4%
2	A little better	1312	1296.9		33.8%
3	Stayed the same	1393	1424.4		37.1%
4	A little worse	329	361.0		9.4%
5	A lot worse	121	126.5		3.3%
-1	Missing	118	118.1		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

E9: E9. Ethnic prejudice in Australia nowadays

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*/-1]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3833 / 3829.863] [Invalid=122 / 125.137]
Literal question	Do you think there is a lot of ethnic prejudice in Australia nowadays, a little or hardly any?

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	A lot	1254	1261.5	32.9%
2	A little	2264	2251.7	58.8%
3	Hardly any	315	316.7	8.3%
-1	Missing	122	125.1	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

F1: F1. Importance of Queen

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*/-1]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3871 / 3865.446] [Invalid=84 / 89.554]
Literal question	How important do you feel the Queen and the Royal Family are to Australia?

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Very important	715	625.9	16.2%
2	Fairly important	1081	1062.2	27.5%
3	Not very important	2075	2177.4	56.3%
-1	Missing	84	89.6	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

F2: F2. Australia a republic

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*/-1]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3848 / 3840.636] [Invalid=107 / 114.364]
Literal question	Do you think that Australia should become a republic with an Australian head of state, or should the Queen be retained as head of state?

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Strongly favour becoming republic	1000	997.0	26.0%
2	Favour becoming republic	990	1026.1	26.7%
3	Favour retaining the Queen as head of state	1264	1252.1	32.6%
4	Strongly favour retaining the Queen as head of state	594	565.4	14.7%
-1	Missing	107	114.4	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

F3: F3. United States alliance under ANZUS

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*/-1]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3866 / 3860.087] [Invalid=89 / 94.913]
Literal question	How important do you think the Australian alliance with the United States under the ANZUS treaty is for protecting Australia's security?

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Very important	1893	1766.5	45.8%
2	Fairly important	1494	1549.8	40.1%
3	Not very important	383	429.2	11.1%
4	Not at all important	96	114.7	3.0%

F3: F3. United States alliance under ANZUS

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
-1	Missing	89	94.9	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

F4: F4. If Australia threatened trust US come to defence

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*/-1]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3870 / 3865.243] [Invalid=85 / 89.757]
Literal question	If Australia's security were threatened by some other country, how much trust do you feel Australia can have in the United States to come to Australia's defence?

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	A great deal	1500	1397.2	36.1%
2	A fair amount	1730	1778.2	46.0%
3	Not very much	554	595.5	15.4%
4	None at all	86	94.3	2.4%
-1	Missing	85	89.8	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

F5JAPAN: F5. Japan threat to Aust. security

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*/-1]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3686 / 3713.567] [Invalid=269 / 241.433]
Pre-question	In your opinion, are any of the following countries likely to pose a threat to Australia's security?
Literal question	Japan

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Very likely	142	160.9	4.3%
2	Fairly likely	475	533.5	14.4%
3	Not very likely	3069	3019.2	81.3%
-1	Missing	269	241.4	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

F5US: F5. United States threat to Aust. security

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*/-1]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3684 / 3712.923] [Invalid=271 / 242.077]
Pre-question	In your opinion, are any of the following countries likely to pose a threat to Australia's security?
Literal question	United States

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Very likely	93	113.3	3.1%
2	Fairly likely	227	280.0	7.5%
3	Not very likely	3364	3319.7	89.4%
-1	Missing	271	242.1	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

F5CHINA: F5. China threat to Aust. security

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*/-1]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3745 / 3764.01] [Invalid=210 / 190.99]
Pre-question	In your opinion, are any of the following countries likely to pose a threat to Australia's security?
Literal question	China

F5CHINA: F5. China threat to Aust. security

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Very likely	505	514.0	13.7%
2	Fairly likely	1415	1417.4	37.7%
3	Not very likely	1825	1832.6	48.7%
-1	Missing	210	191.0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

F5VIETN: F5. Vietnam threat to Aust. security

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*/-1]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3662 / 3694.724] [Invalid=293 / 260.276]
Pre-question	In your opinion, are any of the following countries likely to pose a threat to Australia's security?
Literal question	Vietnam

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Very likely	127	127.0	3.4%
2	Fairly likely	474	520.8	14.1%
3	Not very likely	3061	3047.0	82.5%
-1	Missing	293	260.3	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

F5MALAY: F5. Malaysia threat to Aust. security

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*/-1]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3678 / 3709.842] [Invalid=277 / 245.158]
Pre-question	In your opinion, are any of the following countries likely to pose a threat to Australia's security?
Literal question	Malaysia

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Very likely	216	208.1	5.6%
2	Fairly likely	725	699.1	18.8%
3	Not very likely	2737	2802.6	75.5%
-1	Missing	277	245.2	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

F5INDON: F5. Indonesia threat to Aust. security

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*/-1]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3773 / 3785.046] [Invalid=182 / 169.954]
Pre-question	In your opinion, are any of the following countries likely to pose a threat to Australia's security?
Literal question	Indonesia

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Very likely	667	610.0	16.1%
2	Fairly likely	1394	1361.8	36.0%
3	Not very likely	1712	1813.2	47.9%
-1	Missing	182	170.0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

F6P1: F6. Aust defence stronger than 10 yrs ago

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/-1]

F6P1: F6. Aust defence stronger than 10 yrs ago

Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3839 / 3836.869] [Invalid=116 / 118.131]			
Pre-question	Please say whether you strongly agree, agree, disagree or strongly disagree with each of the following statements.			
Literal question	Australia's defence is stronger now than it was 10 years ago			

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Strongly agree	230	234.4	6.1%
2	Agree	1189	1252.3	32.6%
3	Neither agree nor disagree	1654	1673.2	43.6%
4	Disagree	604	536.4	14.0%
5	Strongly disagree	162	140.5	3.7%
-1	Missing	116	118.1	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

F6P4: F6. Aust would be able to defend itself

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/-1]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3831 / 3827.139] [Invalid=124 / 127.861]			
Pre-question	Please say whether you strongly agree, agree, disagree or strongly disagree with each of the following statements.			
Literal question	Australia would be able to defend itself successfully if it were ever attacked			

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Strongly agree	138	138.2	3.6%
2	Agree	856	941.8	24.6%
3	Neither agree nor disagree	1045	1113.0	29.1%
4	Disagree	1355	1241.9	32.4%
5	Strongly disagree	437	392.1	10.2%
-1	Missing	124	127.9	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

F6P7: F6. Aust trading future lies with Asia

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/-1]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3826 / 3823.614] [Invalid=129 / 131.386]			
Pre-question	Please say whether you strongly agree, agree, disagree or strongly disagree with each of the following statements.			
Literal question	Australia's trading future lies in Asia			

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Strongly agree	754	749.9	19.6%
2	Agree	2036	1970.3	51.5%
3	Neither agree nor disagree	801	851.6	22.3%
4	Disagree	172	179.7	4.7%
5	Strongly disagree	63	72.2	1.9%
-1	Missing	129	131.4	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

F6P8: F6. Aust provide military for war on terrorism

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/-1]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3827 / 3822.38] [Invalid=128 / 132.62]			
Pre-question	Please say whether you strongly agree, agree, disagree or strongly disagree with each of the following statements.			

F6P8: F6. Aust provide military for war on terrorism

Literal question	Australia should provide military assistance for the war on terrorism

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Strongly agree	347	314.6	8.2%
2	Agree	1467	1362.3	35.6%
3	Neither agree nor disagree	1111	1178.4	30.8%
4	Disagree	609	634.1	16.6%
5	Strongly disagree	293	332.9	8.7%
-1	Missing	128	132.6	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

F7: F7. Number of immigrants increased

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/-1]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3851 / 3844.599] [Invalid=104 / 110.401]
Literal question	Do you think the number of immigrants allowed into Australia nowadays should be reduced or increased?

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Increased a lot	241	260.0	6.8%
2	Increased a little	650	658.2	17.1%
3	Remain about the same as it is	1363	1339.9	34.9%
4	Reduced a little	771	773.8	20.1%
5	Reduced a lot	826	812.7	21.1%
-1	Missing	104	110.4	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

F8P1: F8. Immigrants increase crime

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/-1]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3838 / 3836.511] [Invalid=117 / 118.489]
Pre-question	There are different opinions about the effects that immigrants have on Australia. How much do you agree or disagree with each of the following statements?
Literal question	Immigrants increase the crime rate

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Strongly agree	464	448.4	11.7%
2	Agree	1125	1069.6	27.9%
3	Neither agree nor disagree	1101	1121.0	29.2%
4	Disagree	849	883.2	23.0%
5	Strongly disagree	299	314.3	8.2%
-1	Missing	117	118.5	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

F8P2: F8. Immigrants good for economy

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/-1]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3835 / 3833.664] [Invalid=120 / 121.336]
Pre-question	There are different opinions about the effects that immigrants have on Australia. How much do you agree or disagree with each of the following statements?
Literal question	Immigrants are generally good for Australia's economy

F8P2: F8. Immigrants good for economy

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Strongly agree	317	313.1	8.2%
2	Agree	1896	1848.1	48.2%
3	Neither agree nor disagree	1082	1105.5	28.8%
4	Disagree	409	410.2	10.7%
5	Strongly disagree	131	156.7	4.1%
-1	Missing	120	121.3	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

F8P3: F8. Immigrants take jobs from Aust

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/-1]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3832 / 3834.06] [Invalid=123 / 120.94]
Pre-question	There are different opinions about the effects that immigrants have on Australia. How much do you agree or disagree with each of the following statements?
Literal question	Immigrants take jobs away from people who are born in Australia

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Strongly agree	402	406.3	10.6%
2	Agree	928	929.4	24.2%
3	Neither agree nor disagree	1062	1057.7	27.6%
4	Disagree	1097	1061.8	27.7%
5	Strongly disagree	343	378.9	9.9%
-1	Missing	123	120.9	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

F8P4: F8. Immigrants make Aust more open

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/-1]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3839 / 3836.152] [Invalid=116 / 118.848]
Pre-question	There are different opinions about the effects that immigrants have on Australia. How much do you agree or disagree with each of the following statements?
Literal question	Immigrants make Australia more open to new ideas and cultures

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Strongly agree	740	749.0	19.5%
2	Agree	2091	2050.5	53.5%
3	Neither agree nor disagree	648	655.4	17.1%
4	Disagree	246	247.4	6.4%
5	Strongly disagree	114	133.9	3.5%
-1	Missing	116	118.8	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

F9: F9. Threat of global warming to way of life

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*/-1]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3868 / 3863.802] [Invalid=87 / 91.198]
Literal question	How serious a threat do you think global warming will pose to you or your way of life in your lifetime?

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Very serious	703	729.3	18.9%

F9: F9. Threat of global warming to way of life

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)	
2	Fairly serious	1346	1421.5		36.8%
3	Not very serious	1323	1255.7		32.5%
4	Not at all serious	496	457.4		11.8%
-1	Missing	87	91.2		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

F10: F10. Federal treasurer before Federal election

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/-1]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3737 / 3755.229] [Invalid=218 / 199.771]
Literal question	Now a few questions about your interest in and knowledge of politics. If you don't know the answer, just indicate that and move on to the next one. First, which of these persons was the Federal Treasurer before the recent Federal election?

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)	
1	Bob Carr	118	135.0	3.6%	
2	Bill Shorten	267	284.4	7.6%	
3	Chris Bowen	2081	1894.3		50.4%
4	Tony Burke	80	73.9	2.0%	
5	Don'€™t know	1191	1367.6		36.4%
-1	Missing	218	199.8		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

F11: F11. Unemployment rate in Australia June 2013

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/-1]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3842 / 3838.934] [Invalid=113 / 116.066]
Literal question	What was the current unemployment rate in Australia as of June 2013?

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)	
1	3.7%	142	144.5	3.8%	
2	5.7%	2462	2319.4		60.4%
3	7.7%	164	158.1	4.1%	
4	9.7%	58	63.5	1.7%	
5	Don'€™t know	1016	1153.3		30.0%
-1	Missing	113	116.1		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

F12: F12. Which party came in second H of R

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/-1]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3819 / 3820.767] [Invalid=136 / 134.233]
Literal question	Which party came in second in seats in the House of Representatives?

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)	
1	Greens	60	63.6	1.7%	
2	Katter'€™s Australian Party	10	9.0	0.2%	
3	Labor Party (ALP)	2342	2207.4		57.8%
4	Liberal-National Coalition	213	215.3	5.6%	
5	Don'€™t know	1194	1325.5		34.7%
-1	Missing	136	134.2		

F12: F12. Which party came in second H of R

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

F13: F13. Secretary-General of the United Nations

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/-1]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3826 / 3826.165] [Invalid=129 / 128.835]
Literal question	Who is the current Secretary-General of the United Nations?

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)	
1	Kofi Annan	429	407.4		10.6%
2	Kurt Waldheim	43	37.0		1.0%
3	Ban Ki-moon	1936	1797.0		47.0%
4	Boutros Boutros-Ghali	50	49.4		1.3%
5	Donâ€™t know	1368	1535.4		40.1%
-1	Missing	129	128.8		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

F14NAMER: F14. Recall Name of Federal MP

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*/-1]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3921 / 3914.16] [Invalid=34 / 40.84]
Pre-question	Before the election, did you know the name of your local Federal MP in the House of Representatives, and his or her party affiliation? Write in name of MP and party if you recall them, otherwise cross the Don't recall box
Literal question	Name of Federal MP (or Don't recall MP name)

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)	
1	Correctly named MP	2294	2131.0		54.4%
2	Incorrectly named MP	179	159.5		4.1%
3	Don't recall MP	1448	1623.7		41.5%
-1	Missing	34	40.8		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

F14PARTR: F14. Recall Name of Party - accuracy of recall

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*/-1]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3921 / 3914.16] [Invalid=34 / 40.84]
Pre-question	Before the election, did you know the name of your local Federal MP in the House of Representatives, and his or her party affiliation? Write in name of MP and party if you recall them, otherwise cross the Don't recall box
Literal question	Party (or Don't recall party)

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)	
1	Correctly named Party	2348	2176.2		55.6%
2	Incorrectly named Party	91	79.6		2.0%
3	Don't recall Party	1482	1658.4		42.4%
-1	Missing	34	40.8		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

F14PARTY: F14. Name of Party - response given

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*/-1]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2780 / 2619.418] [Invalid=1175 / 1335.582]
Pre-question	

F14PARTY: F14. Name of Party - response given

	Before the election, did you know the name of your local Federal MP in the House of Representatives, and his or her party affiliation? Write in name of MP and party if you recall them, otherwise cross the Don't recall box			
Literal question	Party			

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Liberal	1231	1164.7	44.5%
2	Labor	1251	1178.6	45.0%
3	National (Country) Party	175	158.9	6.1%
4	Greens	25	26.4	1.0%
5	Other party (please specify)	5	5.9	0.2%
6	No party	0	0.0	
7	Australian Democrats	0	0.0	
8	Christian Democratic Party	0	0.0	
9	Citizens Electoral Council	0	0.0	
10	Family First Party	0	0.0	
11	One Nation	0	0.0	
12	Republican Party	0	0.0	
13	Shooters Party	0	0.0	
14	Fishing Party	0	0.0	
15	Palmer's United Party	1	1.4	0.1%
16	Katter's Australia Party	11	9.0	0.3%
17	Liberal Democrats	0	0.0	
18	Motoring Enthusiasts Party	0	0.0	
19	Australian Sports Party	0	0.0	
20	The Australian Sex Party	0	0.0	
21	The Wikileaks Party	0	0.0	
22	Australian Christians	0	0.0	
95	Swing Voter	0	0.0	
96	Independent	81	74.5	2.8%
97	Other party (not specified)	0	0.0	
98	No party	0	0.0	
-1	Missing	1175	1335.6	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

F15: F15. Ever contacted local Federal MP

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*/-1]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3856 / 3849.539] [Invalid=99 / 105.461]
Literal question	Have you or anyone in your family living here ever contacted your local Federal MP or anyone in his or her office?

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	1019	951.9	24.7%
2	No	2837	2897.6	75.3%
-1	Missing	99	105.5	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

F16P1: F16. Quiz - Australia became federation in 1901

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*/-1]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3798 / 3805.61] [Invalid=157 / 149.39]			
Pre-question	And finally, a quick quiz on Australian government. For each of the following statements, please say whether it is true or false. If you don't know the answer, cross the "don't know" box and try the next one.			
Literal question	Australia became a federation in 1901			

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	True	2827	2781.5	73.1%
2	False	105	102.5	2.7%
3	Don't know	866	921.6	24.2%
-1	Missing	157	149.4	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

F16P2: F16. Quiz - 75 members of House of Reps

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*/-1]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3766 / 3779.611] [Invalid=189 / 175.389]			
Pre-question	And finally, a quick quiz on Australian government. For each of the following statements, please say whether it is true or false. If you don't know the answer, cross the "don't know" box and try the next one.			
Literal question	There are 75 members of the House of Representatives			

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	True	795	805.6	21.3%
2	False	1615	1517.3	40.1%
3	Don't know	1356	1456.7	38.5%
-1	Missing	189	175.4	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

F16P3: F16. Quiz - Constitution changed by High Court

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*/-1]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3773 / 3784.153] [Invalid=182 / 170.847]			
Pre-question	And finally, a quick quiz on Australian government. For each of the following statements, please say whether it is true or false. If you don't know the answer, cross the "don't know" box and try the next one.			
Literal question	The Constitution can only be changed by the High Court			

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	True	1155	1122.3	29.7%
2	False	1334	1253.8	33.1%
3	Don't know	1284	1408.1	37.2%
-1	Missing	182	170.8	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

F16P4: F16. Quiz - Elections to Senate proportional

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*/-1]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3757 / 3769.39] [Invalid=198 / 185.61]			
Pre-question	And finally, a quick quiz on Australian government. For each of the following statements, please say whether it is true or false. If you don't know the answer, cross the "don't know" box and try the next one.			
Literal question	The Senate election is based on proportional representation			

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)

F16P4: F16. Quiz - Elections to Senate proportional

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)	
1	True	1805	1745.5		46.3%
2	False	446	420.3		11.2%
3	Don't know	1506	1603.6		42.5%
-1	Missing	198	185.6		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

F16P5: F16. Quiz - No-one stands unless pay deposit

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*/-1]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3787 / 3794.931] [Invalid=168 / 160.069]
Pre-question	And finally, a quick quiz on Australian government. For each of the following statements, please say whether it is true or false. If you don't know the answer, cross the "don't know" box and try the next one.
Literal question	No-one may stand for Federal parliament unless they pay a deposit

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)	
1	True	1012	864.6		22.8%
2	False	1202	1251.3		33.0%
3	Don't know	1573	1679.0		44.2%
-1	Missing	168	160.1		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

F16P6: F16. Quiz - Longest time between Fed elections 4 years

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*/-1]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3800 / 3805.047] [Invalid=155 / 149.953]
Pre-question	And finally, a quick quiz on Australian government. For each of the following statements, please say whether it is true or false. If you don't know the answer, cross the "don't know" box and try the next one.
Literal question	The longest time allowed between Federal elections for the House of Representatives is four years

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)	
1	True	1985	1966.3		51.7%
2	False	1151	1066.8		28.0%
3	Don't know	664	771.9		20.3%
-1	Missing	155	150.0		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

G1: G1. Age left school

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*/-1]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3854 / 3851.375] [Invalid=101 / 103.625]
Pre-question	How old were you when you left secondary school?
Literal question	Age when left secondary school

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)	
1	Age given	3723	3735.0		97.0%
2	No formal schooling	35	36.7	1.0%	
3	Went to primary school only	89	67.9	1.8%	
4	Still at school	7	11.7	0.3%	
-1	Missing	101	103.6		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

G1age: G1. Age given (Numeric) (BASE: Age given)

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 3-27] [Missing=*/-1]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3723 / 3735.042] [Invalid=232 / 219.958] [Mean=16.496 / 16.664] [StdDev=1.376 / 1.344]
Pre-question	How old were you when you left secondary school?
Literal question	Age when left secondary school

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
-1	Missing	232	220.0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

G2: G2 - Years of tertiary study completed

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-9] [Missing=*/-1]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3286 / 3370.794] [Invalid=669 / 584.206]
Pre-question	In all, how many years of tertiary study have you completed since you left secondary school? If your tertiary study was part-time, give the number of years of equivalent full-time study.
Literal question	Years of full-time tertiary study

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
0	0	955	903.7	26.8%
1	1	271	297.1	8.8%
2	2	322	350.5	10.4%
3	3	429	455.6	13.5%
4	4	540	571.5	17.0%
5	5	285	299.9	8.9%
6	6	205	211.2	6.3%
7	7	95	107.6	3.2%
8	8	67	62.1	1.8%
9	9 or more	117	111.7	3.3%
-1	Missing	669	584.2	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

G3: G3. Highest qualification since leaving school

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*/-1]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3795 / 3800.712] [Invalid=160 / 154.288]
Pre-question	Have you obtained a trade qualification, a degree or a diploma, or any other qualification since leaving school? What is your highest qualification?
Literal question	No qualification, OR Qualified - cross one box for highest qualification only

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	No qualification since leaving school	1125	1066.9	28.1%
2	Postgraduate Degree or Postgraduate Diploma	510	536.8	14.1%
3	Bachelor Degree (including Honours)	612	680.7	17.9%
4	Undergraduate Diploma	168	164.0	4.3%
5	Associate Diploma	315	305.5	8.0%
6	Trade qualification	665	634.6	16.7%
7	Non-trade qualification	400	412.3	10.8%
-1	Missing	160	154.3	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

G4: G4 - Work mainly doing last week

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/-1]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3860 / 3855.596] [Invalid=95 / 99.404]
Literal question	Now some questions about the work you are doing now. Last week, what were you mainly doing?

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Working full-time for pay	1438	1593.2	41.3%
2	Working part-time for pay	656	682.8	17.7%
3	Unemployed - looking for full-time work	76	86.7	2.2%
4	Unemployed - looking for part-time work	46	50.8	1.3%
5	Retired from paid work	1042	769.2	19.9%
6	A full-time school or university student	100	160.5	4.2%
7	Keeping house	318	319.8	8.3%
8	Other (please specify)	184	192.5	5.0%
-1	Missing	95	99.4	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

G5: G5. Occupation (ANZSCO 2 digit)

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10-99] [Missing=*/-1]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3378 / 3407.124] [Invalid=577 / 547.876]
Pre-question	What kind of work do you do? Please give your full job title, and as much detail as you can. For public servants, state official designation and occupation. For armed services personnel, state rank and occupation. If you are unemployed or retired, please describe your last regular paid job If you have never worked for pay, please skip this section and go to Section H
Literal question	(Derived question based on coding of responses)

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
10		65	60.2	1.8%
11		88	76.3	2.2%
12		71	56.9	1.7%
13		257	248.3	7.3%
14		99	87.2	2.6%
20		18	17.7	0.5%
21		35	34.9	1.0%
22		185	192.6	5.7%
23		120	129.1	3.8%
24		234	219.4	6.4%
25		171	167.4	4.9%
26		43	53.6	1.6%
27		72	81.9	2.4%
30		7	8.4	0.2%
31		62	63.1	1.9%
32		67	71.9	2.1%
33		74	77.4	2.3%
34		48	50.3	1.5%
35		33	27.9	0.8%
36		27	36.0	1.1%

G5: G5. Occupation (ANZSCO 2 digit)

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
39		54	57.4	1.7%
40		2	2.5	0.1%
41		49	46.7	1.4%
42		130	126.1	3.7%
43		41	58.7	1.7%
44		52	47.2	1.4%
45		35	40.1	1.2%
50		15	13.8	0.4%
51		99	100.3	2.9%
52		67	53.3	1.6%
53		124	116.8	3.4%
54		75	79.8	2.3%
55		92	89.8	2.6%
56		16	14.5	0.4%
59		83	81.9	2.4%
60		3	2.4	0.1%
61		38	40.4	1.2%
62		163	198.8	5.8%
63		32	37.1	1.1%
70		3	3.6	0.1%
71		27	25.0	0.7%
72		8	8.0	0.2%
73		82	78.3	2.3%
74		34	31.9	0.9%
80		9	16.7	0.5%
81		56	51.7	1.5%
82		18	15.9	0.5%
83		56	52.8	1.5%
84		32	34.2	1.0%
85		29	32.8	1.0%
89		35	35.4	1.0%
99		43	53.0	1.6%
-1	Missing	577	547.9	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

XG5: G5. Extended-Occupation (ANZSCO 4 digit)

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1000-9999] [Missing=*/-1]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3378 / 3407.124] [Invalid=577 / 547.876]
Pre-question	What kind of work do you do? Please give your full job title, and as much detail as you can. For public servants, state official designation and occupation. For armed services personnel, state rank and occupation. If you are unemployed or retired, please describe your last regular paid job If you have never worked for pay, please skip this section and go to Section H
Literal question	(Derived question based on coding of responses)

XG5: G5. Extended-Occupation (ANZSCO 4 digit)

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1000		65	60.2	1.8%
1111		61	49.0	1.4%
1112		27	27.3	0.8%
1210		16	12.9	0.4%
1211		1	1.6	0.0%
1212		23	17.6	0.5%
1213		26	20.4	0.6%
1214		5	4.5	0.1%
1300		38	32.9	1.0%
1311		45	49.2	1.4%
1320		3	3.8	0.1%
1321		14	12.0	0.4%
1322		19	18.2	0.5%
1323		17	15.8	0.5%
1324		9	11.3	0.3%
1325		2	1.8	0.1%
1331		13	13.7	0.4%
1332		3	2.6	0.1%
1333		3	2.9	0.1%
1334		1	1.0	0.0%
1335		10	7.9	0.2%
1336		7	7.8	0.2%
1340		2	2.8	0.1%
1341		3	2.8	0.1%
1342		14	13.1	0.4%
1343		20	15.4	0.5%
1344		3	2.1	0.1%
1351		15	16.2	0.5%
1391		8	6.6	0.2%
1392		1	1.6	0.0%
1399		7	6.8	0.2%
1400		1	0.7	0.0%
1410		2	1.3	0.0%
1411		10	8.1	0.2%
1412		6	4.3	0.1%
1413		6	5.4	0.2%
1414		5	3.3	0.1%
1421		46	40.8	1.2%
1491		1	0.6	0.0%
1492		2	1.7	0.0%
1493		5	6.9	0.2%
1494		6	5.5	0.2%
1499		9	8.4	0.2%

XG5: G5. Extended-Occupation (ANZSCO 4 digit)

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
2000		18	17.7	0.5%
2112		5	5.2	0.2%
2114		8	5.8	0.2%
2122		1	0.7	0.0%
2123		6	6.9	0.2%
2124		15	16.3	0.5%
2200		16	20.0	0.6%
2211		51	52.9	1.6%
2212		8	7.8	0.2%
2221		6	7.8	0.2%
2222		4	3.3	0.1%
2223		9	8.3	0.2%
2231		14	14.1	0.4%
2233		9	8.7	0.3%
2240		1	0.6	0.0%
2241		3	2.9	0.1%
2242		4	3.2	0.1%
2244		11	17.2	0.5%
2245		4	4.2	0.1%
2246		11	8.6	0.3%
2247		15	14.7	0.4%
2249		5	4.5	0.1%
2250		1	0.7	0.0%
2251		5	5.4	0.2%
2253		3	2.5	0.1%
2254		5	5.2	0.2%
2300		5	4.3	0.1%
2311		2	2.4	0.1%
2312		6	4.2	0.1%
2321		5	5.0	0.1%
2322		3	2.2	0.1%
2323		5	7.5	0.2%
2324		13	15.8	0.5%
2325		1	1.6	0.0%
2326		3	2.2	0.1%
2330		12	13.9	0.4%
2332		13	13.6	0.4%
2333		11	11.6	0.3%
2335		4	3.6	0.1%
2339		4	3.2	0.1%
2340		1	0.9	0.0%
2341		1	1.4	0.0%
2342		6	7.1	0.2%

XG5: G5. Extended-Occupation (ANZSCO 4 digit)

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
2343		10	10.9	0.3%
2344		1	0.8	0.0%
2345		3	5.9	0.2%
2346		4	5.1	0.1%
2347		5	4.4	0.1%
2349		2	1.3	0.0%
2400		66	66.7	2.0%
2410		12	10.6	0.3%
2411		7	6.4	0.2%
2412		40	36.4	1.1%
2413		1	0.7	0.0%
2414		43	43.5	1.3%
2415		6	4.5	0.1%
2420		7	5.2	0.2%
2421		26	22.3	0.7%
2422		14	11.7	0.3%
2491		2	1.3	0.0%
2492		5	4.9	0.1%
2493		5	5.1	0.2%
2511		5	6.3	0.2%
2512		4	3.3	0.1%
2513		9	8.9	0.3%
2514		1	0.9	0.0%
2515		7	8.1	0.2%
2519		3	2.9	0.1%
2521		2	3.0	0.1%
2522		1	1.1	0.0%
2524		2	3.0	0.1%
2525		11	11.8	0.3%
2526		1	0.9	0.0%
2527		2	2.1	0.1%
2531		16	17.1	0.5%
2533		5	4.0	0.1%
2535		1	1.2	0.0%
2539		4	3.4	0.1%
2541		6	4.6	0.1%
2542		2	1.7	0.0%
2543		3	2.8	0.1%
2544		86	80.3	2.4%
2600		4	7.4	0.2%
2611		7	7.9	0.2%
2612		1	0.8	0.0%
2613		18	22.5	0.7%

XG5: G5. Extended-Occupation (ANZSCO 4 digit)

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
2621		2	2.1	0.1%
2631		2	2.0	0.1%
2632		3	3.4	0.1%
2633		6	7.5	0.2%
2711		2	1.9	0.1%
2712		1	0.8	0.0%
2713		16	23.0	0.7%
2720		1	0.8	0.0%
2721		11	9.7	0.3%
2722		8	8.4	0.2%
2723		16	20.1	0.6%
2724		5	5.7	0.2%
2725		12	11.3	0.3%
3000		7	8.4	0.2%
3100		1	1.8	0.1%
3110		2	2.0	0.1%
3111		1	0.7	0.0%
3112		6	6.6	0.2%
3113		2	1.3	0.0%
3114		4	3.5	0.1%
3120		2	2.0	0.1%
3121		11	9.8	0.3%
3125		7	7.3	0.2%
3126		2	2.2	0.1%
3129		7	6.2	0.2%
3131		13	16.9	0.5%
3132		4	3.0	0.1%
3211		2	1.7	0.0%
3212		18	22.6	0.7%
3221		1	1.7	0.0%
3222		2	1.7	0.0%
3223		7	6.8	0.2%
3231		6	6.7	0.2%
3232		21	18.7	0.5%
3233		2	1.9	0.1%
3234		2	1.4	0.0%
3241		5	7.6	0.2%
3243		1	1.1	0.0%
3300		16	14.9	0.4%
3311		3	2.4	0.1%
3312		15	13.7	0.4%
3321		2	2.5	0.1%
3322		10	8.2	0.2%

XG5: G5. Extended-Occupation (ANZSCO 4 digit)

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
3330		2	2.5	0.1%
3331		2	1.9	0.1%
3332		5	6.2	0.2%
3334		1	0.9	0.0%
3341		17	23.0	0.7%
3411		22	23.9	0.7%
3421		5	5.7	0.2%
3422		4	4.9	0.1%
3423		9	8.5	0.2%
3424		8	7.3	0.2%
3511		4	3.7	0.1%
3512		2	1.5	0.0%
3513		15	13.0	0.4%
3514		12	9.7	0.3%
3611		4	10.8	0.3%
3612		3	2.4	0.1%
3613		6	11.9	0.3%
3621		2	1.3	0.0%
3622		11	9.3	0.3%
3624		1	0.4	0.0%
3911		13	16.4	0.5%
3921		1	1.0	0.0%
3923		1	1.1	0.0%
3931		2	1.4	0.0%
3932		7	8.6	0.3%
3933		3	2.4	0.1%
3941		6	8.1	0.2%
3942		5	3.7	0.1%
3991		2	1.1	0.0%
3992		3	4.2	0.1%
3993		2	1.8	0.1%
3995		2	2.3	0.1%
3996		1	0.7	0.0%
3999		7	5.7	0.2%
4000		2	2.5	0.1%
4111		1	0.8	0.0%
4112		3	2.4	0.1%
4113		1	1.6	0.0%
4114		24	21.5	0.6%
4116		5	5.3	0.2%
4117		15	15.2	0.4%
4200		6	5.8	0.2%
4211		29	34.3	1.0%

XG5: G5. Extended-Occupation (ANZSCO 4 digit)

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
4221		24	19.9	0.6%
4230		1	0.6	0.0%
4231		21	21.4	0.6%
4232		2	3.2	0.1%
4233		46	39.6	1.2%
4234		1	1.3	0.0%
4310		3	3.4	0.1%
4311		11	16.0	0.5%
4313		1	1.3	0.0%
4314		1	1.7	0.1%
4315		23	33.6	1.0%
4319		2	2.7	0.1%
4411		4	3.6	0.1%
4412		7	6.2	0.2%
4413		17	16.7	0.5%
4421		3	4.3	0.1%
4422		21	16.4	0.5%
4511		6	5.5	0.2%
4512		2	3.5	0.1%
4513		1	1.2	0.0%
4514		1	1.5	0.0%
4516		7	7.3	0.2%
4517		1	0.9	0.0%
4518		4	3.7	0.1%
4521		6	6.0	0.2%
4523		4	5.1	0.2%
4524		3	5.3	0.2%
5000		15	13.8	0.4%
5100		3	2.8	0.1%
5111		54	58.6	1.7%
5121		37	34.8	1.0%
5122		5	4.0	0.1%
5211		30	24.0	0.7%
5212		37	29.3	0.9%
5311		110	104.7	3.1%
5321		15	12.6	0.4%
5410		2	1.5	0.0%
5411		28	31.6	0.9%
5412		1	0.7	0.0%
5421		44	46.0	1.4%
5511		38	37.8	1.1%
5512		15	12.9	0.4%
5513		6	5.7	0.2%

XG5: G5. Extended-Occupation (ANZSCO 4 digit)

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
5521		25	25.8	0.8%
5522		6	6.2	0.2%
5523		1	0.8	0.0%
5611		2	1.3	0.0%
5612		6	5.5	0.2%
5613		1	0.6	0.0%
5614		3	3.0	0.1%
5615		1	0.8	0.0%
5616		2	1.8	0.1%
5619		1	1.4	0.0%
5911		36	37.9	1.1%
5912		6	6.4	0.2%
5991		3	2.6	0.1%
5992		7	7.3	0.2%
5993		3	3.3	0.1%
5995		10	9.0	0.3%
5996		6	5.5	0.2%
5997		7	6.3	0.2%
5999		5	3.5	0.1%
6000		3	2.4	0.1%
6112		3	4.5	0.1%
6113		8	6.9	0.2%
6121		27	29.0	0.9%
6211		119	144.1	4.2%
6212		1	1.6	0.0%
6213		6	4.3	0.1%
6214		13	14.2	0.4%
6215		19	29.6	0.9%
6217		2	1.5	0.0%
6219		3	3.5	0.1%
6311		24	30.1	0.9%
6392		2	2.4	0.1%
6393		2	1.8	0.1%
6395		4	2.8	0.1%
7000		3	3.6	0.1%
7100		4	4.5	0.1%
7110		1	0.7	0.0%
7112		1	0.4	0.0%
7113		2	2.4	0.1%
7115		1	0.7	0.0%
7116		3	2.7	0.1%
7119		2	2.6	0.1%
7121		2	1.9	0.1%

#XG5: G5. Extended-Occupation (ANZSCO 4 digit)

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
7122		3	2.7	0.1%
7123		1	0.8	0.0%
7129		7	5.6	0.2%
7212		5	3.8	0.1%
7213		2	1.5	0.0%
7219		1	2.7	0.1%
7300		10	11.8	0.3%
7311		8	6.5	0.2%
7312		10	8.3	0.2%
7313		3	2.5	0.1%
7321		9	8.7	0.3%
7331		42	40.5	1.2%
7411		34	31.9	0.9%
8000		9	16.7	0.5%
8110		17	17.3	0.5%
8111		4	4.4	0.1%
8112		22	18.5	0.5%
8113		4	4.7	0.1%
8114		8	6.2	0.2%
8116		1	0.6	0.0%
8200		2	1.7	0.1%
8210		1	0.7	0.0%
8211		4	4.4	0.1%
8212		3	2.2	0.1%
8215		5	3.8	0.1%
8216		1	0.8	0.0%
8219		2	2.3	0.1%
8300		16	11.6	0.3%
8311		9	9.4	0.3%
8313		5	5.1	0.1%
8321		6	5.7	0.2%
8322		6	6.6	0.2%
8391		2	1.5	0.0%
8392		2	1.6	0.0%
8393		4	3.5	0.1%
8394		3	5.3	0.2%
8399		3	2.5	0.1%
8410		2	2.1	0.1%
8412		8	6.9	0.2%
8413		2	1.6	0.0%
8414		12	16.9	0.5%
8415		2	1.6	0.0%
8416		1	0.7	0.0%

XG5: G5. Extended-Occupation (ANZSCO 4 digit)

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
8419		5	4.3	0.1%
8511		1	1.7	0.0%
8512		3	3.7	0.1%
8513		25	27.4	0.8%
8911		6	4.5	0.1%
8912		9	12.8	0.4%
8991		1	1.0	0.0%
8993		12	10.7	0.3%
8994		1	1.6	0.0%
8996		4	2.7	0.1%
8997		1	1.0	0.0%
8999		1	0.9	0.0%
9999		43	53.0	1.6%
-1	Missing	577	547.9	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

G5POS: G5. Position in organisation

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/-1]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3467 / 3499.759] [Invalid=488 / 455.241]
Literal question	Which of the following best describes the position that you hold (or held)?

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Upper managerial	576	527.1	15.1%
2	Middle managerial	544	516.2	14.7%
3	Lower managerial	398	419.1	12.0%
4	Supervisory	554	533.7	15.2%
5	Non-supervisory	1395	1503.7	43.0%
-1	Missing	488	455.2	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

G5EMPLOY: G5. Employer

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*/-1]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3548 / 3554.8] [Invalid=407 / 400.2]
Literal question	Whom do (or did) you work for?

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Self-employed	673	595.8	16.8%
2	Employee in private company or business	1805	1952.3	54.9%
3	Employee of Federal/State/Local Government	929	873.0	24.6%
4	Employee in family business or farm	141	133.7	3.8%
-1	Missing	407	400.2	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

G5SUPERV: G5. Supervisory responsibility

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*/-1]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3574 / 3579.682] [Invalid=381 / 375.318]
Literal question	As an official part of your job, do (or did) you directly supervise the work of other employees or tell other employees what work to do?

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	1919	1846.0	51.6%
2	No	1655	1733.6	48.4%
-1	Missing	381	375.3	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

G6: G6. Belong to a trade union

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*/-1]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3611 / 3609.775] [Invalid=344 / 345.225]
Literal question	Do you belong to a trade union?

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	773	748.2	20.7%
2	No	2838	2861.5	79.3%
-1	Missing	344	345.2	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

H1: H1. Sex

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*/-1]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3878 / 3872.749] [Invalid=77 / 82.251]
Literal question	Are you male or female?

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Male	1855	1869.2	48.3%
2	Female	2023	2003.5	51.7%
-1	Missing	77	82.3	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

H2: H2. Year of birth

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1910-1999] [Missing=*/-1]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3853 / 3840.889] [Invalid=102 / 114.111] [Mean=1958.893 / 1964.538] [StdDev=16.974 / 17.855]
Literal question	In what year were you born?

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
-1	Missing	102	114.1	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

Age: Age (derived)

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 14-103] [Missing=*/-1]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3853 / 3840.889] [Invalid=102 / 114.111]
Literal question	In what year were you born?

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
14		1	0.8	0.0%
15		1	0.9	0.0%

Age: Age (derived)

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
18		23	42.9	1.1%
19		34	59.9	1.6%
20		37	64.2	1.7%
21		29	47.7	1.2%
22		37	69.7	1.8%
23		39	79.5	2.1%
24		23	40.1	1.0%
25		27	44.9	1.2%
26		32	59.6	1.6%
27		30	51.3	1.3%
28		40	71.9	1.9%
29		32	54.6	1.4%
30		38	63.7	1.7%
31		35	59.4	1.5%
32		42	66.2	1.7%
33		48	77.0	2.0%
34		39	66.8	1.7%
35		47	63.6	1.7%
36		40	53.6	1.4%
37		35	41.0	1.1%
38		56	79.8	2.1%
39		45	55.4	1.4%
40		55	67.5	1.8%
41		53	65.6	1.7%
42		60	71.7	1.9%
43		61	93.0	2.4%
44		65	83.5	2.2%
45		69	66.4	1.7%
46		70	62.0	1.6%
47		61	62.1	1.6%
48		71	63.5	1.7%
49		70	64.1	1.7%
50		70	68.1	1.8%
51		88	81.0	2.1%
52		90	82.8	2.2%
53		79	71.6	1.9%
54		81	75.9	2.0%
55		64	44.7	1.2%
56		83	65.2	1.7%
57		88	61.8	1.6%
58		103	72.7	1.9%
59		78	56.6	1.5%
60		92	68.9	1.8%

Age: Age (derived)

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
61		95	69.2	1.8%
62		78	56.4	1.5%
63		88	64.1	1.7%
64		97	74.2	1.9%
65		89	65.6	1.7%
66		89	65.9	1.7%
67		87	62.5	1.6%
68		83	58.7	1.5%
69		77	53.7	1.4%
70		66	47.5	1.2%
71		68	50.6	1.3%
72		54	39.0	1.0%
73		45	32.2	0.8%
74		60	42.7	1.1%
75		48	32.9	0.9%
76		27	20.4	0.5%
77		48	33.3	0.9%
78		21	15.7	0.4%
79		31	22.2	0.6%
80		32	22.1	0.6%
81		37	26.1	0.7%
82		23	15.5	0.4%
83		19	15.0	0.4%
84		21	14.1	0.4%
85		23	16.0	0.4%
86		12	10.0	0.3%
87		16	10.6	0.3%
88		18	11.8	0.3%
89		11	7.5	0.2%
90		5	3.6	0.1%
91		8	5.7	0.1%
92		4	2.9	0.1%
93		2	1.4	0.0%
94		2	1.6	0.0%
95		3	1.9	0.1%
96		2	1.4	0.0%
99		1	0.8	0.0%
102		1	0.7	0.0%
103		1	2.2	0.1%
-1	Missing	102	114.1	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

Ageder: Age (categorised - derived)

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*/-1]

Ageder: Age (categorised - derived)

Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3851 / 3839.174] [Invalid=104 / 115.826]
Literal question	In what year were you born?

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	18 to 24	222	404.0	10.5%
2	25 to 34	363	615.3	16.0%
3	35 to 44	517	674.8	17.6%
4	45 to 54	749	697.4	18.2%
5	55 to 64	866	633.9	16.5%
6	65 plus	1134	813.8	21.2%
-1	Missing	104	115.8	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

H3: H3. Aboriginal or Torres Strait Islander

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*/-1]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3876 / 3870.526] [Invalid=79 / 84.474]
Literal question	Do you identify yourself as Aboriginal or Torres Strait Islander?

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	55	53.5	1.4%
2	No	3821	3817.0	98.6%
-1	Missing	79	84.5	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

H4P1: H4. You or household member own - A residence

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*/-1]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3807 / 3806.208] [Invalid=148 / 148.792]
Pre-question	Do you or a member of your household own or have …?
Literal question	A residence – for example, a home or an apartment

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	3190	3069.5	80.6%
2	No	617	736.7	19.4%
-1	Missing	148	148.8	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

H4P2: H4. You or household member own - A business

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*/-1]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3260 / 3342.686] [Invalid=695 / 612.314]
Pre-question	Do you or a member of your household own or have …?
Literal question	A business, a piece of property, a farm, or livestock

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	1028	1013.5	30.3%
2	No	2232	2329.1	69.7%
-1	Missing	695	612.3	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

H4P3: H4. You or household member own - Stocks or bonds

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*/-1]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3325 / 3378.684] [Invalid=630 / 576.316]
Pre-question	Do you or a member of your household own or have …?
Literal question	Stocks or bonds

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)	
1	Yes	1388	1301.4		38.5%
2	No	1937	2077.3		61.5%
-1	Missing	630	576.3		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

H4P4: H4. You or household member own - Any savings

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*/-1]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3609 / 3629.397] [Invalid=346 / 325.603]
Pre-question	Do you or a member of your household own or have …?
Literal question	Any savings

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)	
1	Yes	2784	2750.2		75.8%
2	No	825	879.2	24.2%	
-1	Missing	346	325.6		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

H5DAD: H5. Fathers party ID at 14

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*/-1]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3740 / 3745.29] [Invalid=215 / 209.71]
Pre-question	Did your father have any particular preference for one of the political parties when you were young, say about 14 years old? And how about your mother?
Literal question	Father

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)	
1	Liberal (includes UAP)	1008	1018.6		27.2%
2	Labor	1329	1263.6		33.7%
3	National/Country (includes Progressive Party)	187	174.9	4.7%	
4	Democratic Labor (DLP)	31	22.2	0.6%	
5	Australian Democrats	13	13.9	0.4%	
6	Other parties	47	49.7	1.3%	
7	Don't know	1125	1202.3		32.1%
-1	Missing	215	209.7		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

H5MUM: H5. Mothers party ID at 14

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*/-1]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3657 / 3679.623] [Invalid=298 / 275.377]
Pre-question	Did your father have any particular preference for one of the political parties when you were young, say about 14 years old? And how about your mother?
Literal question	Mother

H5MUM: H5. Mothers party ID at 14

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Liberal (includes UAP)	996	974.9	26.5%
2	Labor	1169	1134.3	30.8%
3	National/Country (includes Progressive Party)	168	155.3	4.2%
4	Democratic Labor (DLP)	30	24.0	0.7%
5	Australian Democrats	18	22.2	0.6%
6	Other parties	47	60.3	1.6%
7	Don't know	1229	1308.6	35.6%
-1	Missing	298	275.4	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

H6: H6. Religion

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*/-1]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3849 / 3847.214] [Invalid=106 / 107.786]
Literal question	What is your religion or faith?

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Roman Catholic	907	897.1	23.3%
2	Anglican/Church of England	768	685.1	17.8%
3	Uniting Church/Methodist	294	239.5	6.2%
4	Orthodox Church	80	87.7	2.3%
5	Presbyterian	153	135.7	3.5%
6	Other (please specify)	506	533.0	13.9%
7	No religion	1141	1269.1	33.0%
-1	Missing	106	107.8	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

XH6: H6. Extended-Religion

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*/-1]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3846 / 3844.401] [Invalid=109 / 110.599]
Literal question	What is your religion or faith?

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Roman Catholic	908	897.8	23.4%
2	Anglican/Church of England	771	687.4	17.9%
3	Uniting Church/Methodist	297	241.9	6.3%
4	Orthodox Church	81	88.4	2.3%
5	Presbyterian	154	136.4	3.5%
6	Other (Please specify)	5	5.1	0.1%
7	No religion	0	0.0	
8	Catholic - not Roman	10	13.2	0.3%
9	Armenian Apostolic	3	3.2	0.1%
10	Baptist	50	46.1	1.2%
11	Brethren	2	1.9	0.0%
12	Churches of Christ	18	13.6	0.4%
13	Latter Day Saints	13	16.6	0.4%

XH6: H6. Extended-Religion

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
14	Lutheran	44	38.8	1.0%
15	Salvation Army	13	12.8	0.3%
16	Seventh Day Adventist	9	9.0	0.2%
17	Other Protestant	8	7.8	0.2%
18	Other Christian	20	24.0	0.6%
19	Buddhist	47	47.8	1.2%
20	Hebrew/Jewish	12	10.2	0.3%
21	Muslim	34	41.2	1.1%
22	Other Non-Christian	26	28.0	0.7%
23	Hindu	28	34.6	0.9%
24	Pentecostalism	35	35.9	0.9%
96	Christian (Specified)	82	84.2	2.2%
97	Other (Not Specified)	6	9.3	0.2%
98	No Religion	1170	1309.2	34.1%
-1	Missing	109	110.6	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

H7: H7. Religious attendance

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*/-1]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3841 / 3841.638] [Invalid=114 / 113.362]
Literal question	Apart from weddings, funerals and baptisms, about how often do you attend religious services?

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	At least once a week	459	442.0	11.5%
2	At least once a month	179	183.3	4.8%
3	Several times a year	396	389.5	10.1%
4	At least once a year	344	344.4	9.0%
5	Less than once a year	608	586.1	15.3%
6	Never	1855	1896.3	49.4%
-1	Missing	114	113.4	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

H8: H8. Marital status

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*/-1]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3850 / 3846.978] [Invalid=105 / 108.022]
Literal question	What is your current marital status?

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Never married	618	888.3	23.1%
2	Now married (including de facto relationships)	2605	2439.9	63.4%
3	Widowed	224	163.4	4.2%
4	Divorced or separated	403	355.4	9.2%
-1	Missing	105	108.0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

H9: H9. Own, buying or rent home

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/-1]

H9: H9. Own, buying or rent home

Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3839 / 3839.378] [Invalid=116 / 115.622]
Literal question	Do you own outright, are you buying or renting the dwelling in which you now live?

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)	
1	Own outright	1650	1288.0		33.5%
2	Own, paying off mortgage	1219	1288.9		33.6%
3	Rent from private landlord	518	637.4		16.6%
4	Rent from public housing authority	99	93.3		2.4%
5	Other (boarding, living at home, etc)	353	531.8		13.9%
-1	Missing	116	115.6		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

H10: H10. How often use internet

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*/-1]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3843 / 3844.97] [Invalid=112 / 110.03]
Literal question	In general, how often do you use the internet?
Notes	IF 7 (Do not use the Internet) -> GO TO H13

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)	
1	Several times a day	2154	2390.6		62.2%
2	About once a day	565	515.1		13.4%
3	Three to five days a week	199	184.0		4.8%
4	One to two days a week	173	151.7		3.9%
5	Every few weeks	94	80.1		2.1%
6	Less often	61	63.7		1.7%
7	Do not use the internet	597	459.7		12.0%
-1	Missing	112	110.0		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

H11: H11. When start using internet

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*/-1]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3229 / 3370.352] [Invalid=726 / 584.648]
Literal question	When did you first start using the internet?

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)	
1	Within the last six months	34	31.4	0.9%	
2	About a year ago	78	67.3	2.0%	
3	About two or three years ago	258	219.4	6.5%	
4	More than three years ago	2859	3052.2		90.6%
-1	Missing	726	584.6		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

H12ATTCH: H12. Sent an attachment with an email

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/-1]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2936 / 3114.529] [Invalid=1019 / 840.471]
Pre-question	Have you done any of the following tasks on the Internet? Please cross all that apply
Literal question	Sent an attachment with an email

H12ATTCH: H12. Sent an attachment with an email

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)	
0	Unchecked	0	0.0		
1	Checked	2936	3114.5		100.0%
-1	Missing	1019	840.5		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

H12MLMED: H12. Posted audio, video or image files

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/-1]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1857 / 2144.375] [Invalid=2098 / 1810.625]
Pre-question	Have you done any of the following tasks on the Internet? Please cross all that apply
Literal question	Posted audio, video or image files

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)	
0	Unchecked	0	0.0		
1	Checked	1857	2144.4		100.0%
-1	Missing	2098	1810.6		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

H12WPBLG: H12. Personally designed a webpage or blog

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/-1]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=583 / 734.895] [Invalid=3372 / 3220.105]
Pre-question	Have you done any of the following tasks on the Internet? Please cross all that apply
Literal question	Personally designed a webpage or blog

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)	
0	Unchecked	0	0.0		
1	Checked	583	734.9		100.0%
-1	Missing	3372	3220.1		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

H12DWLD: H12. Downloaded a software program to your computer

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/-1]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2226 / 2466.375] [Invalid=1729 / 1488.625]
Pre-question	Have you done any of the following tasks on the Internet? Please cross all that apply
Literal question	Downloaded a software program to your computer

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)	
0	Unchecked	0	0.0		
1	Checked	2226	2466.4		100.0%
-1	Missing	1729	1488.6		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

H13: H13. Social class

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*/-1]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3827 / 3825.7] [Invalid=128 / 129.3]
Literal question	Which social class would you say you belong to?

H13: H13. Social class

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Upper class	66	69.6	1.8%
2	Middle class	1983	1974.9	51.6%
3	Working class	1436	1449.6	37.9%
4	None	342	331.6	8.7%
-1	Missing	128	129.3	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

H14: H14. Live rural or urban

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/-1]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3829 / 3825.899] [Invalid=126 / 129.101]
Literal question	Would you say you now live in …

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	A rural area or village	418	393.0	10.3%
2	A small country town (under 10,000 people)	411	384.2	10.0%
3	A larger country town (over 10,000 people)	306	301.7	7.9%
4	A large town (over 25,000 people)	651	657.0	17.2%
5	A major city (over 100,000 people)	2043	2089.9	54.6%
-1	Missing	126	129.1	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

H15: H15. Gross annual income

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-22] [Missing=*/-1]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3617 / 3638.423] [Invalid=338 / 316.577]
Literal question	What is the gross annual income, before tax or other deductions, for you and your family living with you from all sources? Please include any pensions and allowances, and income from interest or dividends.

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Less than $10,000 per year	93	111.0	3.1%
2	$10,001 to $15,000 per year	150	132.8	3.6%
3	$15,001 to $20,000 per year	204	176.4	4.8%
4	$20,001 to $25,000 per year	182	163.3	4.5%
5	$25,001 to $30,000 per year	182	147.1	4.0%
6	$30,001 to $35,000 per year	154	138.6	3.8%
7	$35,001 to $40,000 per year	158	146.4	4.0%
8	$40,001 to $45,000 per year	105	102.9	2.8%
9	$45,001 to $50,000 per year	145	143.7	3.9%
10	$50,001 to $60,000 per year	219	217.5	6.0%
11	$60,001 to $70,000 per year	233	247.0	6.8%
12	$70,001 to $80,000 per year	249	251.3	6.9%
13	$80,001 to $90,000 per year	213	220.9	6.1%
14	$90,001 to $100,000 per year	184	212.2	5.8%
15	$100,001 to $110,000 per year	157	162.9	4.5%
16	$110,001 to $120,000 per year	141	144.5	4.0%
17	$120,001 to $130,000 per year	113	124.9	3.4%
18	$130,001 to $140,000 per year	84	86.8	2.4%

H15: H15. Gross annual income

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)	
19	$140,001 to $150,000 per year	90	101.2		2.8%
20	$150,001 to $160,000 per year	104	112.2		3.1%
21	$160,001 to $180,000 per year	116	131.5		3.6%
22	More than $180,000 per year	341	363.3		10.0%
-1	Missing	338	316.6		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

H16: H16. Prepared to take part in similar survey next Federal election

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/-1]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3808 / 3809.43] [Invalid=147 / 145.57]
Literal question	Would you be prepared to take part in a similar survey at the next Federal election?
Post-question	The next few questions (H17 to H22) are ONLY for those who are MARRIED or living with a PARTNER. If you are NOT currently married or living in a de facto relationship, please skip this section and GO TO H23.

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)	
1	Yes	2286	2271.8		59.6%
2	No	1511	1524.2		40.0%
99	No response	11	13.4	0.4%	
-1	Missing	147	145.6		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

H17: H17. Spouse working now

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/-1]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2555 / 2397.195] [Invalid=1400 / 1557.805]
Literal question	What was your partner's main activity last week?

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)	
1	Working full-time for pay	1035	1102.6		46.0%
2	Working part-time for pay	369	331.7		13.8%
3	Unemployed - looking for full-time work	32	36.6	1.5%	
4	Unemployed - looking for part-time work	20	19.5	0.8%	
5	Retired from paid work	652	479.5		20.0%
6	A full-time school or university student	24	30.6	1.3%	
7	Keeping house	327	309.9		12.9%
8	Other (please specify)	96	86.8	3.6%	
-1	Missing	1400	1557.8		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

H18: H18. Spouse Occupation (ANZSCO 2 digit)

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10-99] [Missing=*/-1]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2299 / 2178.213] [Invalid=1656 / 1776.787]
Pre-question	What kind of work does (or did) your partner do? Please give their full job title, and as much detail as you can. For public servants, state official designation and occupation. For armed services personnel, state rank and occupation. If not working for pay now, please describe your partner's last regular paid job If your partner has never worked for pay, please go to H22
Literal question	(Derived variable based on responses to H18a and H18B)

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)

H18: H18. Spouse Occupation (ANZSCO 2 digit)

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
10		65	71.5	3.3%
11		58	47.9	2.2%
12		61	48.5	2.2%
13		159	145.6	6.7%
14		69	61.4	2.8%
20		12	13.7	0.6%
21		26	25.4	1.2%
22		134	147.6	6.8%
23		91	89.5	4.1%
24		155	143.9	6.6%
25		102	101.7	4.7%
26		30	33.9	1.6%
27		48	46.1	2.1%
30		9	7.2	0.3%
31		47	45.1	2.1%
32		54	65.1	3.0%
33		58	57.0	2.6%
34		42	44.9	2.1%
35		18	15.3	0.7%
36		12	10.3	0.5%
39		34	31.0	1.4%
40		1	0.7	0.0%
41		40	37.9	1.7%
42		67	69.9	3.2%
43		16	14.0	0.6%
44		28	26.2	1.2%
45		21	19.1	0.9%
50		20	18.7	0.9%
51		53	54.0	2.5%
52		52	40.1	1.8%
53		94	83.0	3.8%
54		35	29.0	1.3%
55		54	48.6	2.2%
56		26	21.2	1.0%
59		57	52.0	2.4%
60		6	4.9	0.2%
61		33	35.0	1.6%
62		95	84.1	3.9%
63		6	4.4	0.2%
70		3	2.9	0.1%
71		22	20.1	0.9%
72		11	11.4	0.5%
73		67	60.2	2.8%

H18: H18. Spouse Occupation (ANZSCO 2 digit)

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
74		17	14.8	0.7%
80		4	4.0	0.2%
81		49	46.2	2.1%
82		15	13.9	0.6%
83		34	29.6	1.4%
84		22	18.8	0.9%
85		16	11.9	0.5%
89		16	14.8	0.7%
99		35	33.9	1.6%
-1	Missing	1656	1776.8	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

XH18: H18. Extended-Spouse Occupation (ANZSCO 4 digit)

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1000-9999] [Missing=*/-1]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2299 / 2178.213] [Invalid=1656 / 1776.787]
Pre-question	What kind of work does (or did) your partner do? Please give their full job title, and as much detail as you can. For public servants, state official designation and occupation. For armed services personnel, state rank and occupation. If not working for pay now, please describe your partner's last regular paid job If your partner has never worked for pay, please go to H22
Literal question	(Derived variable based on responses to H18a and H18B)

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1000		65	71.5	3.3%
1111		47	39.1	1.8%
1112		10	8.1	0.4%
1113		1	0.7	0.0%
1200		1	1.4	0.1%
1210		13	10.5	0.5%
1212		25	18.0	0.8%
1213		21	17.7	0.8%
1214		1	0.9	0.0%
1300		14	12.8	0.6%
1311		31	30.0	1.4%
1320		2	1.5	0.1%
1321		7	6.7	0.3%
1322		13	12.6	0.6%
1323		5	4.8	0.2%
1324		6	4.9	0.2%
1325		3	3.4	0.2%
1331		5	4.3	0.2%
1332		5	4.6	0.2%
1333		2	2.5	0.1%
1334		2	1.5	0.1%
1335		9	7.0	0.3%
1336		4	3.5	0.2%

XH18: H18. Extended-Spouse Occupation (ANZSCO 4 digit)

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1341		1	0.7	0.0%
1342		4	2.7	0.1%
1343		14	11.3	0.5%
1344		5	3.3	0.2%
1351		15	15.7	0.7%
1392		4	3.6	0.2%
1399		8	8.4	0.4%
1400		13	11.5	0.5%
1411		12	12.8	0.6%
1412		3	2.1	0.1%
1413		3	2.5	0.1%
1414		2	1.4	0.1%
1421		22	18.4	0.8%
1492		3	2.6	0.1%
1493		3	3.1	0.1%
1494		1	0.7	0.0%
1499		7	6.4	0.3%
2000		12	13.7	0.6%
2110		2	1.5	0.1%
2111		1	1.5	0.1%
2112		4	3.8	0.2%
2113		4	4.5	0.2%
2114		3	2.2	0.1%
2121		1	0.9	0.0%
2122		3	2.1	0.1%
2123		4	5.0	0.2%
2124		4	4.0	0.2%
2200		7	8.3	0.4%
2211		42	45.7	2.1%
2212		4	4.9	0.2%
2221		2	1.8	0.1%
2223		11	11.2	0.5%
2231		11	11.7	0.5%
2233		7	6.8	0.3%
2241		1	0.7	0.0%
2243		1	0.8	0.0%
2244		10	12.5	0.6%
2245		3	2.8	0.1%
2246		6	4.7	0.2%
2247		12	16.8	0.8%
2249		5	4.1	0.2%
2251		5	8.9	0.4%
2253		3	3.0	0.1%

XH18: H18. Extended-Spouse Occupation (ANZSCO 4 digit)

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
2254		4	2.9	0.1%
2300		2	2.3	0.1%
2311		2	1.8	0.1%
2312		8	6.3	0.3%
2320		1	1.2	0.1%
2321		5	3.8	0.2%
2322		4	3.4	0.2%
2323		1	0.9	0.0%
2324		4	4.2	0.2%
2325		1	0.6	0.0%
2326		3	2.6	0.1%
2330		8	9.6	0.4%
2332		6	5.2	0.2%
2333		8	10.3	0.5%
2334		3	1.9	0.1%
2335		5	4.7	0.2%
2339		6	4.5	0.2%
2340		4	3.7	0.2%
2341		3	3.3	0.2%
2342		2	2.0	0.1%
2343		6	6.6	0.3%
2344		2	1.0	0.0%
2345		1	0.7	0.0%
2346		5	8.0	0.4%
2347		1	0.9	0.0%
2400		55	55.2	2.5%
2410		19	16.6	0.8%
2411		4	4.1	0.2%
2412		24	20.1	0.9%
2414		17	15.9	0.7%
2415		3	2.7	0.1%
2420		2	1.8	0.1%
2421		18	17.1	0.8%
2422		8	6.7	0.3%
2492		5	3.9	0.2%
2500		1	0.9	0.0%
2511		2	2.0	0.1%
2513		8	6.3	0.3%
2514		1	6.2	0.3%
2519		2	1.5	0.1%
2521		2	1.4	0.1%
2523		7	6.1	0.3%
2524		7	7.4	0.3%

XH18: H18. Extended-Spouse Occupation (ANZSCO 4 digit)

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
2525		4	5.1	0.2%
2526		1	0.7	0.0%
2527		1	1.0	0.0%
2531		15	16.9	0.8%
2533		2	1.5	0.1%
2539		1	1.5	0.1%
2541		6	6.6	0.3%
2542		2	2.2	0.1%
2543		2	1.8	0.1%
2544		38	32.6	1.5%
2600		5	8.0	0.4%
2611		6	5.5	0.3%
2612		1	0.9	0.0%
2613		9	9.8	0.4%
2621		1	1.3	0.1%
2631		1	0.7	0.0%
2632		4	3.8	0.2%
2633		3	4.0	0.2%
2711		2	2.5	0.1%
2712		1	0.8	0.0%
2713		14	14.6	0.7%
2721		4	4.2	0.2%
2722		4	3.8	0.2%
2723		10	8.8	0.4%
2724		3	2.5	0.1%
2725		8	7.5	0.3%
2726		2	1.4	0.1%
3000		9	7.2	0.3%
3111		1	0.7	0.0%
3112		3	2.4	0.1%
3114		4	3.2	0.1%
3120		4	4.7	0.2%
3121		16	14.2	0.6%
3124		1	1.3	0.1%
3126		7	6.3	0.3%
3129		2	2.5	0.1%
3131		9	9.9	0.5%
3200		1	0.9	0.0%
3212		12	10.8	0.5%
3221		1	2.0	0.1%
3222		3	3.4	0.2%
3223		12	13.4	0.6%
3231		2	1.4	0.1%

XH18: H18. Extended-Spouse Occupation (ANZSCO 4 digit)

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
3232		19	30.0	1.4%
3234		1	0.9	0.0%
3241		3	2.3	0.1%
3300		10	9.2	0.4%
3311		4	3.0	0.1%
3312		20	20.8	1.0%
3322		7	9.0	0.4%
3331		2	1.1	0.1%
3332		1	0.9	0.0%
3333		1	0.7	0.0%
3334		4	3.0	0.1%
3341		9	9.3	0.4%
3411		28	28.8	1.3%
3421		3	4.2	0.2%
3422		2	3.0	0.1%
3423		7	6.9	0.3%
3424		2	2.1	0.1%
3511		4	4.4	0.2%
3512		2	1.6	0.1%
3513		3	3.0	0.1%
3514		9	6.3	0.3%
3611		2	1.0	0.0%
3612		2	1.3	0.1%
3622		7	6.4	0.3%
3623		1	1.6	0.1%
3911		9	7.4	0.3%
3921		1	0.9	0.0%
3922		1	0.8	0.0%
3923		3	2.7	0.1%
3932		3	2.3	0.1%
3933		1	0.9	0.0%
3941		5	5.7	0.3%
3942		1	0.7	0.0%
3995		4	3.9	0.2%
3996		1	0.7	0.0%
3999		5	4.8	0.2%
4000		1	0.7	0.0%
4111		2	1.8	0.1%
4112		1	0.9	0.0%
4113		1	0.8	0.0%
4114		25	23.3	1.1%
4116		1	0.6	0.0%
4117		10	10.4	0.5%

XH18: H18. Extended-Spouse Occupation (ANZSCO 4 digit)

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
4200		6	5.5	0.3%
4211		16	15.7	0.7%
4221		12	13.1	0.6%
4231		7	8.2	0.4%
4232		2	2.0	0.1%
4233		24	25.4	1.2%
4311		6	5.4	0.2%
4312		3	2.8	0.1%
4313		1	0.9	0.0%
4315		6	4.9	0.2%
4411		4	4.1	0.2%
4412		5	4.9	0.2%
4413		12	11.3	0.5%
4421		4	2.8	0.1%
4422		3	3.2	0.1%
4511		3	3.2	0.1%
4512		2	1.4	0.1%
4514		1	0.7	0.0%
4516		5	3.5	0.2%
4517		2	1.8	0.1%
4523		8	8.5	0.4%
5000		20	18.7	0.9%
5111		19	26.5	1.2%
5121		31	24.8	1.1%
5122		3	2.6	0.1%
5211		11	8.9	0.4%
5212		41	31.2	1.4%
5311		83	72.6	3.3%
5321		11	10.5	0.5%
5411		11	8.6	0.4%
5421		24	20.4	0.9%
5511		12	10.9	0.5%
5512		13	11.8	0.5%
5513		8	5.8	0.3%
5521		19	17.6	0.8%
5522		2	2.5	0.1%
5611		1	0.7	0.0%
5612		12	10.6	0.5%
5613		2	1.7	0.1%
5614		9	6.8	0.3%
5615		1	0.6	0.0%
5616		1	0.9	0.0%
5900		1	0.7	0.0%

XH18: H18. Extended-Spouse Occupation (ANZSCO 4 digit)

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
5911		16	14.6	0.7%
5912		6	4.6	0.2%
5992		6	7.2	0.3%
5993		2	2.3	0.1%
5994		2	1.8	0.1%
5995		8	7.6	0.3%
5996		6	4.8	0.2%
5997		3	2.3	0.1%
5999		7	6.1	0.3%
6000		6	4.9	0.2%
6110		4	5.8	0.3%
6111		1	0.6	0.0%
6112		1	0.7	0.0%
6113		11	9.4	0.4%
6121		16	18.6	0.9%
6211		77	69.5	3.2%
6213		7	4.8	0.2%
6214		5	4.4	0.2%
6215		4	3.1	0.1%
6217		1	0.7	0.0%
6219		1	1.6	0.1%
6311		4	3.0	0.1%
6395		2	1.3	0.1%
7000		3	2.9	0.1%
7100		1	0.9	0.0%
7110		5	4.4	0.2%
7116		2	1.6	0.1%
7117		1	0.7	0.0%
7119		1	0.8	0.0%
7121		2	1.9	0.1%
7122		10	9.7	0.4%
7211		1	0.8	0.0%
7212		7	8.6	0.4%
7213		3	2.1	0.1%
7300		11	12.3	0.6%
7311		14	11.1	0.5%
7312		9	8.1	0.4%
7313		3	1.9	0.1%
7321		8	7.0	0.3%
7331		22	19.8	0.9%
7411		17	14.8	0.7%
8000		4	4.0	0.2%
8110		25	25.5	1.2%

XH18: H18. Extended-Spouse Occupation (ANZSCO 4 digit)

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
8112		5	3.7	0.2%
8113		7	5.4	0.2%
8114		7	5.8	0.3%
8115		5	5.8	0.3%
8211		3	2.7	0.1%
8212		5	5.1	0.2%
8213		1	0.9	0.0%
8215		1	0.4	0.0%
8216		2	1.6	0.1%
8217		2	1.5	0.1%
8219		1	1.7	0.1%
8300		8	7.7	0.4%
8311		5	5.7	0.3%
8313		5	3.7	0.2%
8321		7	5.9	0.3%
8322		4	3.0	0.1%
8392		1	0.7	0.0%
8393		4	2.8	0.1%
8410		1	0.9	0.0%
8412		4	3.1	0.1%
8413		2	1.5	0.1%
8414		9	7.5	0.3%
8415		4	3.8	0.2%
8419		2	2.1	0.1%
8512		1	0.7	0.0%
8513		15	11.2	0.5%
8912		5	6.0	0.3%
8992		1	0.9	0.0%
8993		8	6.2	0.3%
8996		1	0.9	0.0%
8999		1	0.7	0.0%
9999		35	33.9	1.6%
-1	Missing	1656	1776.8	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

H19: H19. Spouse employer

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/-1]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2365 / 2239.443] [Invalid=1590 / 1715.557]
Literal question	Whom does (or did) your partner work for?

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Self-employed	509	463.0	20.7%
2	Employee in private company or business	1215	1187.6	53.0%
3	Employee of Federal/State/Local Government	550	502.2	22.4%
4	Employee in family business or farm	78	70.9	3.2%

H19: H19. Spouse employer

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
5	Partner never worked for pay	13	15.7	0.7%
-1	Missing	1590	1715.6	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

H20: H20. Does spouse supervise people at work

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*/-1]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2396 / 2266.147] [Invalid=1559 / 1688.853]
Literal question	As an official part of their job, does (or did) your partner directly supervise the work of other employees or tell other employees what work to do?

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	1190	1139.3	50.3%
2	No	1193	1111.2	49.0%
3	Partner never worked for pay	13	15.7	0.7%
-1	Missing	1559	1688.9	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

H21: H21. Spouse member of trade union

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*/-1]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2414 / 2279.217] [Invalid=1541 / 1675.783]
Literal question	Does your partner belong to a trade union?

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	478	468.3	20.5%
2	No	1923	1795.2	78.8%
3	Partner never worked for pay	13	15.7	0.7%
-1	Missing	1541	1675.8	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

H22: H22. Spouse party identification

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*/-1]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2511 / 2356.192] [Invalid=1444 / 1598.808]
Literal question	Generally speaking, does your partner usually think of himself or herself as Liberal, Labor, National or what?

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Liberal	978	860.4	36.5%
2	Labor	770	712.9	30.3%
3	National (Country) Party	94	79.8	3.4%
4	Greens	126	113.5	4.8%
5	Other party (please specify)	94	87.9	3.7%
6	No party	449	501.6	21.3%
-1	Missing	1444	1598.8	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

XH22: H22. Extended-Spouse party identification

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*/-1]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2483 / 2334.45] [Invalid=1472 / 1620.55]
Literal question	Generally speaking, does your partner usually think of himself or herself as Liberal, Labor, National or what?

XH22: H22. Extended-Spouse party identification

	Post-question	We would like EVERYONE to answer question H23 below.

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Liberal	981	863.3	37.0%
2	Labor	771	714.1	30.6%
3	National (Country) Party	94	79.8	3.4%
4	Greens	126	113.5	4.9%
5	Other party (please specify)	5	5.5	0.2%
6	No party	0	0.0	
7	Australian Democrats	0	0.0	
8	Christian Democratic Party	4	4.8	0.2%
9	Citizens Electoral Council	0	0.0	
10	Family First Party	8	7.9	0.3%
11	One Nation	0	0.0	
12	Republican Party	0	0.0	
13	Shooters Party	1	0.9	0.0%
14	Fishing Party	1	1.4	0.1%
15	Palmer's United Party	3	3.7	0.2%
16	Katter's Australia Party	4	5.0	0.2%
17	Liberal Democrats	0	0.0	
18	Motoring Enthusiasts Party	0	0.0	
19	Australian Sports Party	0	0.0	
20	The Australian Sex Party	1	1.9	0.1%
21	The Wikileaks Party	0	0.0	
22	Australian Christians	5	5.1	0.2%
95	Swing Voter	20	16.3	0.7%
96	Independent	5	4.9	0.2%
97	Other party (not specified)	4	3.8	0.2%
98	No party	450	502.5	21.5%
-1	Missing	1472	1620.6	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

H23OWN: H23. Country of birth

	Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-12] [Missing=*/-1]
	Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3795 / 3781.565] [Invalid=160 / 173.435]
	Pre-question	In which country were you born? And your mother? And your father?
	Literal question	Yourself
	Post-question	If YOU and BOTH your parents WERE BORN IN AUSTRALIA, that is the end of the questionnaire. Please put the questionnaire in the pre-paid reply envelope and post it back to us. Thank you again for your co-operation. The last few questions (H24 to H31) are ONLY for those who were BORN OUTSIDE OF AUSTRALIA or whose MOTHER and/or FATHER were BORN OUTSIDE of Australia

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Australia	2819	2865.0	75.8%
2	New Zealand	70	59.6	1.6%

H23OWN: H23. Country of birth

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
3	United Kingdom	307	253.9	6.7%
4	Republic of Ireland	10	9.5	0.3%
5	Italy	51	43.1	1.1%
6	Germany	27	22.0	0.6%
7	Greece	17	12.8	0.3%
8	China	39	47.5	1.3%
9	Netherlands	26	19.4	0.5%
10	Vietnam	28	27.8	0.7%
11	Yugoslavia (former)	26	29.2	0.8%
12	Other (please specify below)	375	391.7	10.4%
-1	Missing	160	173.4	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest

XH23OWN: H23. Extended-Country of birth

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9299] [Missing=*/-1]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3795 / 3781.565] [Invalid=160 / 173.435]
Pre-question	In which country were you born? And your mother? And your father?
Literal question	Yourself
Post-question	If YOU and BOTH your parents WERE BORN IN AUSTRALIA, that is the end of the questionnaire. Please put the questionnaire in the pre-paid reply envelope and post it back to us. Thank you again for your co-operation. The last few questions (H24 to H31) are ONLY for those who were BORN OUTSIDE OF AUSTRALIA or whose MOTHER and/or FATHER were BORN OUTSIDE of Australia

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	At Sea	0	0.0	
4	Unknown (the destination or origin of the goods is unknown)	0	0.0	
5	Unidentified (the country reported on import/export document	0	0.0	
601	Asia-Pacific Economic Co-operation (APEC)	0	0.0	
602	Association of South East Asian Nations (ASEAN)	0	0.0	
603	European Economic Area (EEA)	0	0.0	
604	European Union (EU)	0	0.0	
605	Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD	0	0.0	
606	Organisation of Petroleum Exporting Countries (OPEC)	0	0.0	
607	South Pacific Regional Trade and Economic Co-operation Agree	0	0.0	
608	The Commonwealth	0	0.0	
611	Europe	0	0.0	
612	Europe and the Former USSR	0	0.0	
613	Former USSR	0	0.0	
614	Asia	0	0.0	
615	East Asia	0	0.0	

XH23OWN: H23. Extended-Country of birth

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
616	Africa	0	0.0	
617	Arab League	0	0.0	
618	Economic and Monetary Union of the European Union (EMU)	0	0.0	
701	Africa nec	0	0.0	
702	Americas nec	0	0.0	
703	Asia nec	0	0.0	
704	Belgium and Luxembourg	0	0.0	
705	Central America and the Caribbean (excludes Mexico)	0	0.0	
706	Christmas Island	0	0.0	
707	Cocos (Keeling) Islands	0	0.0	
708	Country conf. Alumina (a secondary (International Trade spec	0	0.0	
711	Denmark (includes Greenland and Faroe Islands)	0	0.0	
712	Eurodollar Market	0	0.0	
713	Europe nec	0	0.0	
714	Falkland Islands (includes South Georgia and South Sandwich	0	0.0	
715	France (includes Andorra and Monaco)	0	0.0	
716	French Antilles (Guadeloupe and Martinique)	0	0.0	
717	French Southern Territories	0	0.0	
718	International Capital Markets	0	0.0	
721	International Institutions	0	0.0	
722	International Waters (goods placed in the ocean outside any	0	0.0	
724	Johnston and Sand Islands	0	0.0	
725	Midway Islands	0	0.0	
726	Morocco (includes places under Spanish sovereignty)	0	0.0	
727	No Country Details (overseas country detail for exports not	0	0.0	
728	Oceania nec	0	0.0	
731	Other ASEAN	0	0.0	
732	Other Asia nec (excludes ASEAN)	0	0.0	
733	Other EU	0	0.0	
734	Other Europe	0	0.0	
735	Other Europe (excludes EU and OECD)	0	0.0	
736	Other OECD	0	0.0	
737	Other OECD in Europe	0	0.0	
741	Reserve Bank Gold (gold held by the Reserve Bank of Austral	0	0.0	
742	Ships and Aircraft Stores (stores including fuel loaded on	0	0.0	
743	Switzerland (includes Liechtenstein)	0	0.0	
744	United States Miscellaneous Islands	0	0.0	
745	Wake Island	0	0.0	

XH23OWN: H23. Extended-Country of birth

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
746	Australia (includes External Territories Australian Antarct	0	0.0	
747	JPDA (Joint Petrolium Development Area - administered by Aus	0	0.0	
748	APEC nfd	0	0.0	
751	ASEAN nfd	0	0.0	
752	EEA nfd	0	0.0	
753	EU nfd	0	0.0	
754	OECD nfd	0	0.0	
755	OPEC nfd	0	0.0	
756	SPARTECA nfd	0	0.0	
757	The Commonwealth nfd	0	0.0	
758	Arab League nfd	0	0.0	
761	EMU nfd	0	0.0	
912	Former USSR, nfd	1	1.1	0.0%
918	Africa, nfd	1	1.3	0.0%
1000	Oceania and Antarctica	0	0.0	
1100	Australia (includes External Territories)	0	0.0	
1101	Australia	2819	2865.0	75.8%
1102	Norfolk Island	0	0.0	
1199	Australian External Territories nec	0	0.0	
1201	New Zealand	70	59.6	1.6%
1300	Melanesia	0	0.0	
1301	New Caledonia	0	0.0	
1302	Papua New Guinea	3	3.6	0.1%
1303	Solomon Islands	0	0.0	
1304	Vanuatu	0	0.0	
1400	Micronesia	0	0.0	
1401	Guam	0	0.0	
1402	Kiribati	0	0.0	
1403	Marshall Islands	0	0.0	
1404	Micronesia Federated States of	0	0.0	
1405	Nauru	0	0.0	
1406	Northern Mariana Islands	0	0.0	
1407	Palau	0	0.0	
1500	Polynesia (excludes Hawaii)	0	0.0	
1501	Cook Islands	0	0.0	
1502	Fiji	9	10.2	0.3%
1503	French Polynesia	0	0.0	
1504	Niue	0	0.0	
1505	Samoa	2	1.4	0.0%
1506	Samoa American	0	0.0	
1507	Tokelau	0	0.0	
1508	Tonga	0	0.0	

XH23OWN: H23. Extended-Country of birth

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1511	Tuvalu	0	0.0	
1512	Wallis and Futuna	0	0.0	
1513	Pitcairn Islands	0	0.0	
1599	Polynesia (excludes Hawaii) nec	0	0.0	
1600	Antarctica	0	0.0	
1601	Adélie Land (France)	0	0.0	
1602	Argentinian Antarctic Territory	0	0.0	
1603	Australian Antarctic Territory	0	0.0	
1604	British Antarctic Territory	0	0.0	
1605	Chilean Antarctic Territory	0	0.0	
1606	Queen Maud Land (Norway)	0	0.0	
1607	Ross Dependency (New Zealand)	0	0.0	
2000	North-West Europe	0	0.0	
2100	United Kingdom Channel Islands and Isle of Man	307	253.9	6.7%
2102	England	2	1.3	0.0%
2103	Isle of Man	0	0.0	
2104	Northern Ireland	1	0.7	0.0%
2105	Scotland	2	1.6	0.0%
2106	Wales	0	0.0	
2107	Guernsey	0	0.0	
2108	Jersey	0	0.0	
2201	Ireland	10	9.5	0.3%
2300	Western Europe	0	0.0	
2301	Austria	6	4.2	0.1%
2302	Belgium	0	0.0	
2303	France	7	7.0	0.2%
2304	Germany	27	22.0	0.6%
2305	Liechtenstein	0	0.0	
2306	Luxembourg	0	0.0	
2307	Monaco	0	0.0	
2308	Netherlands	26	19.4	0.5%
2311	Switzerland	4	3.5	0.1%
2400	Northern Europe	0	0.0	
2401	Denmark	1	0.7	0.0%
2402	Faroe Islands	0	0.0	
2403	Finland	1	0.6	0.0%
2404	Greenland	0	0.0	
2405	Iceland	0	0.0	
2406	Norway	0	0.0	
2407	Sweden	2	1.9	0.0%
2408	Aland Islands	0	0.0	
3000	Southern and Eastern Europe	0	0.0	
3100	Southern Europe	0	0.0	

XH23OWN: H23. Extended-Country of birth

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
3101	Andorra	0	0.0	
3102	Gibraltar	0	0.0	
3103	Holy See	0	0.0	
3104	Italy	51	43.1	1.1%
3105	Malta	5	4.0	0.1%
3106	Portugal	6	5.6	0.1%
3107	San Marino	0	0.0	
3108	Spain	1	0.7	0.0%
3200	South Eastern Europe	0	0.0	
3201	Albania	0	0.0	
3202	Bosnia and Herzegovina	0	0.0	
3203	Bulgaria	0	0.0	
3204	Croatia	3	2.9	0.1%
3205	Cyprus	1	0.7	0.0%
3206	Former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia (FYROM)	26	29.2	0.8%
3207	Greece	17	12.8	0.3%
3208	Moldova	0	0.0	
3211	Romania	2	8.2	0.2%
3212	Slovenia	0	0.0	
3214	Montenegro	0	0.0	
3215	Serbia	0	0.0	
3216	Kosovo	0	0.0	
3300	Eastern Europe	0	0.0	
3301	Belarus	0	0.0	
3302	Czech Republic	2	1.5	0.0%
3303	Estonia	0	0.0	
3304	Hungary	7	5.1	0.1%
3305	Latvia	0	0.0	
3306	Lithuania	1	0.7	0.0%
3307	Poland	12	14.0	0.4%
3308	Russian Federation	0	0.0	
3311	Slovakia	1	0.6	0.0%
3312	Ukraine	5	4.9	0.1%
4000	North Africa and the Middle East	0	0.0	
4100	North Africa	0	0.0	
4101	Algeria	0	0.0	
4102	Egypt	3	2.9	0.1%
4103	Libya	0	0.0	
4104	Morocco	0	0.0	
4105	Sudan	3	4.1	0.1%
4106	Tunisia	0	0.0	
4107	Western Sahara	0	0.0	
4108	Spanish North Africa	0	0.0	

XH23OWN: H23. Extended-Country of birth

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
4200	Middle East	0	0.0	
4201	Bahrain	0	0.0	
4202	Gaza Strip and West Bank	1	0.6	0.0%
4203	Iran	2	2.4	0.1%
4204	Iraq	3	2.8	0.1%
4205	Israel	3	2.1	0.1%
4206	Jordan	1	0.9	0.0%
4207	Kuwait	0	0.0	
4208	Lebanon	10	9.4	0.2%
4211	Oman	0	0.0	
4212	Qatar	0	0.0	
4213	Saudi Arabia	0	0.0	
4214	Syria	1	0.8	0.0%
4215	Turkey	5	4.1	0.1%
4216	United Arab Emirates	0	0.0	
4217	Yemen	0	0.0	
5000	South-East Asia	0	0.0	
5100	Mainland South-East Asia	0	0.0	
5101	Burma (Myanmar)	4	4.3	0.1%
5102	Cambodia	3	3.4	0.1%
5103	Laos	1	1.1	0.0%
5104	Thailand	4	3.7	0.1%
5105	Vietnam	28	27.8	0.7%
5200	Maritime South-East Asia	0	0.0	
5201	Brunei Darussalam	0	0.0	
5202	Indonesia	5	5.2	0.1%
5203	Malaysia	20	19.2	0.5%
5204	Philippines	37	43.9	1.2%
5205	Singapore	7	9.5	0.3%
5206	East Timor	3	2.7	0.1%
6000	North-East Asia	0	0.0	
6100	Chinese Asia (includes Mongolia)	0	0.0	
6101	China (excludes SARs and Taiwan)	39	47.5	1.3%
6102	Hong Kong (SAR of China)	8	8.2	0.2%
6103	Macau (SAR of China)	0	0.0	
6104	Mongolia	0	0.0	
6105	Taiwan	3	7.2	0.2%
6200	Japan and the Koreas	0	0.0	
6201	Japan	3	2.3	0.1%
6202	Korea Democratic Peoples Republic of (North)	0	0.0	
6203	Korea Republic of (South)	10	10.9	0.3%
7000	Southern and Central Asia	0	0.0	
7100	Southern Asia	0	0.0	

XH23OWN: H23. Extended-Country of birth

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
7101	Bangladesh	2	2.6	0.1%
7102	Bhutan	0	0.0	
7103	India	34	39.5	1.0%
7104	Maldives	0	0.0	
7105	Nepal	2	1.9	0.0%
7106	Pakistan	5	5.7	0.2%
7107	Sri Lanka	17	18.3	0.5%
7200	Central Asia	0	0.0	
7201	Afghanistan	3	3.8	0.1%
7202	Armenia	0	0.0	
7203	Azerbaijan	0	0.0	
7204	Georgia	0	0.0	
7205	Kazakhstan	0	0.0	
7206	Kyrgyzstan	0	0.0	
7207	Tajikistan	0	0.0	
7208	Turkmenistan	0	0.0	
7211	Uzbekistan	0	0.0	
8000	Americas	0	0.0	
8100	Northern America	0	0.0	
8101	Bermuda	2	1.5	0.0%
8102	Canada	8	5.8	0.2%
8103	St Pierre and Miquelon	0	0.0	
8104	United States of America	13	11.4	0.3%
8200	South America	0	0.0	
8201	Argentina	3	2.1	0.1%
8202	Bolivia	0	0.0	
8203	Brazil	1	1.1	0.0%
8204	Chile	5	3.9	0.1%
8205	Colombia	1	0.7	0.0%
8206	Ecuador	0	0.0	
8207	Falkland Islands	0	0.0	
8208	French Guiana	0	0.0	
8211	Guyana	0	0.0	
8212	Paraguay	0	0.0	
8213	Peru	1	0.7	0.0%
8214	Suriname	0	0.0	
8215	Uruguay	1	0.8	0.0%
8216	Venezuela	1	0.7	0.0%
8299	South America nec	0	0.0	
8300	Central America	0	0.0	
8301	Belize	0	0.0	
8302	Costa Rica	0	0.0	
8303	El Salvador	2	3.0	0.1%

XH23OWN: H23. Extended-Country of birth

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
8304	Guatemala	0	0.0	
8305	Honduras	0	0.0	
8306	Mexico	1	1.1	0.0%
8307	Nicaragua	0	0.0	
8308	Panama	0	0.0	
8400	Caribbean	0	0.0	
8401	Anguilla	0	0.0	
8402	Antigua and Barbuda	0	0.0	
8403	Aruba	0	0.0	
8404	Bahamas	0	0.0	
8405	Barbados	0	0.0	
8406	Cayman Islands	0	0.0	
8407	Cuba	0	0.0	
8408	Dominica	0	0.0	
8411	Dominican Republic	0	0.0	
8412	Grenada	0	0.0	
8413	Guadeloupe	0	0.0	
8414	Haiti	0	0.0	
8415	Jamaica	0	0.0	
8416	Martinique	0	0.0	
8417	Montserrat	0	0.0	
8418	Netherlands Antilles	0	0.0	
8421	Puerto Rico	0	0.0	
8422	St Kitts and Nevis	0	0.0	
8423	St Lucia	0	0.0	
8424	St Vincent and the Grenadines	0	0.0	
8425	Trinidad and Tobago	1	0.9	0.0%
8426	Turks and Caicos Islands	0	0.0	
8427	Virgin Islands British	0	0.0	
8428	Virgin Islands United States	0	0.0	
8431	St Barthelemy	0	0.0	
8432	St Martin (French part)	0	0.0	
9000	Sub-Saharan Africa	0	0.0	
9100	Central and West Africa	0	0.0	
9101	Benin	0	0.0	
9102	Burkina Faso	0	0.0	
9103	Cameroon	0	0.0	
9104	Cape Verde	0	0.0	
9105	Central African Republic	0	0.0	
9106	Chad	0	0.0	
9107	Congo	0	0.0	
9108	Congo Democratic Republic of	0	0.0	
9111	CÃ´te dIvoire	0	0.0	

XH23OWN: H23. Extended-Country of birth

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
9112	Equatorial Guinea	0	0.0	
9113	Gabon	0	0.0	
9114	Gambia	0	0.0	
9115	Ghana	1	0.9	0.0%
9116	Guinea	0	0.0	
9117	Guinea-Bissau	0	0.0	
9118	Liberia	0	0.0	
9121	Mali	0	0.0	
9122	Mauritania	0	0.0	
9123	Niger	0	0.0	
9124	Nigeria	0	0.0	
9125	Sao Tomé and Principe	0	0.0	
9126	Senegal	0	0.0	
9127	Sierra Leone	0	0.0	
9128	Togo	0	0.0	
9200	Southern and East Africa	0	0.0	
9201	Angola	0	0.0	
9202	Botswana	0	0.0	
9203	Burundi	0	0.0	
9204	Comoros	0	0.0	
9205	Djibouti	0	0.0	
9206	Eritrea	0	0.0	
9207	Ethiopia	1	1.0	0.0%
9208	Kenya	2	1.6	0.0%
9211	Lesotho	0	0.0	
9212	Madagascar	0	0.0	
9213	Malawi	0	0.0	
9214	Mauritius	5	4.8	0.1%
9215	Mayotte	0	0.0	
9216	Mozambique	0	0.0	
9217	Namibia	0	0.0	
9218	Réunion	0	0.0	
9221	Rwanda	0	0.0	
9222	St Helena	0	0.0	
9223	Seychelles	1	0.7	0.0%
9224	Somalia	0	0.0	
9225	South Africa	20	25.0	0.7%
9226	Swaziland	0	0.0	
9227	Tanzania	1	0.6	0.0%
9228	Uganda	0	0.0	
9231	Zambia	3	2.4	0.1%
9232	Zimbabwe	10	9.5	0.3%
9299	Southern and East Africa nec	0	0.0	

# **XH23OWN: H23. Extended-Country of birth**				

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
-1	Missing	160	173.4	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# **H23MUM: H23. Mothers country of birth**	

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-12] [Missing=*/-1]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3770 / 3758.166] [Invalid=185 / 196.834]
Pre-question	In which country were you born? And your mother? And your father?
Literal question	Mother
Post-question	If YOU and BOTH your parents WERE BORN IN AUSTRALIA, that is the end of the questionnaire. Please put the questionnaire in the pre-paid reply envelope and post it back to us. Thank you again for your co-operation. The last few questions (H24 to H31) are ONLY for those who were BORN OUTSIDE OF AUSTRALIA or whose MOTHER and/or FATHER were BORN OUTSIDE of Australia

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)	
1	Australia	2408	2398.4		63.8%
2	New Zealand	65	56.8		1.5%
3	United Kingdom	465	406.5		10.8%
4	Republic of Ireland	21	19.2		0.5%
5	Italy	102	90.9		2.4%
6	Germany	38	34.1		0.9%
7	Greece	38	39.4		1.0%
8	China	51	58.8		1.6%
9	Netherlands	46	45.9		1.2%
10	Vietnam	33	40.0		1.1%
11	Yugoslavia (former)	45	52.7		1.4%
12	Other (please specify below)	458	515.4		13.7%
-1	Missing	185	196.8		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# **XH23MUM: H23. Extended-Mothers country of birth**	

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9299] [Missing=*/-1]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3770 / 3758.166] [Invalid=185 / 196.834]
Pre-question	In which country were you born? And your mother? And your father?
Literal question	Mother
Post-question	If YOU and BOTH your parents WERE BORN IN AUSTRALIA, that is the end of the questionnaire. Please put the questionnaire in the pre-paid reply envelope and post it back to us. Thank you again for your co-operation. The last few questions (H24 to H31) are ONLY for those who were BORN OUTSIDE OF AUSTRALIA or whose MOTHER and/or FATHER were BORN OUTSIDE of Australia

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	At Sea	0	0.0	
4	Unknown (the destination or origin of the goods is unknown)	0	0.0	
5	Unidentified (the country reported on import/export document	0	0.0	

#XH23MUM: H23. Extended-Mothers country of birth

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
601	Asia-Pacific Economic Co-operation (APEC)	0	0.0	
602	Association of South East Asian Nations (ASEAN)	0	0.0	
603	European Economic Area (EEA)	0	0.0	
604	European Union (EU)	0	0.0	
605	Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD	0	0.0	
606	Organisation of Petroleum Exporting Countries (OPEC)	0	0.0	
607	South Pacific Regional Trade and Economic Co-operation Agree	0	0.0	
608	The Commonwealth	0	0.0	
611	Europe	0	0.0	
612	Europe and the Former USSR	0	0.0	
613	Former USSR	0	0.0	
614	Asia	0	0.0	
615	East Asia	0	0.0	
616	Africa	0	0.0	
617	Arab League	0	0.0	
618	Economic and Monetary Union of the European Union (EMU)	0	0.0	
701	Africa nec	0	0.0	
702	Americas nec	0	0.0	
703	Asia nec	0	0.0	
704	Belgium and Luxembourg	0	0.0	
705	Central America and the Caribbean (excludes Mexico)	0	0.0	
706	Christmas Island	0	0.0	
707	Cocos (Keeling) Islands	0	0.0	
708	Country conf. Alumina (a secondary (International Trade spec	0	0.0	
711	Denmark (includes Greenland and Faroe Islands)	0	0.0	
712	Eurodollar Market	0	0.0	
713	Europe nec	0	0.0	
714	Falkland Islands (includes South Georgia and South Sandwich	0	0.0	
715	France (includes Andorra and Monaco)	0	0.0	
716	French Antilles (Guadeloupe and Martinique)	0	0.0	
717	French Southern Territories	0	0.0	
718	International Capital Markets	0	0.0	
721	International Institutions	0	0.0	
722	International Waters (goods placed in the ocean outside any	0	0.0	
724	Johnston and Sand Islands	0	0.0	
725	Midway Islands	0	0.0	
726	Morocco (includes places under Spanish sovereignty)	0	0.0	

XH23MUM: H23. Extended-Mothers country of birth

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
727	No Country Details (overseas country detail for exports not	0	0.0	
728	Oceania nec	0	0.0	
731	Other ASEAN	0	0.0	
732	Other Asia nec (excludes ASEAN)	0	0.0	
733	Other EU	0	0.0	
734	Other Europe	0	0.0	
735	Other Europe (excludes EU and OECD)	0	0.0	
736	Other OECD	0	0.0	
737	Other OECD in Europe	0	0.0	
741	Reserve Bank Gold (gold held by the Reserve Bank of Austral	0	0.0	
742	Ships and Aircraft Stores (stores including fuel loaded on	0	0.0	
743	Switzerland (includes Liechtenstein)	0	0.0	
744	United States Miscellaneous Islands	0	0.0	
745	Wake Island	0	0.0	
746	Australia (includes External Territories Australian Antarct	0	0.0	
747	JPDA (Joint Petrolium Development Area - administered by Aus	0	0.0	
748	APEC nfd	0	0.0	
751	ASEAN nfd	0	0.0	
752	EEA nfd	0	0.0	
753	EU nfd	0	0.0	
754	OECD nfd	0	0.0	
755	OPEC nfd	0	0.0	
756	SPARTECA nfd	0	0.0	
757	The Commonwealth nfd	0	0.0	
758	Arab League nfd	0	0.0	
761	EMU nfd	0	0.0	
912	Former USSR, nfd	1	1.1	0.0%
918	Africa, nfd	2	7.1	0.2%
1000	Oceania and Antarctica	0	0.0	
1100	Australia (includes External Territories)	0	0.0	
1101	Australia	2408	2398.4	63.8%
1102	Norfolk Island	0	0.0	
1199	Australian External Territories nec	0	0.0	
1201	New Zealand	65	56.8	1.5%
1300	Melanesia	0	0.0	
1301	New Caledonia	0	0.0	
1302	Papua New Guinea	1	1.6	0.0%
1303	Solomon Islands	0	0.0	
1304	Vanuatu	0	0.0	
1400	Micronesia	0	0.0	

XH23MUM: H23. Extended-Mothers country of birth

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1401	Guam	0	0.0	
1402	Kiribati	0	0.0	
1403	Marshall Islands	0	0.0	
1404	Micronesia Federated States of	0	0.0	
1405	Nauru	0	0.0	
1406	Northern Mariana Islands	0	0.0	
1407	Palau	0	0.0	
1500	Polynesia (excludes Hawaii)	0	0.0	
1501	Cook Islands	0	0.0	
1502	Fiji	11	12.2	0.3%
1503	French Polynesia	0	0.0	
1504	Niue	0	0.0	
1505	Samoa	3	3.2	0.1%
1506	Samoa American	0	0.0	
1507	Tokelau	0	0.0	
1508	Tonga	0	0.0	
1511	Tuvalu	0	0.0	
1512	Wallis and Futuna	0	0.0	
1513	Pitcairn Islands	0	0.0	
1599	Polynesia (excludes Hawaii) nec	0	0.0	
1600	Antarctica	0	0.0	
1601	AdÃ©lie Land (France)	0	0.0	
1602	Argentinian Antarctic Territory	0	0.0	
1603	Australian Antarctic Territory	0	0.0	
1604	British Antarctic Territory	0	0.0	
1605	Chilean Antarctic Territory	0	0.0	
1606	Queen Maud Land (Norway)	0	0.0	
1607	Ross Dependency (New Zealand)	0	0.0	
2000	North-West Europe	0	0.0	
2100	United Kingdom Channel Islands and Isle of Man	465	406.5	10.8%
2102	England	8	6.8	0.2%
2103	Isle of Man	0	0.0	
2104	Northern Ireland	1	0.7	0.0%
2105	Scotland	9	9.7	0.3%
2106	Wales	0	0.0	
2107	Guernsey	0	0.0	
2108	Jersey	0	0.0	
2201	Ireland	21	19.2	0.5%
2300	Western Europe	0	0.0	
2301	Austria	4	3.2	0.1%
2302	Belgium	4	3.8	0.1%
2303	France	8	7.1	0.2%
2304	Germany	38	34.1	0.9%

XH23MUM: H23. Extended-Mothers country of birth

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
2305	Liechtenstein	0	0.0	
2306	Luxembourg	0	0.0	
2307	Monaco	0	0.0	
2308	Netherlands	46	45.9	1.2%
2311	Switzerland	3	2.9	0.1%
2400	Northern Europe	0	0.0	
2401	Denmark	3	2.3	0.1%
2402	Faroe Islands	0	0.0	
2403	Finland	1	0.6	0.0%
2404	Greenland	0	0.0	
2405	Iceland	0	0.0	
2406	Norway	0	0.0	
2407	Sweden	1	1.1	0.0%
2408	Aland Islands	0	0.0	
3000	Southern and Eastern Europe	0	0.0	
3100	Southern Europe	0	0.0	
3101	Andorra	0	0.0	
3102	Gibraltar	0	0.0	
3103	Holy See	0	0.0	
3104	Italy	102	90.9	2.4%
3105	Malta	10	8.8	0.2%
3106	Portugal	8	8.6	0.2%
3107	San Marino	0	0.0	
3108	Spain	3	2.7	0.1%
3200	South Eastern Europe	0	0.0	
3201	Albania	0	0.0	
3202	Bosnia and Herzegovina	0	0.0	
3203	Bulgaria	0	0.0	
3204	Croatia	4	4.0	0.1%
3205	Cyprus	3	2.2	0.1%
3206	Former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia (FYROM)	45	52.7	1.4%
3207	Greece	38	39.4	1.0%
3208	Moldova	0	0.0	
3211	Romania	3	14.7	0.4%
3212	Slovenia	1	1.3	0.0%
3214	Montenegro	0	0.0	
3215	Serbia	0	0.0	
3216	Kosovo	0	0.0	
3300	Eastern Europe	0	0.0	
3301	Belarus	1	1.6	0.0%
3302	Czech Republic	1	0.7	0.0%
3303	Estonia	0	0.0	
3304	Hungary	10	12.5	0.3%

XH23MUM: H23. Extended-Mothers country of birth

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
3305	Latvia	6	4.6	0.1%
3306	Lithuania	0	0.0	
3307	Poland	19	21.4	0.6%
3308	Russian Federation	3	2.2	0.1%
3311	Slovakia	2	2.3	0.1%
3312	Ukraine	11	9.5	0.3%
4000	North Africa and the Middle East	0	0.0	
4100	North Africa	0	0.0	
4101	Algeria	0	0.0	
4102	Egypt	8	10.9	0.3%
4103	Libya	0	0.0	
4104	Morocco	0	0.0	
4105	Sudan	3	4.1	0.1%
4106	Tunisia	0	0.0	
4107	Western Sahara	0	0.0	
4108	Spanish North Africa	0	0.0	
4200	Middle East	0	0.0	
4201	Bahrain	0	0.0	
4202	Gaza Strip and West Bank	2	1.4	0.0%
4203	Iran	2	2.2	0.1%
4204	Iraq	4	4.8	0.1%
4205	Israel	1	0.7	0.0%
4206	Jordan	0	0.0	
4207	Kuwait	0	0.0	
4208	Lebanon	15	16.5	0.4%
4211	Oman	0	0.0	
4212	Qatar	0	0.0	
4213	Saudi Arabia	0	0.0	
4214	Syria	1	0.8	0.0%
4215	Turkey	7	6.2	0.2%
4216	United Arab Emirates	0	0.0	
4217	Yemen	0	0.0	
5000	South-East Asia	0	0.0	
5100	Mainland South-East Asia	0	0.0	
5101	Burma (Myanmar)	4	4.7	0.1%
5102	Cambodia	3	3.4	0.1%
5103	Laos	0	0.0	
5104	Thailand	4	3.7	0.1%
5105	Vietnam	33	40.0	1.1%
5200	Maritime South-East Asia	0	0.0	
5201	Brunei Darussalam	0	0.0	
5202	Indonesia	7	6.4	0.2%
5203	Malaysia	22	24.8	0.7%

XH23MUM: H23. Extended-Mothers country of birth

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
5204	Philippines	41	49.3	1.3%
5205	Singapore	10	12.7	0.3%
5206	East Timor	3	2.7	0.1%
6000	North-East Asia	0	0.0	
6100	Chinese Asia (includes Mongolia)	0	0.0	
6101	China (excludes SARs and Taiwan)	51	58.8	1.6%
6102	Hong Kong (SAR of China)	2	3.6	0.1%
6103	Macau (SAR of China)	0	0.0	
6104	Mongolia	0	0.0	
6105	Taiwan	5	9.8	0.3%
6200	Japan and the Koreas	0	0.0	
6201	Japan	2	1.6	0.0%
6202	Korea Democratic Peoples Republic of (North)	0	0.0	
6203	Korea Republic of (South)	9	9.2	0.2%
7000	Southern and Central Asia	0	0.0	
7100	Southern Asia	0	0.0	
7101	Bangladesh	2	2.6	0.1%
7102	Bhutan	0	0.0	
7103	India	42	47.9	1.3%
7104	Maldives	0	0.0	
7105	Nepal	2	1.9	0.1%
7106	Pakistan	6	7.3	0.2%
7107	Sri Lanka	17	19.1	0.5%
7200	Central Asia	0	0.0	
7201	Afghanistan	3	3.8	0.1%
7202	Armenia	0	0.0	
7203	Azerbaijan	0	0.0	
7204	Georgia	0	0.0	
7205	Kazakhstan	0	0.0	
7206	Kyrgyzstan	0	0.0	
7207	Tajikistan	0	0.0	
7208	Turkmenistan	0	0.0	
7211	Uzbekistan	0	0.0	
8000	Americas	0	0.0	
8100	Northern America	0	0.0	
8101	Bermuda	1	0.7	0.0%
8102	Canada	7	7.6	0.2%
8103	St Pierre and Miquelon	0	0.0	
8104	United States of America	18	19.3	0.5%
8200	South America	0	0.0	
8201	Argentina	3	2.1	0.1%
8202	Bolivia	0	0.0	
8203	Brazil	1	1.1	0.0%

XH23MUM: H23. Extended-Mothers country of birth

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
8204	Chile	6	6.6	0.2%
8205	Colombia	1	0.7	0.0%
8206	Ecuador	0	0.0	
8207	Falkland Islands	0	0.0	
8208	French Guiana	0	0.0	
8211	Guyana	0	0.0	
8212	Paraguay	0	0.0	
8213	Peru	1	0.7	0.0%
8214	Suriname	0	0.0	
8215	Uruguay	1	0.8	0.0%
8216	Venezuela	2	1.6	0.0%
8299	South America nec	0	0.0	
8300	Central America	0	0.0	
8301	Belize	0	0.0	
8302	Costa Rica	0	0.0	
8303	El Salvador	2	3.0	0.1%
8304	Guatemala	0	0.0	
8305	Honduras	0	0.0	
8306	Mexico	1	1.1	0.0%
8307	Nicaragua	0	0.0	
8308	Panama	0	0.0	
8400	Caribbean	0	0.0	
8401	Anguilla	0	0.0	
8402	Antigua and Barbuda	0	0.0	
8403	Aruba	0	0.0	
8404	Bahamas	0	0.0	
8405	Barbados	0	0.0	
8406	Cayman Islands	0	0.0	
8407	Cuba	0	0.0	
8408	Dominica	0	0.0	
8411	Dominican Republic	0	0.0	
8412	Grenada	0	0.0	
8413	Guadeloupe	0	0.0	
8414	Haiti	0	0.0	
8415	Jamaica	0	0.0	
8416	Martinique	0	0.0	
8417	Montserrat	0	0.0	
8418	Netherlands Antilles	0	0.0	
8421	Puerto Rico	0	0.0	
8422	St Kitts and Nevis	0	0.0	
8423	St Lucia	0	0.0	
8424	St Vincent and the Grenadines	0	0.0	
8425	Trinidad and Tobago	0	0.0	

XH23MUM: H23. Extended-Mothers country of birth

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
8426	Turks and Caicos Islands	0	0.0	
8427	Virgin Islands British	0	0.0	
8428	Virgin Islands United States	0	0.0	
8431	St Barthelemy	0	0.0	
8432	St Martin (French part)	0	0.0	
9000	Sub-Saharan Africa	0	0.0	
9100	Central and West Africa	0	0.0	
9101	Benin	0	0.0	
9102	Burkina Faso	0	0.0	
9103	Cameroon	0	0.0	
9104	Cape Verde	0	0.0	
9105	Central African Republic	0	0.0	
9106	Chad	0	0.0	
9107	Congo	0	0.0	
9108	Congo Democratic Republic of	0	0.0	
9111	CÃ´te dIvoire	0	0.0	
9112	Equatorial Guinea	0	0.0	
9113	Gabon	0	0.0	
9114	Gambia	0	0.0	
9115	Ghana	1	0.9	0.0%
9116	Guinea	0	0.0	
9117	Guinea-Bissau	0	0.0	
9118	Liberia	0	0.0	
9121	Mali	0	0.0	
9122	Mauritania	0	0.0	
9123	Niger	0	0.0	
9124	Nigeria	0	0.0	
9125	Sao TomÃ© and Principe	0	0.0	
9126	Senegal	0	0.0	
9127	Sierra Leone	0	0.0	
9128	Togo	0	0.0	
9200	Southern and East Africa	0	0.0	
9201	Angola	0	0.0	
9202	Botswana	0	0.0	
9203	Burundi	0	0.0	
9204	Comoros	0	0.0	
9205	Djibouti	0	0.0	
9206	Eritrea	0	0.0	
9207	Ethiopia	1	1.0	0.0%
9208	Kenya	0	0.0	
9211	Lesotho	0	0.0	
9212	Madagascar	0	0.0	
9213	Malawi	0	0.0	

XH23MUM: H23. Extended-Mothers country of birth

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
9214	Mauritius	5	4.8	0.1%
9215	Mayotte	0	0.0	
9216	Mozambique	0	0.0	
9217	Namibia	0	0.0	
9218	Réunion	0	0.0	
9221	Rwanda	0	0.0	
9222	St Helena	0	0.0	
9223	Seychelles	1	0.7	0.0%
9224	Somalia	0	0.0	
9225	South Africa	24	25.6	0.7%
9226	Swaziland	0	0.0	
9227	Tanzania	0	0.0	
9228	Uganda	0	0.0	
9231	Zambia	2	1.6	0.0%
9232	Zimbabwe	7	7.8	0.2%
9299	Southern and East Africa nec	1	5.5	0.1%
-1	Missing	185	196.8	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

H23DAD: H23. Fathers country of birth

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-12] [Missing=*/-1]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3763 / 3752.277] [Invalid=192 / 202.723]
Pre-question	In which country were you born? And your mother? And your father?
Literal question	Father
Post-question	If YOU and BOTH your parents WERE BORN IN AUSTRALIA, that is the end of the questionnaire. Please put the questionnaire in the pre-paid reply envelope and post it back to us. Thank you again for your co-operation. The last few questions (H24 to H31) are ONLY for those who were BORN OUTSIDE OF AUSTRALIA or whose MOTHER and/or FATHER were BORN OUTSIDE of Australia

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Australia	2307	2291.6	61.1%
2	New Zealand	69	66.0	1.8%
3	United Kingdom	492	444.9	11.9%
4	Republic of Ireland	29	26.9	0.7%
5	Italy	111	96.3	2.6%
6	Germany	35	31.8	0.8%
7	Greece	41	40.7	1.1%
8	China	62	69.5	1.9%
9	Netherlands	59	57.3	1.5%
10	Vietnam	32	39.3	1.0%
11	Yugoslavia (former)	49	56.3	1.5%
12	Other (please specify below)	477	531.7	14.2%
-1	Missing	192	202.7	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

XH23DAD: H23. Extended-Fathers country of birth

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9299] [Missing=*/-1]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3763 / 3752.277] [Invalid=192 / 202.723]
Pre-question	In which country were you born? And your mother? And your father?
Literal question	Father
Post-question	If YOU and BOTH your parents WERE BORN IN AUSTRALIA, that is the end of the questionnaire. Please put the questionnaire in the pre-paid reply envelope and post it back to us. Thank you again for your co-operation. The last few questions (H24 to H31) are ONLY for those who were BORN OUTSIDE OF AUSTRALIA or whose MOTHER and/or FATHER were BORN OUTSIDE of Australia

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	At Sea	0	0.0	
4	Unknown (the destination or origin of the goods is unknown)	0	0.0	
5	Unidentified (the country reported on import/export document	0	0.0	
601	Asia-Pacific Economic Co-operation (APEC)	0	0.0	
602	Association of South East Asian Nations (ASEAN)	0	0.0	
603	European Economic Area (EEA)	0	0.0	
604	European Union (EU)	0	0.0	
605	Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD	0	0.0	
606	Organisation of Petroleum Exporting Countries (OPEC)	0	0.0	
607	South Pacific Regional Trade and Economic Co-operation Agree	0	0.0	
608	The Commonwealth	0	0.0	
611	Europe	0	0.0	
612	Europe and the Former USSR	0	0.0	
613	Former USSR	0	0.0	
614	Asia	0	0.0	
615	East Asia	0	0.0	
616	Africa	0	0.0	
617	Arab League	0	0.0	
618	Economic and Monetary Union of the European Union (EMU)	0	0.0	
701	Africa nec	0	0.0	
702	Americas nec	0	0.0	
703	Asia nec	0	0.0	
704	Belgium and Luxembourg	0	0.0	
705	Central America and the Caribbean (excludes Mexico)	0	0.0	
706	Christmas Island	0	0.0	
707	Cocos (Keeling) Islands	0	0.0	
708	Country conf. Alumina (a secondary (International Trade spec	0	0.0	
711	Denmark (includes Greenland and Faroe Islands)	0	0.0	

XH23DAD: H23. Extended-Fathers country of birth

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
712	Eurodollar Market	0	0.0	
713	Europe nec	0	0.0	
714	Falkland Islands (includes South Georgia and South Sandwich	0	0.0	
715	France (includes Andorra and Monaco)	0	0.0	
716	French Antilles (Guadeloupe and Martinique)	0	0.0	
717	French Southern Territories	0	0.0	
718	International Capital Markets	0	0.0	
721	International Institutions	0	0.0	
722	International Waters (goods placed in the ocean outside any	0	0.0	
724	Johnston and Sand Islands	0	0.0	
725	Midway Islands	0	0.0	
726	Morocco (includes places under Spanish sovereignty)	0	0.0	
727	No Country Details (overseas country detail for exports not	0	0.0	
728	Oceania nec	0	0.0	
731	Other ASEAN	0	0.0	
732	Other Asia nec (excludes ASEAN)	0	0.0	
733	Other EU	0	0.0	
734	Other Europe	0	0.0	
735	Other Europe (excludes EU and OECD)	0	0.0	
736	Other OECD	0	0.0	
737	Other OECD in Europe	0	0.0	
741	Reserve Bank Gold (gold held by the Reserve Bank of Austral	0	0.0	
742	Ships and Aircraft Stores (stores including fuel loaded on	0	0.0	
743	Switzerland (includes Liechtenstein)	0	0.0	
744	United States Miscellaneous Islands	0	0.0	
745	Wake Island	0	0.0	
746	Australia (includes External Territories Australian Antarct	0	0.0	
747	JPDA (Joint Petrolium Development Area - administered by Aus	0	0.0	
748	APEC nfd	0	0.0	
751	ASEAN nfd	0	0.0	
752	EEA nfd	0	0.0	
753	EU nfd	0	0.0	
754	OECD nfd	0	0.0	
755	OPEC nfd	0	0.0	
756	SPARTECA nfd	0	0.0	
757	The Commonwealth nfd	0	0.0	
758	Arab League nfd	0	0.0	
761	EMU nfd	0	0.0	

XH23DAD: H23. Extended-Fathers country of birth

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
912	Former USSR, nfd	1	1.1	0.0%
918	Africa, nfd	1	0.9	0.0%
1000	Oceania and Antarctica	0	0.0	
1100	Australia (includes External Territories)	0	0.0	
1101	Australia	2307	2291.6	61.1%
1102	Norfolk Island	0	0.0	
1199	Australian External Territories nec	0	0.0	
1201	New Zealand	69	66.0	1.8%
1300	Melanesia	0	0.0	
1301	New Caledonia	0	0.0	
1302	Papua New Guinea	0	0.0	
1303	Solomon Islands	0	0.0	
1304	Vanuatu	0	0.0	
1400	Micronesia	0	0.0	
1401	Guam	0	0.0	
1402	Kiribati	0	0.0	
1403	Marshall Islands	0	0.0	
1404	Micronesia Federated States of	0	0.0	
1405	Nauru	0	0.0	
1406	Northern Mariana Islands	0	0.0	
1407	Palau	0	0.0	
1500	Polynesia (excludes Hawaii)	0	0.0	
1501	Cook Islands	0	0.0	
1502	Fiji	9	10.7	0.3%
1503	French Polynesia	0	0.0	
1504	Niue	0	0.0	
1505	Samoa	3	3.2	0.1%
1506	Samoa American	0	0.0	
1507	Tokelau	0	0.0	
1508	Tonga	0	0.0	
1511	Tuvalu	0	0.0	
1512	Wallis and Futuna	0	0.0	
1513	Pitcairn Islands	0	0.0	
1599	Polynesia (excludes Hawaii) nec	0	0.0	
1600	Antarctica	0	0.0	
1601	Adélie Land (France)	0	0.0	
1602	Argentinian Antarctic Territory	0	0.0	
1603	Australian Antarctic Territory	0	0.0	
1604	British Antarctic Territory	0	0.0	
1605	Chilean Antarctic Territory	0	0.0	
1606	Queen Maud Land (Norway)	0	0.0	
1607	Ross Dependency (New Zealand)	0	0.0	
2000	North-West Europe	0	0.0	

XH23DAD: H23. Extended-Fathers country of birth

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
2100	United Kingdom Channel Islands and Isle of Man	492	444.9	11.9%
2102	England	6	4.8	0.1%
2103	Isle of Man	0	0.0	
2104	Northern Ireland	1	0.7	0.0%
2105	Scotland	4	3.1	0.1%
2106	Wales	0	0.0	
2107	Guernsey	0	0.0	
2108	Jersey	0	0.0	
2201	Ireland	29	26.9	0.7%
2300	Western Europe	0	0.0	
2301	Austria	9	9.2	0.2%
2302	Belgium	0	0.0	
2303	France	3	2.6	0.1%
2304	Germany	35	31.8	0.8%
2305	Liechtenstein	0	0.0	
2306	Luxembourg	0	0.0	
2307	Monaco	0	0.0	
2308	Netherlands	59	57.3	1.5%
2311	Switzerland	5	4.2	0.1%
2400	Northern Europe	0	0.0	
2401	Denmark	5	6.2	0.2%
2402	Faroe Islands	0	0.0	
2403	Finland	1	0.6	0.0%
2404	Greenland	0	0.0	
2405	Iceland	0	0.0	
2406	Norway	1	0.7	0.0%
2407	Sweden	1	1.1	0.0%
2408	Aland Islands	0	0.0	
3000	Southern and Eastern Europe	0	0.0	
3100	Southern Europe	0	0.0	
3101	Andorra	0	0.0	
3102	Gibraltar	0	0.0	
3103	Holy See	0	0.0	
3104	Italy	111	96.3	2.6%
3105	Malta	13	11.1	0.3%
3106	Portugal	7	7.0	0.2%
3107	San Marino	0	0.0	
3108	Spain	2	2.4	0.1%
3200	South Eastern Europe	0	0.0	
3201	Albania	0	0.0	
3202	Bosnia and Herzegovina	0	0.0	
3203	Bulgaria	0	0.0	
3204	Croatia	5	5.7	0.2%

XH23DAD: H23. Extended-Fathers country of birth

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
3205	Cyprus	4	3.8	0.1%
3206	Former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia (FYROM)	49	56.3	1.5%
3207	Greece	41	40.7	1.1%
3208	Moldova	0	0.0	
3211	Romania	7	17.3	0.5%
3212	Slovenia	1	1.3	0.0%
3214	Montenegro	0	0.0	
3215	Serbia	0	0.0	
3216	Kosovo	0	0.0	
3300	Eastern Europe	0	0.0	
3301	Belarus	1	1.6	0.0%
3302	Czech Republic	1	1.3	0.0%
3303	Estonia	1	0.9	0.0%
3304	Hungary	13	15.8	0.4%
3305	Latvia	6	4.6	0.1%
3306	Lithuania	4	3.3	0.1%
3307	Poland	24	26.8	0.7%
3308	Russian Federation	4	2.6	0.1%
3311	Slovakia	2	1.3	0.0%
3312	Ukraine	12	10.4	0.3%
4000	North Africa and the Middle East	0	0.0	
4100	North Africa	0	0.0	
4101	Algeria	1	1.4	0.0%
4102	Egypt	8	15.7	0.4%
4103	Libya	0	0.0	
4104	Morocco	0	0.0	
4105	Sudan	3	4.1	0.1%
4106	Tunisia	0	0.0	
4107	Western Sahara	0	0.0	
4108	Spanish North Africa	0	0.0	
4200	Middle East	0	0.0	
4201	Bahrain	0	0.0	
4202	Gaza Strip and West Bank	3	3.1	0.1%
4203	Iran	3	3.9	0.1%
4204	Iraq	4	4.5	0.1%
4205	Israel	3	2.3	0.1%
4206	Jordan	0	0.0	
4207	Kuwait	0	0.0	
4208	Lebanon	20	22.2	0.6%
4211	Oman	0	0.0	
4212	Qatar	0	0.0	
4213	Saudi Arabia	0	0.0	
4214	Syria	1	0.8	0.0%

XH23DAD: H23. Extended-Fathers country of birth

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
4215	Turkey	7	6.7	0.2%
4216	United Arab Emirates	0	0.0	
4217	Yemen	0	0.0	
5000	South-East Asia	0	0.0	
5100	Mainland South-East Asia	0	0.0	
5101	Burma (Myanmar)	5	5.3	0.1%
5102	Cambodia	4	4.7	0.1%
5103	Laos	0	0.0	
5104	Thailand	4	3.7	0.1%
5105	Vietnam	32	39.3	1.0%
5200	Maritime South-East Asia	0	0.0	
5201	Brunei Darussalam	0	0.0	
5202	Indonesia	2	1.8	0.0%
5203	Malaysia	24	28.9	0.8%
5204	Philippines	35	41.9	1.1%
5205	Singapore	7	8.7	0.2%
5206	East Timor	1	0.7	0.0%
6000	North-East Asia	0	0.0	
6100	Chinese Asia (includes Mongolia)	0	0.0	
6101	China (excludes SARs and Taiwan)	62	69.5	1.9%
6102	Hong Kong (SAR of China)	2	3.1	0.1%
6103	Macau (SAR of China)	1	1.7	0.0%
6104	Mongolia	0	0.0	
6105	Taiwan	2	5.5	0.1%
6200	Japan and the Koreas	0	0.0	
6201	Japan	2	1.6	0.0%
6202	Korea Democratic Peoples Republic of (North)	0	0.0	
6203	Korea Republic of (South)	9	9.2	0.2%
7000	Southern and Central Asia	0	0.0	
7100	Southern Asia	0	0.0	
7101	Bangladesh	2	2.6	0.1%
7102	Bhutan	0	0.0	
7103	India	45	52.4	1.4%
7104	Maldives	0	0.0	
7105	Nepal	2	1.9	0.1%
7106	Pakistan	5	5.7	0.2%
7107	Sri Lanka	17	19.1	0.5%
7200	Central Asia	0	0.0	
7201	Afghanistan	3	3.8	0.1%
7202	Armenia	0	0.0	
7203	Azerbaijan	0	0.0	
7204	Georgia	0	0.0	
7205	Kazakhstan	0	0.0	

XH23DAD: H23. Extended-Fathers country of birth

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
7206	Kyrgyzstan	0	0.0	
7207	Tajikistan	0	0.0	
7208	Turkmenistan	0	0.0	
7211	Uzbekistan	0	0.0	
8000	Americas	0	0.0	
8100	Northern America	0	0.0	
8101	Bermuda	1	0.7	0.0%
8102	Canada	7	5.0	0.1%
8103	St Pierre and Miquelon	0	0.0	
8104	United States of America	20	18.8	0.5%
8200	South America	0	0.0	
8201	Argentina	3	2.1	0.1%
8202	Bolivia	0	0.0	
8203	Brazil	1	1.1	0.0%
8204	Chile	6	5.8	0.2%
8205	Colombia	1	0.7	0.0%
8206	Ecuador	0	0.0	
8207	Falkland Islands	0	0.0	
8208	French Guiana	0	0.0	
8211	Guyana	0	0.0	
8212	Paraguay	0	0.0	
8213	Peru	2	1.3	0.0%
8214	Suriname	0	0.0	
8215	Uruguay	1	0.8	0.0%
8216	Venezuela	1	0.7	0.0%
8299	South America nec	0	0.0	
8300	Central America	0	0.0	
8301	Belize	0	0.0	
8302	Costa Rica	0	0.0	
8303	El Salvador	2	3.0	0.1%
8304	Guatemala	0	0.0	
8305	Honduras	0	0.0	
8306	Mexico	1	1.1	0.0%
8307	Nicaragua	0	0.0	
8308	Panama	0	0.0	
8400	Caribbean	0	0.0	
8401	Anguilla	0	0.0	
8402	Antigua and Barbuda	0	0.0	
8403	Aruba	0	0.0	
8404	Bahamas	0	0.0	
8405	Barbados	0	0.0	
8406	Cayman Islands	0	0.0	
8407	Cuba	1	1.0	0.0%

XH23DAD: H23. Extended-Fathers country of birth

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
8408	Dominica	0	0.0	
8411	Dominican Republic	0	0.0	
8412	Grenada	0	0.0	
8413	Guadeloupe	0	0.0	
8414	Haiti	0	0.0	
8415	Jamaica	1	1.3	0.0%
8416	Martinique	0	0.0	
8417	Montserrat	0	0.0	
8418	Netherlands Antilles	0	0.0	
8421	Puerto Rico	0	0.0	
8422	St Kitts and Nevis	0	0.0	
8423	St Lucia	0	0.0	
8424	St Vincent and the Grenadines	0	0.0	
8425	Trinidad and Tobago	1	0.9	0.0%
8426	Turks and Caicos Islands	0	0.0	
8427	Virgin Islands British	0	0.0	
8428	Virgin Islands United States	0	0.0	
8431	St Barthelemy	0	0.0	
8432	St Martin (French part)	0	0.0	
9000	Sub-Saharan Africa	0	0.0	
9100	Central and West Africa	0	0.0	
9101	Benin	0	0.0	
9102	Burkina Faso	0	0.0	
9103	Cameroon	0	0.0	
9104	Cape Verde	0	0.0	
9105	Central African Republic	0	0.0	
9106	Chad	0	0.0	
9107	Congo	0	0.0	
9108	Congo Democratic Republic of	0	0.0	
9111	CÃ´te dIvoire	0	0.0	
9112	Equatorial Guinea	0	0.0	
9113	Gabon	0	0.0	
9114	Gambia	0	0.0	
9115	Ghana	1	0.9	0.0%
9116	Guinea	0	0.0	
9117	Guinea-Bissau	0	0.0	
9118	Liberia	0	0.0	
9121	Mali	0	0.0	
9122	Mauritania	0	0.0	
9123	Niger	0	0.0	
9124	Nigeria	1	1.3	0.0%
9125	Sao TomÃ© and Principe	0	0.0	
9126	Senegal	0	0.0	

XH23DAD: H23. Extended-Fathers country of birth

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
9127	Sierra Leone	0	0.0	
9128	Togo	0	0.0	
9200	Southern and East Africa	0	0.0	
9201	Angola	0	0.0	
9202	Botswana	0	0.0	
9203	Burundi	0	0.0	
9204	Comoros	0	0.0	
9205	Djibouti	0	0.0	
9206	Eritrea	0	0.0	
9207	Ethiopia	1	1.0	0.0%
9208	Kenya	0	0.0	
9211	Lesotho	0	0.0	
9212	Madagascar	0	0.0	
9213	Malawi	0	0.0	
9214	Mauritius	6	5.6	0.1%
9215	Mayotte	0	0.0	
9216	Mozambique	1	1.6	0.0%
9217	Namibia	0	0.0	
9218	RÃ©union	0	0.0	
9221	Rwanda	0	0.0	
9222	St Helena	0	0.0	
9223	Seychelles	2	6.2	0.2%
9224	Somalia	0	0.0	
9225	South Africa	25	28.1	0.7%
9226	Swaziland	0	0.0	
9227	Tanzania	1	1.6	0.0%
9228	Uganda	0	0.0	
9231	Zambia	1	0.9	0.0%
9232	Zimbabwe	7	7.4	0.2%
9299	Southern and East Africa nec	0	0.0	
-1	Missing	192	202.7	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

H24OWN: H24. Year came to Australia - You

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1927-2010] [Missing=*/-1]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=940 / 887.569] [Invalid=3015 / 3067.431] [Mean=1978.202 / 1981.719] [StdDev=17.545 / 17.565]
Pre-question	In what year did you (or your mother and/or father) come to Australia?
Literal question	Yourself

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
-1	Missing	3015	3067.4	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

H24MUM: H24. Year came to Australia - Mother

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1900-9999] [Missing=*/-1]

H24MUM: H24. Year came to Australia - Mother

Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1367 / 1364.288] [Invalid=2588 / 2590.712]
Pre-question	In what year did you (or your mother and/or father) come to Australia?
Literal question	Mother

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)	
9999	Parent not in Australia	574	526.1		100.0%
-1	Missing	2588	2590.7		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

H24DAD: H24. Year came to Australia - Father

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1896-9999] [Missing=*/-1]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1469 / 1472.071] [Invalid=2486 / 2482.929]
Pre-question	In what year did you (or your mother and/or father) come to Australia?
Literal question	Father

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)	
9999	Parent not in Australia	644	593.8		100.0%
-1	Missing	2486	2482.9		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

H25P1: H25. Reasons for coming to live in Australia - Accompanying or joining family

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/-1]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1527 / 1559.083] [Invalid=2428 / 2395.917]
Pre-question	What were your (or your mother's and/or father's) reasons for coming to live in Australia? Please cross all that apply
Literal question	Accompanying or joining family

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)	
0	Unchecked	1041	1041.1		66.8%
1	Checked	486	518.0		33.2%
-1	Missing	2428	2395.9		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

H25P2: H25. Reasons for coming to live in Australia - Accompanying or joining husband or wife

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/-1]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1527 / 1559.083] [Invalid=2428 / 2395.917]
Pre-question	What were your (or your mother's and/or father's) reasons for coming to live in Australia? Please cross all that apply
Literal question	Accompanying or joining husband or wife

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)	
0	Unchecked	1352	1382.4		88.7%
1	Checked	175	176.7		11.3%
-1	Missing	2428	2395.9		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

H25P3: H25. Reasons for coming to live in Australia - To be a student

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/-1]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1527 / 1559.083] [Invalid=2428 / 2395.917]

H25P3: H25. Reasons for coming to live in Australia - To be a student

Pre-question	What were your (or your mother's and/or father's) reasons for coming to live in Australia? Please cross all that apply
Literal question	To be a student

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)	
0	Unchecked	1471	1490.6		95.6%
1	Checked	56	68.5	4.4%	
-1	Missing	2428	2395.9		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

H25P4: H25. Reasons for coming to live in Australia - Better life

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/-1]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1527 / 1559.083] [Invalid=2428 / 2395.917]
Pre-question	What were your (or your mother's and/or father's) reasons for coming to live in Australia? Please cross all that apply
Literal question	Better life

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)	
0	Unchecked	693	721.0		46.2%
1	Checked	834	838.1		53.8%
-1	Missing	2428	2395.9		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

H25P5: H25. Reasons for coming to live in Australia - Freedom

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/-1]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1527 / 1559.083] [Invalid=2428 / 2395.917]
Pre-question	What were your (or your mother's and/or father's) reasons for coming to live in Australia? Please cross all that apply
Literal question	Freedom

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)	
0	Unchecked	1336	1355.9		87.0%
1	Checked	191	203.2	13.0%	
-1	Missing	2428	2395.9		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

H25P6: H25. Reasons for coming to live in Australia - Earn money

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/-1]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1527 / 1559.083] [Invalid=2428 / 2395.917]
Pre-question	What were your (or your mother's and/or father's) reasons for coming to live in Australia? Please cross all that apply
Literal question	Earn money

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)	
0	Unchecked	1277	1300.4		83.4%
1	Checked	250	258.7		16.6%
-1	Missing	2428	2395.9		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

H25P7: H25. Reasons for coming to live in Australia - Just to live in Australia

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/-1]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1527 / 1559.083] [Invalid=2428 / 2395.917]
Pre-question	What were your (or your mother's and/or father's) reasons for coming to live in Australia? Please cross all that apply
Literal question	Just to live in Australia

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)	
0	Unchecked	1252	1279.2		82.0%
1	Checked	275	279.9		18.0%
-1	Missing	2428	2395.9		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

H25P8: H25. Reasons for coming to live in Australia - Poverty in country of origin

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/-1]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1527 / 1559.083] [Invalid=2428 / 2395.917]
Pre-question	What were your (or your mother's and/or father's) reasons for coming to live in Australia? Please cross all that apply
Literal question	Poverty in country of origin

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)	
0	Unchecked	1423	1446.1		92.8%
1	Checked	104	113.0	7.2%	
-1	Missing	2428	2395.9		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

H25P9: H25. Reasons for coming to live in Australia - Persecution in country of origin

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/-1]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1527 / 1559.083] [Invalid=2428 / 2395.917]
Pre-question	What were your (or your mother's and/or father's) reasons for coming to live in Australia? Please cross all that apply
Literal question	Persecution in country of origin

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)	
0	Unchecked	1457	1483.0		95.1%
1	Checked	70	76.0	4.9%	
-1	Missing	2428	2395.9		

H25P9: H25. Reasons for coming to live in Australia - Persecution in country of origin

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest

H25P10: H25. Reasons for coming to live in Australia - Other

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/-1]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1527 / 1559.083] [Invalid=2428 / 2395.917]
Pre-question	What were your (or your mother's and/or father's) reasons for coming to live in Australia? Please cross all that apply
Literal question	Other (please specify)

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)	
0	Unchecked	1497	1534.2		98.4%
1	Checked	30	24.9	1.6%	
-1	Missing	2428	2395.9		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

H25P11: H25. Reasons for coming to live in Australia - For the climate (i.e. sunshine)

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/-1]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1527 / 1559.083] [Invalid=2428 / 2395.917]
Pre-question	What were your (or your mother's and/or father's) reasons for coming to live in Australia? Please cross all that apply
Literal question	For the climate (eg. sunshine)

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)	
0	Unchecked	1516	1550.8		99.5%
1	Checked	11	8.3	0.5%	
-1	Missing	2428	2395.9		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

H25P12: H25. Reasons for coming to live in Australia - Turmoil in country of origin (i.e. war, occupation, displacement)

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/-1]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1527 / 1559.083] [Invalid=2428 / 2395.917]
Pre-question	What were your (or your mother's and/or father's) reasons for coming to live in Australia? Please cross all that apply
Literal question	Turmoil in country of origin (i.e. war, occupation, displacement)

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)	
0	Unchecked	1503	1532.7		98.3%
1	Checked	24	26.4	1.7%	
-1	Missing	2428	2395.9		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

H25P13: H25. Reasons for coming to live in Australia - For the medical benefits

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/-1]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1527 / 1559.083] [Invalid=2428 / 2395.917]
Pre-question	What were your (or your mother's and/or father's) reasons for coming to live in Australia? Please cross all that apply
Literal question	For the medical benefits

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)

H25P13: H25. Reasons for coming to live in Australia - For the medical benefits

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)	
0	Unchecked	1522	1554.8		99.7%
1	Checked	5	4.3	0.3%	
-1	Missing	2428	2395.9		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

H25P14: H25. Reasons for coming to live in Australia - Refugee NFI

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/-1]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1527 / 1559.083] [Invalid=2428 / 2395.917]
Pre-question	What were your (or your mother's and/or father's) reasons for coming to live in Australia? Please cross all that apply
Literal question	Refugee NFI

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)	
0	Unchecked	1520	1552.6		99.6%
1	Checked	7	6.5	0.4%	
-1	Missing	2428	2395.9		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

h26you_1: H26. Citizenship - You (1st listed)

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9299] [Missing=*/-1]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1416 / 1422.019] [Invalid=2539 / 2532.981]
Pre-question	Are you (or your mother or father) a citizen of any country other than Australia?
Literal question	Yourself

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	At Sea	0	0.0	
4	Unknown (the destination or origin of the goods is unknown)	0	0.0	
5	Unidentified (the country reported on import/export document	0	0.0	
601	Asia-Pacific Economic Co-operation (APEC)	0	0.0	
602	Association of South East Asian Nations (ASEAN)	0	0.0	
603	European Economic Area (EEA)	0	0.0	
604	European Union (EU)	0	0.0	
605	Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD	0	0.0	
606	Organisation of Petroleum Exporting Countries (OPEC)	0	0.0	
607	South Pacific Regional Trade and Economic Co-operation Agree	0	0.0	
608	The Commonwealth	0	0.0	
611	Europe	0	0.0	
612	Europe and the Former USSR	0	0.0	
613	Former USSR	0	0.0	
614	Asia	0	0.0	
615	East Asia	0	0.0	

h26you_1: H26. Citizenship - You (1st listed)

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
616	Africa	0	0.0	
617	Arab League	0	0.0	
618	Economic and Monetary Union of the European Union (EMU)	0	0.0	
701	Africa nec	0	0.0	
702	Americas nec	0	0.0	
703	Asia nec	0	0.0	
704	Belgium and Luxembourg	0	0.0	
705	Central America and the Caribbean (excludes Mexico)	0	0.0	
706	Christmas Island	0	0.0	
707	Cocos (Keeling) Islands	0	0.0	
708	Country conf. Alumina (a secondary (International Trade spec	0	0.0	
711	Denmark (includes Greenland and Faroe Islands)	0	0.0	
712	Eurodollar Market	0	0.0	
713	Europe nec	0	0.0	
714	Falkland Islands (includes South Georgia and South Sandwich	0	0.0	
715	France (includes Andorra and Monaco)	0	0.0	
716	French Antilles (Guadeloupe and Martinique)	0	0.0	
717	French Southern Territories	0	0.0	
718	International Capital Markets	0	0.0	
721	International Institutions	0	0.0	
722	International Waters (goods placed in the ocean outside any	0	0.0	
724	Johnston and Sand Islands	0	0.0	
725	Midway Islands	0	0.0	
726	Morocco (includes places under Spanish sovereignty)	0	0.0	
727	No Country Details (overseas country detail for exports not	0	0.0	
728	Oceania nec	0	0.0	
731	Other ASEAN	0	0.0	
732	Other Asia nec (excludes ASEAN)	0	0.0	
733	Other EU	0	0.0	
734	Other Europe	0	0.0	
735	Other Europe (excludes EU and OECD)	0	0.0	
736	Other OECD	0	0.0	
737	Other OECD in Europe	0	0.0	
741	Reserve Bank Gold (gold held by the Reserve Bank of Austral	0	0.0	
742	Ships and Aircraft Stores (stores including fuel loaded on	0	0.0	
743	Switzerland (includes Liechtenstein)	0	0.0	
744	United States Miscellaneous Islands	0	0.0	
745	Wake Island	0	0.0	

h26you_1: H26. Citizenship - You (1st listed)

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
746	Australia (includes External Territories Australian Antarct	0	0.0	
747	JPDA (Joint Petrolium Development Area - administered by Aus	0	0.0	
748	APEC nfd	0	0.0	
751	ASEAN nfd	0	0.0	
752	EEA nfd	0	0.0	
753	EU nfd	0	0.0	
754	OECD nfd	0	0.0	
755	OPEC nfd	0	0.0	
756	SPARTECA nfd	0	0.0	
757	The Commonwealth nfd	0	0.0	
758	Arab League nfd	0	0.0	
761	EMU nfd	0	0.0	
912	Former USSR, nfd	0	0.0	
918	Africa, nfd	0	0.0	
1000	Oceania and Antarctica	0	0.0	
1100	Australia (includes External Territories)	0	0.0	
1101	Australia	977	995.3	70.0%
1102	Norfolk Island	0	0.0	
1199	Australian External Territories nec	0	0.0	
1201	New Zealand	41	33.4	2.3%
1300	Melanesia	0	0.0	
1301	New Caledonia	0	0.0	
1302	Papua New Guinea	0	0.0	
1303	Solomon Islands	0	0.0	
1304	Vanuatu	0	0.0	
1400	Micronesia	0	0.0	
1401	Guam	0	0.0	
1402	Kiribati	0	0.0	
1403	Marshall Islands	0	0.0	
1404	Micronesia Federated States of	0	0.0	
1405	Nauru	0	0.0	
1406	Northern Mariana Islands	0	0.0	
1407	Palau	0	0.0	
1500	Polynesia (excludes Hawaii)	0	0.0	
1501	Cook Islands	0	0.0	
1502	Fiji	1	0.8	0.1%
1503	French Polynesia	0	0.0	
1504	Niue	0	0.0	
1505	Samoa	1	0.7	0.0%
1506	Samoa American	0	0.0	
1507	Tokelau	0	0.0	
1508	Tonga	0	0.0	

#h26you_1: H26. Citizenship - You (1st listed)

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1511	Tuvalu	0	0.0	
1512	Wallis and Futuna	0	0.0	
1513	Pitcairn Islands	0	0.0	
1599	Polynesia (excludes Hawaii) nec	0	0.0	
1600	Antarctica	0	0.0	
1601	Adélie Land (France)	0	0.0	
1602	Argentinian Antarctic Territory	0	0.0	
1603	Australian Antarctic Territory	0	0.0	
1604	British Antarctic Territory	0	0.0	
1605	Chilean Antarctic Territory	0	0.0	
1606	Queen Maud Land (Norway)	0	0.0	
1607	Ross Dependency (New Zealand)	0	0.0	
2000	North-West Europe	0	0.0	
2100	United Kingdom Channel Islands and Isle of Man	209	196.7	13.8%
2102	England	2	1.3	0.1%
2103	Isle of Man	0	0.0	
2104	Northern Ireland	2	1.4	0.1%
2105	Scotland	0	0.0	
2106	Wales	0	0.0	
2107	Guernsey	0	0.0	
2108	Jersey	0	0.0	
2201	Ireland	11	11.5	0.8%
2300	Western Europe	0	0.0	
2301	Austria	0	0.0	
2302	Belgium	1	0.9	0.1%
2303	France	6	5.5	0.4%
2304	Germany	0	0.0	
2305	Liechtenstein	0	0.0	
2306	Luxembourg	0	0.0	
2307	Monaco	0	0.0	
2308	Netherlands	4	5.1	0.4%
2311	Switzerland	5	4.8	0.3%
2400	Northern Europe	0	0.0	
2401	Denmark	0	0.0	
2402	Faroe Islands	0	0.0	
2403	Finland	1	0.6	0.0%
2404	Greenland	0	0.0	
2405	Iceland	0	0.0	
2406	Norway	0	0.0	
2407	Sweden	1	1.1	0.1%
2408	Aland Islands	0	0.0	
3000	Southern and Eastern Europe	0	0.0	
3100	Southern Europe	0	0.0	

h26you_1: H26. Citizenship - You (1st listed)

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
3101	Andorra	0	0.0	
3102	Gibraltar	0	0.0	
3103	Holy See	0	0.0	
3104	Italy	14	12.5	0.9%
3105	Malta	0	0.0	
3106	Portugal	6	6.5	0.5%
3107	San Marino	0	0.0	
3108	Spain	2	1.6	0.1%
3200	South Eastern Europe	0	0.0	
3201	Albania	0	0.0	
3202	Bosnia and Herzegovina	2	1.5	0.1%
3203	Bulgaria	0	0.0	
3204	Croatia	4	4.2	0.3%
3205	Cyprus	0	0.0	
3206	Former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia (FYROM)	6	7.8	0.5%
3207	Greece	10	8.8	0.6%
3208	Moldova	0	0.0	
3211	Romania	1	4.9	0.3%
3212	Slovenia	0	0.0	
3214	Montenegro	0	0.0	
3215	Serbia	0	0.0	
3216	Kosovo	0	0.0	
3300	Eastern Europe	0	0.0	
3301	Belarus	1	1.6	0.1%
3302	Czech Republic	1	0.7	0.0%
3303	Estonia	1	1.1	0.1%
3304	Hungary	4	3.0	0.2%
3305	Latvia	0	0.0	
3306	Lithuania	0	0.0	
3307	Poland	10	11.6	0.8%
3308	Russian Federation	1	1.1	0.1%
3311	Slovakia	0	0.0	
3312	Ukraine	0	0.0	
4000	North Africa and the Middle East	0	0.0	
4100	North Africa	0	0.0	
4101	Algeria	0	0.0	
4102	Egypt	1	1.6	0.1%
4103	Libya	0	0.0	
4104	Morocco	0	0.0	
4105	Sudan	2	3.0	0.2%
4106	Tunisia	0	0.0	
4107	Western Sahara	0	0.0	
4108	Spanish North Africa	0	0.0	

#h26you_1: H26. Citizenship - You (1st listed)

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
4200	Middle East	0	0.0	
4201	Bahrain	0	0.0	
4202	Gaza Strip and West Bank	0	0.0	
4203	Iran	1	1.5	0.1%
4204	Iraq	1	1.7	0.1%
4205	Israel	1	0.7	0.1%
4206	Jordan	0	0.0	
4207	Kuwait	0	0.0	
4208	Lebanon	4	3.2	0.2%
4211	Oman	0	0.0	
4212	Qatar	0	0.0	
4213	Saudi Arabia	0	0.0	
4214	Syria	1	0.8	0.1%
4215	Turkey	3	3.0	0.2%
4216	United Arab Emirates	0	0.0	
4217	Yemen	0	0.0	
5000	South-East Asia	0	0.0	
5100	Mainland South-East Asia	0	0.0	
5101	Burma (Myanmar)	0	0.0	
5102	Cambodia	1	1.3	0.1%
5103	Laos	0	0.0	
5104	Thailand	2	2.2	0.2%
5105	Vietnam	4	3.2	0.2%
5200	Maritime South-East Asia	0	0.0	
5201	Brunei Darussalam	0	0.0	
5202	Indonesia	0	0.0	
5203	Malaysia	1	0.8	0.1%
5204	Philippines	7	6.2	0.4%
5205	Singapore	1	1.3	0.1%
5206	East Timor	0	0.0	
6000	North-East Asia	0	0.0	
6100	Chinese Asia (includes Mongolia)	0	0.0	
6101	China (excludes SARs and Taiwan)	4	4.8	0.3%
6102	Hong Kong (SAR of China)	3	2.6	0.2%
6103	Macau (SAR of China)	0	0.0	
6104	Mongolia	0	0.0	
6105	Taiwan	3	7.2	0.5%
6200	Japan and the Koreas	0	0.0	
6201	Japan	0	0.0	
6202	Korea Democratic Peoples Republic of (North)	0	0.0	
6203	Korea Republic of (South)	2	2.9	0.2%
7000	Southern and Central Asia	0	0.0	
7100	Southern Asia	0	0.0	

h26you_1: H26. Citizenship - You (1st listed)

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
7101	Bangladesh	1	1.0	0.1%
7102	Bhutan	0	0.0	
7103	India	7	9.2	0.6%
7104	Maldives	0	0.0	
7105	Nepal	0	0.0	
7106	Pakistan	3	3.7	0.3%
7107	Sri Lanka	1	1.7	0.1%
7200	Central Asia	0	0.0	
7201	Afghanistan	1	0.8	0.1%
7202	Armenia	0	0.0	
7203	Azerbaijan	0	0.0	
7204	Georgia	0	0.0	
7205	Kazakhstan	0	0.0	
7206	Kyrgyzstan	0	0.0	
7207	Tajikistan	0	0.0	
7208	Turkmenistan	0	0.0	
7211	Uzbekistan	0	0.0	
8000	Americas	0	0.0	
8100	Northern America	0	0.0	
8101	Bermuda	0	0.0	
8102	Canada	11	8.9	0.6%
8103	St Pierre and Miquelon	0	0.0	
8104	United States of America	12	10.8	0.8%
8200	South America	0	0.0	
8201	Argentina	1	0.7	0.1%
8202	Bolivia	0	0.0	
8203	Brazil	1	1.1	0.1%
8204	Chile	1	0.8	0.1%
8205	Colombia	0	0.0	
8206	Ecuador	0	0.0	
8207	Falkland Islands	0	0.0	
8208	French Guiana	0	0.0	
8211	Guyana	0	0.0	
8212	Paraguay	0	0.0	
8213	Peru	0	0.0	
8214	Suriname	0	0.0	
8215	Uruguay	1	0.8	0.1%
8216	Venezuela	0	0.0	
8299	South America nec	0	0.0	
8300	Central America	0	0.0	
8301	Belize	0	0.0	
8302	Costa Rica	0	0.0	
8303	El Salvador	1	0.9	0.1%

#h26you_1: H26. Citizenship - You (1st listed)

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
8304	Guatemala	0	0.0	
8305	Honduras	0	0.0	
8306	Mexico	1	1.1	0.1%
8307	Nicaragua	0	0.0	
8308	Panama	0	0.0	
8400	Caribbean	0	0.0	
8401	Anguilla	0	0.0	
8402	Antigua and Barbuda	0	0.0	
8403	Aruba	0	0.0	
8404	Bahamas	0	0.0	
8405	Barbados	0	0.0	
8406	Cayman Islands	0	0.0	
8407	Cuba	0	0.0	
8408	Dominica	0	0.0	
8411	Dominican Republic	0	0.0	
8412	Grenada	0	0.0	
8413	Guadeloupe	0	0.0	
8414	Haiti	0	0.0	
8415	Jamaica	0	0.0	
8416	Martinique	0	0.0	
8417	Montserrat	0	0.0	
8418	Netherlands Antilles	0	0.0	
8421	Puerto Rico	0	0.0	
8422	St Kitts and Nevis	0	0.0	
8423	St Lucia	0	0.0	
8424	St Vincent and the Grenadines	0	0.0	
8425	Trinidad and Tobago	0	0.0	
8426	Turks and Caicos Islands	0	0.0	
8427	Virgin Islands British	0	0.0	
8428	Virgin Islands United States	0	0.0	
8431	St Barthelemy	0	0.0	
8432	St Martin (French part)	0	0.0	
9000	Sub-Saharan Africa	0	0.0	
9100	Central and West Africa	0	0.0	
9101	Benin	0	0.0	
9102	Burkina Faso	0	0.0	
9103	Cameroon	0	0.0	
9104	Cape Verde	0	0.0	
9105	Central African Republic	0	0.0	
9106	Chad	0	0.0	
9107	Congo	0	0.0	
9108	Congo Democratic Republic of	0	0.0	
9111	CÃ´te dIvoire	0	0.0	

#h26you_1: H26. Citizenship - You (1st listed)

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
9112	Equatorial Guinea	0	0.0	
9113	Gabon	0	0.0	
9114	Gambia	0	0.0	
9115	Ghana	0	0.0	
9116	Guinea	0	0.0	
9117	Guinea-Bissau	0	0.0	
9118	Liberia	0	0.0	
9121	Mali	0	0.0	
9122	Mauritania	0	0.0	
9123	Niger	0	0.0	
9124	Nigeria	0	0.0	
9125	Sao Tomé and Principe	0	0.0	
9126	Senegal	0	0.0	
9127	Sierra Leone	0	0.0	
9128	Togo	0	0.0	
9200	Southern and East Africa	0	0.0	
9201	Angola	0	0.0	
9202	Botswana	0	0.0	
9203	Burundi	0	0.0	
9204	Comoros	0	0.0	
9205	Djibouti	0	0.0	
9206	Eritrea	0	0.0	
9207	Ethiopia	0	0.0	
9208	Kenya	0	0.0	
9211	Lesotho	0	0.0	
9212	Madagascar	0	0.0	
9213	Malawi	0	0.0	
9214	Mauritius	2	1.9	0.1%
9215	Mayotte	0	0.0	
9216	Mozambique	0	0.0	
9217	Namibia	0	0.0	
9218	Réunion	0	0.0	
9221	Rwanda	0	0.0	
9222	St Helena	0	0.0	
9223	Seychelles	0	0.0	
9224	Somalia	0	0.0	
9225	South Africa	4	4.0	0.3%
9226	Swaziland	0	0.0	
9227	Tanzania	0	0.0	
9228	Uganda	0	0.0	
9231	Zambia	0	0.0	
9232	Zimbabwe	1	1.2	0.1%
9299	Southern and East Africa nec	0	0.0	

h26you_1: H26. Citizenship - You (1st listed)

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
-1	Missing	2539	2533.0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

h26you_2: H26. Citizenship - You (2nd listed)

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9299] [Missing=*/-1]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=13 / 12.29] [Invalid=3942 / 3942.71]
Pre-question	Are you (or your mother or father) a citizen of any country other than Australia?
Literal question	Yourself

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	At Sea	0	0.0	
4	Unknown (the destination or origin of the goods is unknown)	0	0.0	
5	Unidentified (the country reported on import/export document	0	0.0	
601	Asia-Pacific Economic Co-operation (APEC)	0	0.0	
602	Association of South East Asian Nations (ASEAN)	0	0.0	
603	European Economic Area (EEA)	0	0.0	
604	European Union (EU)	0	0.0	
605	Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD	0	0.0	
606	Organisation of Petroleum Exporting Countries (OPEC)	0	0.0	
607	South Pacific Regional Trade and Economic Co-operation Agree	0	0.0	
608	The Commonwealth	0	0.0	
611	Europe	0	0.0	
612	Europe and the Former USSR	0	0.0	
613	Former USSR	0	0.0	
614	Asia	0	0.0	
615	East Asia	0	0.0	
616	Africa	0	0.0	
617	Arab League	0	0.0	
618	Economic and Monetary Union of the European Union (EMU)	0	0.0	
701	Africa nec	0	0.0	
702	Americas nec	0	0.0	
703	Asia nec	0	0.0	
704	Belgium and Luxembourg	0	0.0	
705	Central America and the Caribbean (excludes Mexico)	0	0.0	
706	Christmas Island	0	0.0	
707	Cocos (Keeling) Islands	0	0.0	
708	Country conf. Alumina (a secondary (International Trade spec	0	0.0	
711	Denmark (includes Greenland and Faroe Islands)	0	0.0	
712	Eurodollar Market	0	0.0	

h26you_2: H26. Citizenship - You (2nd listed)

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
713	Europe nec	0	0.0	
714	Falkland Islands (includes South Georgia and South Sandwich	0	0.0	
715	France (includes Andorra and Monaco)	0	0.0	
716	French Antilles (Guadeloupe and Martinique)	0	0.0	
717	French Southern Territories	0	0.0	
718	International Capital Markets	0	0.0	
721	International Institutions	0	0.0	
722	International Waters (goods placed in the ocean outside any	0	0.0	
724	Johnston and Sand Islands	0	0.0	
725	Midway Islands	0	0.0	
726	Morocco (includes places under Spanish sovereignty)	0	0.0	
727	No Country Details (overseas country detail for exports not	0	0.0	
728	Oceania nec	0	0.0	
731	Other ASEAN	0	0.0	
732	Other Asia nec (excludes ASEAN)	0	0.0	
733	Other EU	0	0.0	
734	Other Europe	0	0.0	
735	Other Europe (excludes EU and OECD)	0	0.0	
736	Other OECD	0	0.0	
737	Other OECD in Europe	0	0.0	
741	Reserve Bank Gold (gold held by the Reserve Bank of Austral	0	0.0	
742	Ships and Aircraft Stores (stores including fuel loaded on	0	0.0	
743	Switzerland (includes Liechtenstein)	0	0.0	
744	United States Miscellaneous Islands	0	0.0	
745	Wake Island	0	0.0	
746	Australia (includes External Territories Australian Antarct	0	0.0	
747	JPDA (Joint Petrolium Development Area - administered by Aus	0	0.0	
748	APEC nfd	0	0.0	
751	ASEAN nfd	0	0.0	
752	EEA nfd	0	0.0	
753	EU nfd	0	0.0	
754	OECD nfd	0	0.0	
755	OPEC nfd	0	0.0	
756	SPARTECA nfd	0	0.0	
757	The Commonwealth nfd	0	0.0	
758	Arab League nfd	0	0.0	
761	EMU nfd	0	0.0	
912	Former USSR, nfd	0	0.0	

h26you_2: H26. Citizenship - You (2nd listed)

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
918	Africa, nfd	0	0.0	
1000	Oceania and Antarctica	0	0.0	
1100	Australia (includes External Territories)	0	0.0	
1101	Australia	2	1.3	10.2%
1102	Norfolk Island	0	0.0	
1199	Australian External Territories nec	0	0.0	
1201	New Zealand	1	1.1	8.6%
1300	Melanesia	0	0.0	
1301	New Caledonia	0	0.0	
1302	Papua New Guinea	0	0.0	
1303	Solomon Islands	0	0.0	
1304	Vanuatu	0	0.0	
1400	Micronesia	0	0.0	
1401	Guam	0	0.0	
1402	Kiribati	0	0.0	
1403	Marshall Islands	0	0.0	
1404	Micronesia Federated States of	0	0.0	
1405	Nauru	0	0.0	
1406	Northern Mariana Islands	0	0.0	
1407	Palau	0	0.0	
1500	Polynesia (excludes Hawaii)	0	0.0	
1501	Cook Islands	0	0.0	
1502	Fiji	0	0.0	
1503	French Polynesia	0	0.0	
1504	Niue	0	0.0	
1505	Samoa	0	0.0	
1506	Samoa American	0	0.0	
1507	Tokelau	0	0.0	
1508	Tonga	0	0.0	
1511	Tuvalu	0	0.0	
1512	Wallis and Futuna	0	0.0	
1513	Pitcairn Islands	0	0.0	
1599	Polynesia (excludes Hawaii) nec	0	0.0	
1600	Antarctica	0	0.0	
1601	AdÃ©lie Land (France)	0	0.0	
1602	Argentinian Antarctic Territory	0	0.0	
1603	Australian Antarctic Territory	0	0.0	
1604	British Antarctic Territory	0	0.0	
1605	Chilean Antarctic Territory	0	0.0	
1606	Queen Maud Land (Norway)	0	0.0	
1607	Ross Dependency (New Zealand)	0	0.0	
2000	North-West Europe	0	0.0	
2100	United Kingdom Channel Islands and Isle of Man	1	0.8	6.3%

h26you_2: H26. Citizenship - You (2nd listed)

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
2102	England	0	0.0	
2103	Isle of Man	0	0.0	
2104	Northern Ireland	0	0.0	
2105	Scotland	0	0.0	
2106	Wales	0	0.0	
2107	Guernsey	0	0.0	
2108	Jersey	0	0.0	
2201	Ireland	0	0.0	
2300	Western Europe	0	0.0	
2301	Austria	0	0.0	
2302	Belgium	0	0.0	
2303	France	0	0.0	
2304	Germany	0	0.0	
2305	Liechtenstein	0	0.0	
2306	Luxembourg	0	0.0	
2307	Monaco	0	0.0	
2308	Netherlands	0	0.0	
2311	Switzerland	0	0.0	
2400	Northern Europe	0	0.0	
2401	Denmark	0	0.0	
2402	Faroe Islands	0	0.0	
2403	Finland	0	0.0	
2404	Greenland	0	0.0	
2405	Iceland	0	0.0	
2406	Norway	0	0.0	
2407	Sweden	0	0.0	
2408	Aland Islands	0	0.0	
3000	Southern and Eastern Europe	0	0.0	
3100	Southern Europe	0	0.0	
3101	Andorra	0	0.0	
3102	Gibraltar	0	0.0	
3103	Holy See	0	0.0	
3104	Italy	2	2.2	17.5%
3105	Malta	0	0.0	
3106	Portugal	0	0.0	
3107	San Marino	0	0.0	
3108	Spain	0	0.0	
3200	South Eastern Europe	0	0.0	
3201	Albania	0	0.0	
3202	Bosnia and Herzegovina	0	0.0	
3203	Bulgaria	0	0.0	
3204	Croatia	0	0.0	
3205	Cyprus	0	0.0	

h26you_2: H26. Citizenship - You (2nd listed)

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
3206	Former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia (FYROM)	0	0.0	
3207	Greece	0	0.0	
3208	Moldova	0	0.0	
3211	Romania	0	0.0	
3212	Slovenia	0	0.0	
3214	Montenegro	0	0.0	
3215	Serbia	0	0.0	
3216	Kosovo	0	0.0	
3300	Eastern Europe	0	0.0	
3301	Belarus	0	0.0	
3302	Czech Republic	0	0.0	
3303	Estonia	0	0.0	
3304	Hungary	0	0.0	
3305	Latvia	0	0.0	
3306	Lithuania	0	0.0	
3307	Poland	0	0.0	
3308	Russian Federation	0	0.0	
3311	Slovakia	0	0.0	
3312	Ukraine	0	0.0	
4000	North Africa and the Middle East	0	0.0	
4100	North Africa	0	0.0	
4101	Algeria	0	0.0	
4102	Egypt	0	0.0	
4103	Libya	0	0.0	
4104	Morocco	0	0.0	
4105	Sudan	0	0.0	
4106	Tunisia	0	0.0	
4107	Western Sahara	0	0.0	
4108	Spanish North Africa	0	0.0	
4200	Middle East	0	0.0	
4201	Bahrain	0	0.0	
4202	Gaza Strip and West Bank	0	0.0	
4203	Iran	0	0.0	
4204	Iraq	0	0.0	
4205	Israel	0	0.0	
4206	Jordan	0	0.0	
4207	Kuwait	0	0.0	
4208	Lebanon	0	0.0	
4211	Oman	0	0.0	
4212	Qatar	0	0.0	
4213	Saudi Arabia	0	0.0	
4214	Syria	0	0.0	
4215	Turkey	0	0.0	

h26you_2: H26. Citizenship - You (2nd listed)

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
4216	United Arab Emirates	0	0.0	
4217	Yemen	0	0.0	
5000	South-East Asia	0	0.0	
5100	Mainland South-East Asia	0	0.0	
5101	Burma (Myanmar)	0	0.0	
5102	Cambodia	0	0.0	
5103	Laos	0	0.0	
5104	Thailand	0	0.0	
5105	Vietnam	0	0.0	
5200	Maritime South-East Asia	0	0.0	
5201	Brunei Darussalam	0	0.0	
5202	Indonesia	0	0.0	
5203	Malaysia	0	0.0	
5204	Philippines	0	0.0	
5205	Singapore	0	0.0	
5206	East Timor	0	0.0	
6000	North-East Asia	0	0.0	
6100	Chinese Asia (includes Mongolia)	0	0.0	
6101	China (excludes SARs and Taiwan)	0	0.0	
6102	Hong Kong (SAR of China)	0	0.0	
6103	Macau (SAR of China)	0	0.0	
6104	Mongolia	0	0.0	
6105	Taiwan	0	0.0	
6200	Japan and the Koreas	0	0.0	
6201	Japan	0	0.0	
6202	Korea Democratic Peoples Republic of (North)	0	0.0	
6203	Korea Republic of (South)	0	0.0	
7000	Southern and Central Asia	0	0.0	
7100	Southern Asia	0	0.0	
7101	Bangladesh	0	0.0	
7102	Bhutan	0	0.0	
7103	India	0	0.0	
7104	Maldives	0	0.0	
7105	Nepal	0	0.0	
7106	Pakistan	0	0.0	
7107	Sri Lanka	0	0.0	
7200	Central Asia	0	0.0	
7201	Afghanistan	0	0.0	
7202	Armenia	0	0.0	
7203	Azerbaijan	0	0.0	
7204	Georgia	0	0.0	
7205	Kazakhstan	0	0.0	
7206	Kyrgyzstan	0	0.0	

h26you_2: H26. Citizenship - You (2nd listed)

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
7207	Tajikistan	0	0.0	
7208	Turkmenistan	0	0.0	
7211	Uzbekistan	0	0.0	
8000	Americas	0	0.0	
8100	Northern America	0	0.0	
8101	Bermuda	0	0.0	
8102	Canada	2	1.8	14.4%
8103	St Pierre and Miquelon	0	0.0	
8104	United States of America	3	3.2	25.7%
8200	South America	0	0.0	
8201	Argentina	1	0.7	5.6%
8202	Bolivia	0	0.0	
8203	Brazil	0	0.0	
8204	Chile	0	0.0	
8205	Colombia	0	0.0	
8206	Ecuador	0	0.0	
8207	Falkland Islands	0	0.0	
8208	French Guiana	0	0.0	
8211	Guyana	0	0.0	
8212	Paraguay	0	0.0	
8213	Peru	0	0.0	
8214	Suriname	0	0.0	
8215	Uruguay	0	0.0	
8216	Venezuela	0	0.0	
8299	South America nec	0	0.0	
8300	Central America	0	0.0	
8301	Belize	0	0.0	
8302	Costa Rica	0	0.0	
8303	El Salvador	0	0.0	
8304	Guatemala	0	0.0	
8305	Honduras	0	0.0	
8306	Mexico	0	0.0	
8307	Nicaragua	0	0.0	
8308	Panama	0	0.0	
8400	Caribbean	0	0.0	
8401	Anguilla	0	0.0	
8402	Antigua and Barbuda	0	0.0	
8403	Aruba	0	0.0	
8404	Bahamas	0	0.0	
8405	Barbados	0	0.0	
8406	Cayman Islands	0	0.0	
8407	Cuba	0	0.0	
8408	Dominica	0	0.0	

#h26you_2: H26. Citizenship - You (2nd listed)

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
8411	Dominican Republic	0	0.0	
8412	Grenada	0	0.0	
8413	Guadeloupe	0	0.0	
8414	Haiti	0	0.0	
8415	Jamaica	0	0.0	
8416	Martinique	0	0.0	
8417	Montserrat	0	0.0	
8418	Netherlands Antilles	0	0.0	
8421	Puerto Rico	0	0.0	
8422	St Kitts and Nevis	0	0.0	
8423	St Lucia	0	0.0	
8424	St Vincent and the Grenadines	0	0.0	
8425	Trinidad and Tobago	0	0.0	
8426	Turks and Caicos Islands	0	0.0	
8427	Virgin Islands British	0	0.0	
8428	Virgin Islands United States	0	0.0	
8431	St Barthelemy	0	0.0	
8432	St Martin (French part)	0	0.0	
9000	Sub-Saharan Africa	0	0.0	
9100	Central and West Africa	0	0.0	
9101	Benin	0	0.0	
9102	Burkina Faso	0	0.0	
9103	Cameroon	0	0.0	
9104	Cape Verde	0	0.0	
9105	Central African Republic	0	0.0	
9106	Chad	0	0.0	
9107	Congo	0	0.0	
9108	Congo Democratic Republic of	0	0.0	
9111	CÃ´te dIvoire	0	0.0	
9112	Equatorial Guinea	0	0.0	
9113	Gabon	0	0.0	
9114	Gambia	0	0.0	
9115	Ghana	0	0.0	
9116	Guinea	0	0.0	
9117	Guinea-Bissau	0	0.0	
9118	Liberia	0	0.0	
9121	Mali	0	0.0	
9122	Mauritania	0	0.0	
9123	Niger	0	0.0	
9124	Nigeria	0	0.0	
9125	Sao TomÃ© and Principe	0	0.0	
9126	Senegal	0	0.0	
9127	Sierra Leone	0	0.0	

h26you_2: H26. Citizenship - You (2nd listed)

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
9128	Togo	0	0.0	
9200	Southern and East Africa	0	0.0	
9201	Angola	0	0.0	
9202	Botswana	0	0.0	
9203	Burundi	0	0.0	
9204	Comoros	0	0.0	
9205	Djibouti	0	0.0	
9206	Eritrea	0	0.0	
9207	Ethiopia	0	0.0	
9208	Kenya	0	0.0	
9211	Lesotho	0	0.0	
9212	Madagascar	0	0.0	
9213	Malawi	0	0.0	
9214	Mauritius	0	0.0	
9215	Mayotte	0	0.0	
9216	Mozambique	0	0.0	
9217	Namibia	0	0.0	
9218	Réunion	0	0.0	
9221	Rwanda	0	0.0	
9222	St Helena	0	0.0	
9223	Seychelles	0	0.0	
9224	Somalia	0	0.0	
9225	South Africa	1	1.4	11.6%
9226	Swaziland	0	0.0	
9227	Tanzania	0	0.0	
9228	Uganda	0	0.0	
9231	Zambia	0	0.0	
9232	Zimbabwe	0	0.0	
9299	Southern and East Africa nec	0	0.0	
-1	Missing	3942	3942.7	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

h26mum_1: H26. Citizenship - Mother (1st listed)

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9299] [Missing=*/-1]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1098 / 1163.992] [Invalid=2857 / 2791.008]
Pre-question	Are you (or your mother or father) a citizen of any country other than Australia?
Literal question	Mother

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	At Sea	0	0.0	
4	Unknown (the destination or origin of the goods is unknown)	0	0.0	
5	Unidentified (the country reported on import/export document	0	0.0	
601	Asia-Pacific Economic Co-operation (APEC)	0	0.0	

h26mum_1: H26. Citizenship - Mother (1st listed)

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
602	Association of South East Asian Nations (ASEAN)	0	0.0	
603	European Economic Area (EEA)	0	0.0	
604	European Union (EU)	0	0.0	
605	Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD	0	0.0	
606	Organisation of Petroleum Exporting Countries (OPEC)	0	0.0	
607	South Pacific Regional Trade and Economic Co-operation Agree	0	0.0	
608	The Commonwealth	0	0.0	
611	Europe	0	0.0	
612	Europe and the Former USSR	0	0.0	
613	Former USSR	0	0.0	
614	Asia	0	0.0	
615	East Asia	0	0.0	
616	Africa	0	0.0	
617	Arab League	0	0.0	
618	Economic and Monetary Union of the European Union (EMU)	0	0.0	
701	Africa nec	0	0.0	
702	Americas nec	0	0.0	
703	Asia nec	0	0.0	
704	Belgium and Luxembourg	0	0.0	
705	Central America and the Caribbean (excludes Mexico)	0	0.0	
706	Christmas Island	0	0.0	
707	Cocos (Keeling) Islands	0	0.0	
708	Country conf. Alumina (a secondary (International Trade spec	0	0.0	
711	Denmark (includes Greenland and Faroe Islands)	0	0.0	
712	Eurodollar Market	0	0.0	
713	Europe nec	0	0.0	
714	Falkland Islands (includes South Georgia and South Sandwich	0	0.0	
715	France (includes Andorra and Monaco)	0	0.0	
716	French Antilles (Guadeloupe and Martinique)	0	0.0	
717	French Southern Territories	0	0.0	
718	International Capital Markets	0	0.0	
721	International Institutions	0	0.0	
722	International Waters (goods placed in the ocean outside any	0	0.0	
724	Johnston and Sand Islands	0	0.0	
725	Midway Islands	0	0.0	
726	Morocco (includes places under Spanish sovereignty)	0	0.0	
727		0	0.0	

h26mum_1: H26. Citizenship - Mother (1st listed)

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
	No Country Details (overseas country detail for exports not			
728	Oceania nec	0	0.0	
731	Other ASEAN	0	0.0	
732	Other Asia nec (excludes ASEAN)	0	0.0	
733	Other EU	0	0.0	
734	Other Europe	0	0.0	
735	Other Europe (excludes EU and OECD)	0	0.0	
736	Other OECD	0	0.0	
737	Other OECD in Europe	0	0.0	
741	Reserve Bank Gold (gold held by the Reserve Bank of Austral	0	0.0	
742	Ships and Aircraft Stores (stores including fuel loaded on	0	0.0	
743	Switzerland (includes Liechtenstein)	0	0.0	
744	United States Miscellaneous Islands	0	0.0	
745	Wake Island	0	0.0	
746	Australia (includes External Territories Australian Antarct	0	0.0	
747	JPDA (Joint Petrolium Development Area - administered by Aus	0	0.0	
748	APEC nfd	0	0.0	
751	ASEAN nfd	0	0.0	
752	EEA nfd	0	0.0	
753	EU nfd	0	0.0	
754	OECD nfd	0	0.0	
755	OPEC nfd	0	0.0	
756	SPARTECA nfd	0	0.0	
757	The Commonwealth nfd	0	0.0	
758	Arab League nfd	0	0.0	
761	EMU nfd	0	0.0	
912	Former USSR, nfd	0	0.0	
918	Africa, nfd	0	0.0	
1000	Oceania and Antarctica	0	0.0	
1100	Australia (includes External Territories)	0	0.0	
1101	Australia	564	613.6	52.7%
1102	Norfolk Island	0	0.0	
1199	Australian External Territories nec	0	0.0	
1201	New Zealand	32	27.8	2.4%
1300	Melanesia	0	0.0	
1301	New Caledonia	0	0.0	
1302	Papua New Guinea	0	0.0	
1303	Solomon Islands	0	0.0	
1304	Vanuatu	0	0.0	
1400	Micronesia	0	0.0	

#h26mum_1: H26. Citizenship - Mother (1st listed)

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1401	Guam	0	0.0	
1402	Kiribati	0	0.0	
1403	Marshall Islands	0	0.0	
1404	Micronesia Federated States of	0	0.0	
1405	Nauru	0	0.0	
1406	Northern Mariana Islands	0	0.0	
1407	Palau	0	0.0	
1500	Polynesia (excludes Hawaii)	0	0.0	
1501	Cook Islands	0	0.0	
1502	Fiji	1	0.8	0.1%
1503	French Polynesia	0	0.0	
1504	Niue	0	0.0	
1505	Samoa	0	0.0	
1506	Samoa American	0	0.0	
1507	Tokelau	0	0.0	
1508	Tonga	0	0.0	
1511	Tuvalu	0	0.0	
1512	Wallis and Futuna	0	0.0	
1513	Pitcairn Islands	0	0.0	
1599	Polynesia (excludes Hawaii) nec	0	0.0	
1600	Antarctica	0	0.0	
1601	Adélie Land (France)	0	0.0	
1602	Argentinian Antarctic Territory	0	0.0	
1603	Australian Antarctic Territory	0	0.0	
1604	British Antarctic Territory	0	0.0	
1605	Chilean Antarctic Territory	0	0.0	
1606	Queen Maud Land (Norway)	0	0.0	
1607	Ross Dependency (New Zealand)	0	0.0	
2000	North-West Europe	0	0.0	
2100	United Kingdom Channel Islands and Isle of Man	205	199.0	17.1%
2102	England	3	2.1	0.2%
2103	Isle of Man	0	0.0	
2104	Northern Ireland	1	0.7	0.1%
2105	Scotland	1	0.7	0.1%
2106	Wales	0	0.0	
2107	Guernsey	0	0.0	
2108	Jersey	0	0.0	
2201	Ireland	9	9.5	0.8%
2300	Western Europe	0	0.0	
2301	Austria	1	0.7	0.1%
2302	Belgium	1	0.9	0.1%
2303	France	2	2.5	0.2%
2304	Germany	9	6.8	0.6%

h26mum_1: H26. Citizenship - Mother (1st listed)

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
2305	Liechtenstein	0	0.0	
2306	Luxembourg	0	0.0	
2307	Monaco	0	0.0	
2308	Netherlands	10	8.7	0.7%
2311	Switzerland	3	3.4	0.3%
2400	Northern Europe	0	0.0	
2401	Denmark	0	0.0	
2402	Faroe Islands	0	0.0	
2403	Finland	0	0.0	
2404	Greenland	0	0.0	
2405	Iceland	0	0.0	
2406	Norway	0	0.0	
2407	Sweden	1	1.1	0.1%
2408	Aland Islands	0	0.0	
3000	Southern and Eastern Europe	0	0.0	
3100	Southern Europe	0	0.0	
3101	Andorra	0	0.0	
3102	Gibraltar	0	0.0	
3103	Holy See	0	0.0	
3104	Italy	31	28.1	2.4%
3105	Malta	3	2.7	0.2%
3106	Portugal	5	5.6	0.5%
3107	San Marino	0	0.0	
3108	Spain	1	0.9	0.1%
3200	South Eastern Europe	0	0.0	
3201	Albania	0	0.0	
3202	Bosnia and Herzegovina	0	0.0	
3203	Bulgaria	0	0.0	
3204	Croatia	2	2.2	0.2%
3205	Cyprus	1	0.8	0.1%
3206	Former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia (FYROM)	11	15.2	1.3%
3207	Greece	16	17.7	1.5%
3208	Moldova	0	0.0	
3211	Romania	1	4.9	0.4%
3212	Slovenia	0	0.0	
3214	Montenegro	1	0.9	0.1%
3215	Serbia	0	0.0	
3216	Kosovo	0	0.0	
3300	Eastern Europe	0	0.0	
3301	Belarus	1	1.6	0.1%
3302	Czech Republic	0	0.0	
3303	Estonia	1	1.1	0.1%
3304	Hungary	1	0.8	0.1%

#h26mum_1: H26. Citizenship - Mother (1st listed)

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
3305	Latvia	0	0.0	
3306	Lithuania	0	0.0	
3307	Poland	8	9.1	0.8%
3308	Russian Federation	2	1.8	0.2%
3311	Slovakia	0	0.0	
3312	Ukraine	3	2.7	0.2%
4000	North Africa and the Middle East	0	0.0	
4100	North Africa	0	0.0	
4101	Algeria	0	0.0	
4102	Egypt	1	4.8	0.4%
4103	Libya	0	0.0	
4104	Morocco	0	0.0	
4105	Sudan	2	2.7	0.2%
4106	Tunisia	0	0.0	
4107	Western Sahara	0	0.0	
4108	Spanish North Africa	0	0.0	
4200	Middle East	0	0.0	
4201	Bahrain	0	0.0	
4202	Gaza Strip and West Bank	1	0.6	0.1%
4203	Iran	1	1.5	0.1%
4204	Iraq	1	1.7	0.1%
4205	Israel	1	0.7	0.1%
4206	Jordan	0	0.0	
4207	Kuwait	0	0.0	
4208	Lebanon	7	8.3	0.7%
4211	Oman	0	0.0	
4212	Qatar	0	0.0	
4213	Saudi Arabia	0	0.0	
4214	Syria	0	0.0	
4215	Turkey	4	4.0	0.3%
4216	United Arab Emirates	0	0.0	
4217	Yemen	0	0.0	
5000	South-East Asia	0	0.0	
5100	Mainland South-East Asia	0	0.0	
5101	Burma (Myanmar)	0	0.0	
5102	Cambodia	2	2.2	0.2%
5103	Laos	0	0.0	
5104	Thailand	2	2.2	0.2%
5105	Vietnam	7	7.1	0.6%
5200	Maritime South-East Asia	0	0.0	
5201	Brunei Darussalam	0	0.0	
5202	Indonesia	1	0.9	0.1%
5203	Malaysia	10	9.4	0.8%

#h26mum_1: H26. Citizenship - Mother (1st listed)

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
5204	Philippines	13	12.3	1.1%
5205	Singapore	3	4.4	0.4%
5206	East Timor	1	0.7	0.1%
6000	North-East Asia	0	0.0	
6100	Chinese Asia (includes Mongolia)	0	0.0	
6101	China (excludes SARs and Taiwan)	28	32.8	2.8%
6102	Hong Kong (SAR of China)	2	1.7	0.1%
6103	Macau (SAR of China)	0	0.0	
6104	Mongolia	0	0.0	
6105	Taiwan	4	8.0	0.7%
6200	Japan and the Koreas	0	0.0	
6201	Japan	1	0.8	0.1%
6202	Korea Democratic Peoples Republic of (North)	0	0.0	
6203	Korea Republic of (South)	5	5.5	0.5%
7000	Southern and Central Asia	0	0.0	
7100	Southern Asia	0	0.0	
7101	Bangladesh	1	1.0	0.1%
7102	Bhutan	0	0.0	
7103	India	19	26.1	2.2%
7104	Maldives	0	0.0	
7105	Nepal	1	1.1	0.1%
7106	Pakistan	3	4.2	0.4%
7107	Sri Lanka	6	6.7	0.6%
7200	Central Asia	0	0.0	
7201	Afghanistan	2	2.0	0.2%
7202	Armenia	0	0.0	
7203	Azerbaijan	0	0.0	
7204	Georgia	0	0.0	
7205	Kazakhstan	0	0.0	
7206	Kyrgyzstan	0	0.0	
7207	Tajikistan	0	0.0	
7208	Turkmenistan	0	0.0	
7211	Uzbekistan	0	0.0	
8000	Americas	0	0.0	
8100	Northern America	0	0.0	
8101	Bermuda	0	0.0	
8102	Canada	10	9.0	0.8%
8103	St Pierre and Miquelon	0	0.0	
8104	United States of America	11	10.1	0.9%
8200	South America	0	0.0	
8201	Argentina	2	1.4	0.1%
8202	Bolivia	0	0.0	
8203	Brazil	1	1.1	0.1%

h26mum_1: H26. Citizenship - Mother (1st listed)

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
8204	Chile	2	2.6	0.2%
8205	Colombia	0	0.0	
8206	Ecuador	0	0.0	
8207	Falkland Islands	0	0.0	
8208	French Guiana	0	0.0	
8211	Guyana	0	0.0	
8212	Paraguay	0	0.0	
8213	Peru	0	0.0	
8214	Suriname	0	0.0	
8215	Uruguay	1	0.8	0.1%
8216	Venezuela	0	0.0	
8299	South America nec	0	0.0	
8300	Central America	0	0.0	
8301	Belize	0	0.0	
8302	Costa Rica	0	0.0	
8303	El Salvador	0	0.0	
8304	Guatemala	0	0.0	
8305	Honduras	0	0.0	
8306	Mexico	0	0.0	
8307	Nicaragua	0	0.0	
8308	Panama	0	0.0	
8400	Caribbean	0	0.0	
8401	Anguilla	0	0.0	
8402	Antigua and Barbuda	0	0.0	
8403	Aruba	0	0.0	
8404	Bahamas	0	0.0	
8405	Barbados	0	0.0	
8406	Cayman Islands	0	0.0	
8407	Cuba	0	0.0	
8408	Dominica	0	0.0	
8411	Dominican Republic	0	0.0	
8412	Grenada	0	0.0	
8413	Guadeloupe	0	0.0	
8414	Haiti	0	0.0	
8415	Jamaica	0	0.0	
8416	Martinique	0	0.0	
8417	Montserrat	0	0.0	
8418	Netherlands Antilles	0	0.0	
8421	Puerto Rico	0	0.0	
8422	St Kitts and Nevis	0	0.0	
8423	St Lucia	0	0.0	
8424	St Vincent and the Grenadines	0	0.0	
8425	Trinidad and Tobago	0	0.0	

h26mum_1: H26. Citizenship - Mother (1st listed)

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
8426	Turks and Caicos Islands	0	0.0	
8427	Virgin Islands British	0	0.0	
8428	Virgin Islands United States	0	0.0	
8431	St Barthelemy	0	0.0	
8432	St Martin (French part)	0	0.0	
9000	Sub-Saharan Africa	0	0.0	
9100	Central and West Africa	0	0.0	
9101	Benin	0	0.0	
9102	Burkina Faso	0	0.0	
9103	Cameroon	0	0.0	
9104	Cape Verde	0	0.0	
9105	Central African Republic	0	0.0	
9106	Chad	0	0.0	
9107	Congo	0	0.0	
9108	Congo Democratic Republic of	0	0.0	
9111	CÃ´te dIvoire	0	0.0	
9112	Equatorial Guinea	0	0.0	
9113	Gabon	0	0.0	
9114	Gambia	0	0.0	
9115	Ghana	1	0.9	0.1%
9116	Guinea	0	0.0	
9117	Guinea-Bissau	0	0.0	
9118	Liberia	0	0.0	
9121	Mali	0	0.0	
9122	Mauritania	0	0.0	
9123	Niger	0	0.0	
9124	Nigeria	0	0.0	
9125	Sao TomÃ© and Principe	0	0.0	
9126	Senegal	0	0.0	
9127	Sierra Leone	0	0.0	
9128	Togo	0	0.0	
9200	Southern and East Africa	0	0.0	
9201	Angola	0	0.0	
9202	Botswana	0	0.0	
9203	Burundi	0	0.0	
9204	Comoros	0	0.0	
9205	Djibouti	0	0.0	
9206	Eritrea	0	0.0	
9207	Ethiopia	1	1.0	0.1%
9208	Kenya	0	0.0	
9211	Lesotho	0	0.0	
9212	Madagascar	0	0.0	
9213	Malawi	0	0.0	

h26mum_1: H26. Citizenship - Mother (1st listed)

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
9214	Mauritius	1	0.7	0.1%
9215	Mayotte	0	0.0	
9216	Mozambique	0	0.0	
9217	Namibia	0	0.0	
9218	Réunion	0	0.0	
9221	Rwanda	0	0.0	
9222	St Helena	0	0.0	
9223	Seychelles	0	0.0	
9224	Somalia	0	0.0	
9225	South Africa	6	6.5	0.6%
9226	Swaziland	0	0.0	
9227	Tanzania	0	0.0	
9228	Uganda	0	0.0	
9231	Zambia	1	0.9	0.1%
9232	Zimbabwe	1	1.4	0.1%
9299	Southern and East Africa nec	0	0.0	
-1	Missing	2857	2791.0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

h26mum_2: H26. Citizenship - Mother (2nd listed)

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9299] [Missing=*/-1]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2 / 1.424] [Invalid=3953 / 3953.576]
Pre-question	Are you (or your mother or father) a citizen of any country other than Australia?
Literal question	Mother

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	At Sea	0	0.0	
4	Unknown (the destination or origin of the goods is unknown)	0	0.0	
5	Unidentified (the country reported on import/export document	0	0.0	
601	Asia-Pacific Economic Co-operation (APEC)	0	0.0	
602	Association of South East Asian Nations (ASEAN)	0	0.0	
603	European Economic Area (EEA)	0	0.0	
604	European Union (EU)	0	0.0	
605	Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD	0	0.0	
606	Organisation of Petroleum Exporting Countries (OPEC)	0	0.0	
607	South Pacific Regional Trade and Economic Co-operation Agree	0	0.0	
608	The Commonwealth	0	0.0	
611	Europe	0	0.0	
612	Europe and the Former USSR	0	0.0	
613	Former USSR	0	0.0	
614	Asia	0	0.0	

h26mum_2: H26. Citizenship - Mother (2nd listed)

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
615	East Asia	0	0.0	
616	Africa	0	0.0	
617	Arab League	0	0.0	
618	Economic and Monetary Union of the European Union (EMU)	0	0.0	
701	Africa nec	0	0.0	
702	Americas nec	0	0.0	
703	Asia nec	0	0.0	
704	Belgium and Luxembourg	0	0.0	
705	Central America and the Caribbean (excludes Mexico)	0	0.0	
706	Christmas Island	0	0.0	
707	Cocos (Keeling) Islands	0	0.0	
708	Country conf. Alumina (a secondary (International Trade spec	0	0.0	
711	Denmark (includes Greenland and Faroe Islands)	0	0.0	
712	Eurodollar Market	0	0.0	
713	Europe nec	0	0.0	
714	Falkland Islands (includes South Georgia and South Sandwich	0	0.0	
715	France (includes Andorra and Monaco)	0	0.0	
716	French Antilles (Guadeloupe and Martinique)	0	0.0	
717	French Southern Territories	0	0.0	
718	International Capital Markets	0	0.0	
721	International Institutions	0	0.0	
722	International Waters (goods placed in the ocean outside any	0	0.0	
724	Johnston and Sand Islands	0	0.0	
725	Midway Islands	0	0.0	
726	Morocco (includes places under Spanish sovereignty)	0	0.0	
727	No Country Details (overseas country detail for exports not	0	0.0	
728	Oceania nec	0	0.0	
731	Other ASEAN	0	0.0	
732	Other Asia nec (excludes ASEAN)	0	0.0	
733	Other EU	0	0.0	
734	Other Europe	0	0.0	
735	Other Europe (excludes EU and OECD)	0	0.0	
736	Other OECD	0	0.0	
737	Other OECD in Europe	0	0.0	
741	Reserve Bank Gold (gold held by the Reserve Bank of Austral	0	0.0	
742	Ships and Aircraft Stores (stores including fuel loaded on	0	0.0	
743	Switzerland (includes Liechtenstein)	0	0.0	
744	United States Miscellaneous Islands	0	0.0	

h26mum_2: H26. Citizenship - Mother (2nd listed)

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
745	Wake Island	0	0.0	
746	Australia (includes External Territories Australian Antarct	0	0.0	
747	JPDA (Joint Petrolium Development Area - administered by Aus	0	0.0	
748	APEC nfd	0	0.0	
751	ASEAN nfd	0	0.0	
752	EEA nfd	0	0.0	
753	EU nfd	0	0.0	
754	OECD nfd	0	0.0	
755	OPEC nfd	0	0.0	
756	SPARTECA nfd	0	0.0	
757	The Commonwealth nfd	0	0.0	
758	Arab League nfd	0	0.0	
761	EMU nfd	0	0.0	
912	Former USSR, nfd	0	0.0	
918	Africa, nfd	0	0.0	
1000	Oceania and Antarctica	0	0.0	
1100	Australia (includes External Territories)	0	0.0	
1101	Australia	0	0.0	
1102	Norfolk Island	0	0.0	
1199	Australian External Territories nec	0	0.0	
1201	New Zealand	0	0.0	
1300	Melanesia	0	0.0	
1301	New Caledonia	0	0.0	
1302	Papua New Guinea	0	0.0	
1303	Solomon Islands	0	0.0	
1304	Vanuatu	0	0.0	
1400	Micronesia	0	0.0	
1401	Guam	0	0.0	
1402	Kiribati	0	0.0	
1403	Marshall Islands	0	0.0	
1404	Micronesia Federated States of	0	0.0	
1405	Nauru	0	0.0	
1406	Northern Mariana Islands	0	0.0	
1407	Palau	0	0.0	
1500	Polynesia (excludes Hawaii)	0	0.0	
1501	Cook Islands	0	0.0	
1502	Fiji	0	0.0	
1503	French Polynesia	0	0.0	
1504	Niue	0	0.0	
1505	Samoa	0	0.0	
1506	Samoa American	0	0.0	
1507	Tokelau	0	0.0	

#h26mum_2: H26. Citizenship - Mother (2nd listed)

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1508	Tonga	0	0.0	
1511	Tuvalu	0	0.0	
1512	Wallis and Futuna	0	0.0	
1513	Pitcairn Islands	0	0.0	
1599	Polynesia (excludes Hawaii) nec	0	0.0	
1600	Antarctica	0	0.0	
1601	Adélie Land (France)	0	0.0	
1602	Argentinian Antarctic Territory	0	0.0	
1603	Australian Antarctic Territory	0	0.0	
1604	British Antarctic Territory	0	0.0	
1605	Chilean Antarctic Territory	0	0.0	
1606	Queen Maud Land (Norway)	0	0.0	
1607	Ross Dependency (New Zealand)	0	0.0	
2000	North-West Europe	0	0.0	
2100	United Kingdom Channel Islands and Isle of Man	0	0.0	
2102	England	0	0.0	
2103	Isle of Man	0	0.0	
2104	Northern Ireland	0	0.0	
2105	Scotland	0	0.0	
2106	Wales	0	0.0	
2107	Guernsey	0	0.0	
2108	Jersey	0	0.0	
2201	Ireland	0	0.0	
2300	Western Europe	0	0.0	
2301	Austria	0	0.0	
2302	Belgium	0	0.0	
2303	France	0	0.0	
2304	Germany	0	0.0	
2305	Liechtenstein	0	0.0	
2306	Luxembourg	0	0.0	
2307	Monaco	0	0.0	
2308	Netherlands	0	0.0	
2311	Switzerland	0	0.0	
2400	Northern Europe	0	0.0	
2401	Denmark	0	0.0	
2402	Faroe Islands	0	0.0	
2403	Finland	0	0.0	
2404	Greenland	0	0.0	
2405	Iceland	0	0.0	
2406	Norway	0	0.0	
2407	Sweden	0	0.0	
2408	Aland Islands	0	0.0	
3000	Southern and Eastern Europe	0	0.0	

h26mum_2: H26. Citizenship - Mother (2nd listed)

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
3100	Southern Europe	0	0.0	
3101	Andorra	0	0.0	
3102	Gibraltar	0	0.0	
3103	Holy See	0	0.0	
3104	Italy	0	0.0	
3105	Malta	0	0.0	
3106	Portugal	0	0.0	
3107	San Marino	0	0.0	
3108	Spain	0	0.0	
3200	South Eastern Europe	0	0.0	
3201	Albania	0	0.0	
3202	Bosnia and Herzegovina	0	0.0	
3203	Bulgaria	0	0.0	
3204	Croatia	0	0.0	
3205	Cyprus	0	0.0	
3206	Former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia (FYROM)	0	0.0	
3207	Greece	0	0.0	
3208	Moldova	0	0.0	
3211	Romania	0	0.0	
3212	Slovenia	0	0.0	
3214	Montenegro	0	0.0	
3215	Serbia	0	0.0	
3216	Kosovo	0	0.0	
3300	Eastern Europe	0	0.0	
3301	Belarus	0	0.0	
3302	Czech Republic	0	0.0	
3303	Estonia	0	0.0	
3304	Hungary	0	0.0	
3305	Latvia	0	0.0	
3306	Lithuania	0	0.0	
3307	Poland	0	0.0	
3308	Russian Federation	0	0.0	
3311	Slovakia	0	0.0	
3312	Ukraine	0	0.0	
4000	North Africa and the Middle East	0	0.0	
4100	North Africa	0	0.0	
4101	Algeria	0	0.0	
4102	Egypt	0	0.0	
4103	Libya	0	0.0	
4104	Morocco	0	0.0	
4105	Sudan	0	0.0	
4106	Tunisia	0	0.0	
4107	Western Sahara	0	0.0	

h26mum_2: H26. Citizenship - Mother (2nd listed)

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
4108	Spanish North Africa	0	0.0	
4200	Middle East	0	0.0	
4201	Bahrain	0	0.0	
4202	Gaza Strip and West Bank	0	0.0	
4203	Iran	0	0.0	
4204	Iraq	0	0.0	
4205	Israel	0	0.0	
4206	Jordan	0	0.0	
4207	Kuwait	0	0.0	
4208	Lebanon	0	0.0	
4211	Oman	0	0.0	
4212	Qatar	0	0.0	
4213	Saudi Arabia	0	0.0	
4214	Syria	0	0.0	
4215	Turkey	0	0.0	
4216	United Arab Emirates	0	0.0	
4217	Yemen	0	0.0	
5000	South-East Asia	0	0.0	
5100	Mainland South-East Asia	0	0.0	
5101	Burma (Myanmar)	0	0.0	
5102	Cambodia	0	0.0	
5103	Laos	0	0.0	
5104	Thailand	0	0.0	
5105	Vietnam	0	0.0	
5200	Maritime South-East Asia	0	0.0	
5201	Brunei Darussalam	0	0.0	
5202	Indonesia	0	0.0	
5203	Malaysia	0	0.0	
5204	Philippines	0	0.0	
5205	Singapore	0	0.0	
5206	East Timor	0	0.0	
6000	North-East Asia	0	0.0	
6100	Chinese Asia (includes Mongolia)	0	0.0	
6101	China (excludes SARs and Taiwan)	0	0.0	
6102	Hong Kong (SAR of China)	0	0.0	
6103	Macau (SAR of China)	0	0.0	
6104	Mongolia	0	0.0	
6105	Taiwan	0	0.0	
6200	Japan and the Koreas	0	0.0	
6201	Japan	0	0.0	
6202	Korea Democratic Peoples Republic of (North)	0	0.0	
6203	Korea Republic of (South)	0	0.0	
7000	Southern and Central Asia	0	0.0	

#h26mum_2: H26. Citizenship - Mother (2nd listed)

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
7100	Southern Asia	0	0.0	
7101	Bangladesh	0	0.0	
7102	Bhutan	0	0.0	
7103	India	0	0.0	
7104	Maldives	0	0.0	
7105	Nepal	0	0.0	
7106	Pakistan	0	0.0	
7107	Sri Lanka	0	0.0	
7200	Central Asia	0	0.0	
7201	Afghanistan	0	0.0	
7202	Armenia	0	0.0	
7203	Azerbaijan	0	0.0	
7204	Georgia	0	0.0	
7205	Kazakhstan	0	0.0	
7206	Kyrgyzstan	0	0.0	
7207	Tajikistan	0	0.0	
7208	Turkmenistan	0	0.0	
7211	Uzbekistan	0	0.0	
8000	Americas	0	0.0	
8100	Northern America	0	0.0	
8101	Bermuda	0	0.0	
8102	Canada	1	0.7	48.6%
8103	St Pierre and Miquelon	0	0.0	
8104	United States of America	1	0.7	51.4%
8200	South America	0	0.0	
8201	Argentina	0	0.0	
8202	Bolivia	0	0.0	
8203	Brazil	0	0.0	
8204	Chile	0	0.0	
8205	Colombia	0	0.0	
8206	Ecuador	0	0.0	
8207	Falkland Islands	0	0.0	
8208	French Guiana	0	0.0	
8211	Guyana	0	0.0	
8212	Paraguay	0	0.0	
8213	Peru	0	0.0	
8214	Suriname	0	0.0	
8215	Uruguay	0	0.0	
8216	Venezuela	0	0.0	
8299	South America nec	0	0.0	
8300	Central America	0	0.0	
8301	Belize	0	0.0	
8302	Costa Rica	0	0.0	

h26mum_2: H26. Citizenship - Mother (2nd listed)

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
8303	El Salvador	0	0.0	
8304	Guatemala	0	0.0	
8305	Honduras	0	0.0	
8306	Mexico	0	0.0	
8307	Nicaragua	0	0.0	
8308	Panama	0	0.0	
8400	Caribbean	0	0.0	
8401	Anguilla	0	0.0	
8402	Antigua and Barbuda	0	0.0	
8403	Aruba	0	0.0	
8404	Bahamas	0	0.0	
8405	Barbados	0	0.0	
8406	Cayman Islands	0	0.0	
8407	Cuba	0	0.0	
8408	Dominica	0	0.0	
8411	Dominican Republic	0	0.0	
8412	Grenada	0	0.0	
8413	Guadeloupe	0	0.0	
8414	Haiti	0	0.0	
8415	Jamaica	0	0.0	
8416	Martinique	0	0.0	
8417	Montserrat	0	0.0	
8418	Netherlands Antilles	0	0.0	
8421	Puerto Rico	0	0.0	
8422	St Kitts and Nevis	0	0.0	
8423	St Lucia	0	0.0	
8424	St Vincent and the Grenadines	0	0.0	
8425	Trinidad and Tobago	0	0.0	
8426	Turks and Caicos Islands	0	0.0	
8427	Virgin Islands British	0	0.0	
8428	Virgin Islands United States	0	0.0	
8431	St Barthelemy	0	0.0	
8432	St Martin (French part)	0	0.0	
9000	Sub-Saharan Africa	0	0.0	
9100	Central and West Africa	0	0.0	
9101	Benin	0	0.0	
9102	Burkina Faso	0	0.0	
9103	Cameroon	0	0.0	
9104	Cape Verde	0	0.0	
9105	Central African Republic	0	0.0	
9106	Chad	0	0.0	
9107	Congo	0	0.0	
9108	Congo Democratic Republic of	0	0.0	

#h26mum_2: H26. Citizenship - Mother (2nd listed)

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
9111	CÃ´te dIvoire	0	0.0	
9112	Equatorial Guinea	0	0.0	
9113	Gabon	0	0.0	
9114	Gambia	0	0.0	
9115	Ghana	0	0.0	
9116	Guinea	0	0.0	
9117	Guinea-Bissau	0	0.0	
9118	Liberia	0	0.0	
9121	Mali	0	0.0	
9122	Mauritania	0	0.0	
9123	Niger	0	0.0	
9124	Nigeria	0	0.0	
9125	Sao TomÃ© and Principe	0	0.0	
9126	Senegal	0	0.0	
9127	Sierra Leone	0	0.0	
9128	Togo	0	0.0	
9200	Southern and East Africa	0	0.0	
9201	Angola	0	0.0	
9202	Botswana	0	0.0	
9203	Burundi	0	0.0	
9204	Comoros	0	0.0	
9205	Djibouti	0	0.0	
9206	Eritrea	0	0.0	
9207	Ethiopia	0	0.0	
9208	Kenya	0	0.0	
9211	Lesotho	0	0.0	
9212	Madagascar	0	0.0	
9213	Malawi	0	0.0	
9214	Mauritius	0	0.0	
9215	Mayotte	0	0.0	
9216	Mozambique	0	0.0	
9217	Namibia	0	0.0	
9218	RÃ©union	0	0.0	
9221	Rwanda	0	0.0	
9222	St Helena	0	0.0	
9223	Seychelles	0	0.0	
9224	Somalia	0	0.0	
9225	South Africa	0	0.0	
9226	Swaziland	0	0.0	
9227	Tanzania	0	0.0	
9228	Uganda	0	0.0	
9231	Zambia	0	0.0	
9232	Zimbabwe	0	0.0	

h26mum_2: H26. Citizenship - Mother (2nd listed)

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
9299	Southern and East Africa nec	0	0.0	
-1	Missing	3953	3953.6	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

h26dad_1: H26. Citizenship - Father (1st listed)

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9299] [Missing=*/-1]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1070 / 1151.39] [Invalid=2885 / 2803.61]
Pre-question	Are you (or your mother or father) a citizen of any country other than Australia?
Literal question	Father

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	At Sea	0	0.0	
4	Unknown (the destination or origin of the goods is unknown)	0	0.0	
5	Unidentified (the country reported on import/export document	0	0.0	
601	Asia-Pacific Economic Co-operation (APEC)	0	0.0	
602	Association of South East Asian Nations (ASEAN)	0	0.0	
603	European Economic Area (EEA)	0	0.0	
604	European Union (EU)	0	0.0	
605	Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD	0	0.0	
606	Organisation of Petroleum Exporting Countries (OPEC)	0	0.0	
607	South Pacific Regional Trade and Economic Co-operation Agree	0	0.0	
608	The Commonwealth	0	0.0	
611	Europe	0	0.0	
612	Europe and the Former USSR	0	0.0	
613	Former USSR	0	0.0	
614	Asia	0	0.0	
615	East Asia	0	0.0	
616	Africa	0	0.0	
617	Arab League	0	0.0	
618	Economic and Monetary Union of the European Union (EMU)	0	0.0	
701	Africa nec	0	0.0	
702	Americas nec	0	0.0	
703	Asia nec	0	0.0	
704	Belgium and Luxembourg	0	0.0	
705	Central America and the Caribbean (excludes Mexico)	0	0.0	
706	Christmas Island	0	0.0	
707	Cocos (Keeling) Islands	0	0.0	
708	Country conf. Alumina (a secondary (International Trade spec	0	0.0	
711	Denmark (includes Greenland and Faroe Islands)	0	0.0	

h26dad_1: H26. Citizenship - Father (1st listed)

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
712	Eurodollar Market	0	0.0	
713	Europe nec	0	0.0	
714	Falkland Islands (includes South Georgia and South Sandwich	0	0.0	
715	France (includes Andorra and Monaco)	0	0.0	
716	French Antilles (Guadeloupe and Martinique)	0	0.0	
717	French Southern Territories	0	0.0	
718	International Capital Markets	0	0.0	
721	International Institutions	0	0.0	
722	International Waters (goods placed in the ocean outside any	0	0.0	
724	Johnston and Sand Islands	0	0.0	
725	Midway Islands	0	0.0	
726	Morocco (includes places under Spanish sovereignty)	0	0.0	
727	No Country Details (overseas country detail for exports not	0	0.0	
728	Oceania nec	0	0.0	
731	Other ASEAN	0	0.0	
732	Other Asia nec (excludes ASEAN)	0	0.0	
733	Other EU	0	0.0	
734	Other Europe	0	0.0	
735	Other Europe (excludes EU and OECD)	0	0.0	
736	Other OECD	0	0.0	
737	Other OECD in Europe	0	0.0	
741	Reserve Bank Gold (gold held by the Reserve Bank of Austral	0	0.0	
742	Ships and Aircraft Stores (stores including fuel loaded on	0	0.0	
743	Switzerland (includes Liechtenstein)	0	0.0	
744	United States Miscellaneous Islands	0	0.0	
745	Wake Island	0	0.0	
746	Australia (includes External Territories Australian Antarct	0	0.0	
747	JPDA (Joint Petrolium Development Area - administered by Aus	0	0.0	
748	APEC nfd	0	0.0	
751	ASEAN nfd	0	0.0	
752	EEA nfd	0	0.0	
753	EU nfd	0	0.0	
754	OECD nfd	0	0.0	
755	OPEC nfd	0	0.0	
756	SPARTECA nfd	0	0.0	
757	The Commonwealth nfd	0	0.0	
758	Arab League nfd	0	0.0	
761	EMU nfd	0	0.0	

h26dad_1: H26. Citizenship - Father (1st listed)

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
912	Former USSR, nfd	0	0.0	
918	Africa, nfd	0	0.0	
1000	Oceania and Antarctica	0	0.0	
1100	Australia (includes External Territories)	0	0.0	
1101	Australia	524	573.0	49.8%
1102	Norfolk Island	0	0.0	
1199	Australian External Territories nec	0	0.0	
1201	New Zealand	34	30.7	2.7%
1300	Melanesia	0	0.0	
1301	New Caledonia	0	0.0	
1302	Papua New Guinea	0	0.0	
1303	Solomon Islands	0	0.0	
1304	Vanuatu	0	0.0	
1400	Micronesia	0	0.0	
1401	Guam	0	0.0	
1402	Kiribati	0	0.0	
1403	Marshall Islands	0	0.0	
1404	Micronesia Federated States of	0	0.0	
1405	Nauru	0	0.0	
1406	Northern Mariana Islands	0	0.0	
1407	Palau	0	0.0	
1500	Polynesia (excludes Hawaii)	0	0.0	
1501	Cook Islands	0	0.0	
1502	Fiji	1	0.8	0.1%
1503	French Polynesia	0	0.0	
1504	Niue	0	0.0	
1505	Samoa	0	0.0	
1506	Samoa American	0	0.0	
1507	Tokelau	0	0.0	
1508	Tonga	0	0.0	
1511	Tuvalu	0	0.0	
1512	Wallis and Futuna	0	0.0	
1513	Pitcairn Islands	0	0.0	
1599	Polynesia (excludes Hawaii) nec	0	0.0	
1600	Antarctica	0	0.0	
1601	Adélie Land (France)	0	0.0	
1602	Argentinian Antarctic Territory	0	0.0	
1603	Australian Antarctic Territory	0	0.0	
1604	British Antarctic Territory	0	0.0	
1605	Chilean Antarctic Territory	0	0.0	
1606	Queen Maud Land (Norway)	0	0.0	
1607	Ross Dependency (New Zealand)	0	0.0	
2000	North-West Europe	0	0.0	

#h26dad_1: H26. Citizenship - Father (1st listed)

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
2100	United Kingdom Channel Islands and Isle of Man	206	210.9	18.3%
2102	England	1	0.7	0.1%
2103	Isle of Man	0	0.0	
2104	Northern Ireland	1	0.7	0.1%
2105	Scotland	2	1.6	0.1%
2106	Wales	0	0.0	
2107	Guernsey	0	0.0	
2108	Jersey	0	0.0	
2201	Ireland	15	16.5	1.4%
2300	Western Europe	0	0.0	
2301	Austria	2	1.6	0.1%
2302	Belgium	0	0.0	
2303	France	2	2.5	0.2%
2304	Germany	6	4.2	0.4%
2305	Liechtenstein	0	0.0	
2306	Luxembourg	0	0.0	
2307	Monaco	0	0.0	
2308	Netherlands	15	13.8	1.2%
2311	Switzerland	4	3.5	0.3%
2400	Northern Europe	0	0.0	
2401	Denmark	2	1.6	0.1%
2402	Faroe Islands	0	0.0	
2403	Finland	0	0.0	
2404	Greenland	0	0.0	
2405	Iceland	0	0.0	
2406	Norway	0	0.0	
2407	Sweden	1	1.1	0.1%
2408	Aland Islands	0	0.0	
3000	Southern and Eastern Europe	0	0.0	
3100	Southern Europe	0	0.0	
3101	Andorra	0	0.0	
3102	Gibraltar	0	0.0	
3103	Holy See	0	0.0	
3104	Italy	29	26.1	2.3%
3105	Malta	4	4.4	0.4%
3106	Portugal	4	4.0	0.3%
3107	San Marino	0	0.0	
3108	Spain	1	1.4	0.1%
3200	South Eastern Europe	0	0.0	
3201	Albania	0	0.0	
3202	Bosnia and Herzegovina	0	0.0	
3203	Bulgaria	0	0.0	
3204	Croatia	5	6.5	0.6%

h26dad_1: H26. Citizenship - Father (1st listed)

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
3205	Cyprus	2	2.4	0.2%
3206	Former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia (FYROM)	9	11.7	1.0%
3207	Greece	17	17.8	1.5%
3208	Moldova	0	0.0	
3211	Romania	1	4.9	0.4%
3212	Slovenia	0	0.0	
3214	Montenegro	0	0.0	
3215	Serbia	0	0.0	
3216	Kosovo	0	0.0	
3300	Eastern Europe	0	0.0	
3301	Belarus	1	1.6	0.1%
3302	Czech Republic	1	1.3	0.1%
3303	Estonia	0	0.0	
3304	Hungary	2	2.0	0.2%
3305	Latvia	0	0.0	
3306	Lithuania	0	0.0	
3307	Poland	7	7.5	0.6%
3308	Russian Federation	2	1.8	0.2%
3311	Slovakia	0	0.0	
3312	Ukraine	2	1.4	0.1%
4000	North Africa and the Middle East	0	0.0	
4100	North Africa	0	0.0	
4101	Algeria	0	0.0	
4102	Egypt	1	4.8	0.4%
4103	Libya	0	0.0	
4104	Morocco	0	0.0	
4105	Sudan	2	2.7	0.2%
4106	Tunisia	0	0.0	
4107	Western Sahara	0	0.0	
4108	Spanish North Africa	0	0.0	
4200	Middle East	0	0.0	
4201	Bahrain	0	0.0	
4202	Gaza Strip and West Bank	0	0.0	
4203	Iran	1	1.5	0.1%
4204	Iraq	2	3.0	0.3%
4205	Israel	1	0.7	0.1%
4206	Jordan	0	0.0	
4207	Kuwait	0	0.0	
4208	Lebanon	5	5.8	0.5%
4211	Oman	0	0.0	
4212	Qatar	0	0.0	
4213	Saudi Arabia	0	0.0	
4214	Syria	1	1.7	0.1%

h26dad_1: H26. Citizenship - Father (1st listed)

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
4215	Turkey	4	4.0	0.3%
4216	United Arab Emirates	0	0.0	
4217	Yemen	0	0.0	
5000	South-East Asia	0	0.0	
5100	Mainland South-East Asia	0	0.0	
5101	Burma (Myanmar)	0	0.0	
5102	Cambodia	2	2.2	0.2%
5103	Laos	0	0.0	
5104	Thailand	2	2.2	0.2%
5105	Vietnam	8	8.0	0.7%
5200	Maritime South-East Asia	0	0.0	
5201	Brunei Darussalam	0	0.0	
5202	Indonesia	1	0.9	0.1%
5203	Malaysia	10	8.9	0.8%
5204	Philippines	13	12.3	1.1%
5205	Singapore	3	4.4	0.4%
5206	East Timor	1	0.7	0.1%
6000	North-East Asia	0	0.0	
6100	Chinese Asia (includes Mongolia)	0	0.0	
6101	China (excludes SARs and Taiwan)	23	26.1	2.3%
6102	Hong Kong (SAR of China)	2	1.7	0.1%
6103	Macau (SAR of China)	0	0.0	
6104	Mongolia	0	0.0	
6105	Taiwan	4	8.0	0.7%
6200	Japan and the Koreas	0	0.0	
6201	Japan	1	0.8	0.1%
6202	Korea Democratic Peoples Republic of (North)	0	0.0	
6203	Korea Republic of (South)	5	5.5	0.5%
7000	Southern and Central Asia	0	0.0	
7100	Southern Asia	0	0.0	
7101	Bangladesh	1	1.0	0.1%
7102	Bhutan	0	0.0	
7103	India	19	26.1	2.3%
7104	Maldives	0	0.0	
7105	Nepal	1	1.1	0.1%
7106	Pakistan	3	4.2	0.4%
7107	Sri Lanka	6	6.4	0.6%
7200	Central Asia	0	0.0	
7201	Afghanistan	2	2.0	0.2%
7202	Armenia	0	0.0	
7203	Azerbaijan	0	0.0	
7204	Georgia	0	0.0	
7205	Kazakhstan	0	0.0	

h26dad_1: H26. Citizenship - Father (1st listed)

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
7206	Kyrgyzstan	0	0.0	
7207	Tajikistan	0	0.0	
7208	Turkmenistan	0	0.0	
7211	Uzbekistan	0	0.0	
8000	Americas	0	0.0	
8100	Northern America	0	0.0	
8101	Bermuda	0	0.0	
8102	Canada	7	5.2	0.4%
8103	St Pierre and Miquelon	0	0.0	
8104	United States of America	13	11.7	1.0%
8200	South America	0	0.0	
8201	Argentina	1	0.7	0.1%
8202	Bolivia	0	0.0	
8203	Brazil	1	1.1	0.1%
8204	Chile	2	2.6	0.2%
8205	Colombia	0	0.0	
8206	Ecuador	0	0.0	
8207	Falkland Islands	0	0.0	
8208	French Guiana	0	0.0	
8211	Guyana	0	0.0	
8212	Paraguay	0	0.0	
8213	Peru	0	0.0	
8214	Suriname	0	0.0	
8215	Uruguay	1	0.8	0.1%
8216	Venezuela	0	0.0	
8299	South America nec	0	0.0	
8300	Central America	0	0.0	
8301	Belize	0	0.0	
8302	Costa Rica	0	0.0	
8303	El Salvador	0	0.0	
8304	Guatemala	0	0.0	
8305	Honduras	0	0.0	
8306	Mexico	0	0.0	
8307	Nicaragua	0	0.0	
8308	Panama	0	0.0	
8400	Caribbean	0	0.0	
8401	Anguilla	0	0.0	
8402	Antigua and Barbuda	0	0.0	
8403	Aruba	0	0.0	
8404	Bahamas	0	0.0	
8405	Barbados	0	0.0	
8406	Cayman Islands	0	0.0	
8407	Cuba	0	0.0	

#h26dad_1: H26. Citizenship - Father (1st listed)

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
8408	Dominica	0	0.0	
8411	Dominican Republic	0	0.0	
8412	Grenada	0	0.0	
8413	Guadeloupe	0	0.0	
8414	Haiti	0	0.0	
8415	Jamaica	0	0.0	
8416	Martinique	0	0.0	
8417	Montserrat	0	0.0	
8418	Netherlands Antilles	0	0.0	
8421	Puerto Rico	0	0.0	
8422	St Kitts and Nevis	0	0.0	
8423	St Lucia	0	0.0	
8424	St Vincent and the Grenadines	0	0.0	
8425	Trinidad and Tobago	0	0.0	
8426	Turks and Caicos Islands	0	0.0	
8427	Virgin Islands British	0	0.0	
8428	Virgin Islands United States	0	0.0	
8431	St Barthelemy	0	0.0	
8432	St Martin (French part)	0	0.0	
9000	Sub-Saharan Africa	0	0.0	
9100	Central and West Africa	0	0.0	
9101	Benin	0	0.0	
9102	Burkina Faso	0	0.0	
9103	Cameroon	0	0.0	
9104	Cape Verde	0	0.0	
9105	Central African Republic	0	0.0	
9106	Chad	0	0.0	
9107	Congo	0	0.0	
9108	Congo Democratic Republic of	1	0.9	0.1%
9111	CÃ´te dIvoire	0	0.0	
9112	Equatorial Guinea	0	0.0	
9113	Gabon	0	0.0	
9114	Gambia	0	0.0	
9115	Ghana	1	0.9	0.1%
9116	Guinea	0	0.0	
9117	Guinea-Bissau	0	0.0	
9118	Liberia	0	0.0	
9121	Mali	0	0.0	
9122	Mauritania	0	0.0	
9123	Niger	0	0.0	
9124	Nigeria	1	1.3	0.1%
9125	Sao TomÃ© and Principe	0	0.0	
9126	Senegal	0	0.0	

h26dad_1: H26. Citizenship - Father (1st listed)

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
9127	Sierra Leone	0	0.0	
9128	Togo	0	0.0	
9200	Southern and East Africa	0	0.0	
9201	Angola	0	0.0	
9202	Botswana	0	0.0	
9203	Burundi	0	0.0	
9204	Comoros	0	0.0	
9205	Djibouti	0	0.0	
9206	Eritrea	0	0.0	
9207	Ethiopia	1	1.0	0.1%
9208	Kenya	0	0.0	
9211	Lesotho	0	0.0	
9212	Madagascar	0	0.0	
9213	Malawi	0	0.0	
9214	Mauritius	1	0.7	0.1%
9215	Mayotte	0	0.0	
9216	Mozambique	1	1.6	0.1%
9217	Namibia	0	0.0	
9218	Réunion	0	0.0	
9221	Rwanda	0	0.0	
9222	St Helena	0	0.0	
9223	Seychelles	1	5.5	0.5%
9224	Somalia	0	0.0	
9225	South Africa	10	12.0	1.0%
9226	Swaziland	0	0.0	
9227	Tanzania	0	0.0	
9228	Uganda	0	0.0	
9231	Zambia	1	0.9	0.1%
9232	Zimbabwe	0	0.0	
9299	Southern and East Africa nec	0	0.0	
-1	Missing	2885	2803.6	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

h26dad_2: H26. Citizenship - Father (2nd listed)

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9299] [Missing=*/-1]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=0 / 0] [Invalid=3955 / 3955]
Pre-question	Are you (or your mother or father) a citizen of any country other than Australia?
Literal question	Father

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	At Sea	0	0.0	
4	Unknown (the destination or origin of the goods is unknown)	0	0.0	
5	Unidentified (the country reported on import/export document	0	0.0	

h26dad_2: H26. Citizenship - Father (2nd listed)

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
601	Asia-Pacific Economic Co-operation (APEC)	0	0.0	
602	Association of South East Asian Nations (ASEAN)	0	0.0	
603	European Economic Area (EEA)	0	0.0	
604	European Union (EU)	0	0.0	
605	Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD	0	0.0	
606	Organisation of Petroleum Exporting Countries (OPEC)	0	0.0	
607	South Pacific Regional Trade and Economic Co-operation Agree	0	0.0	
608	The Commonwealth	0	0.0	
611	Europe	0	0.0	
612	Europe and the Former USSR	0	0.0	
613	Former USSR	0	0.0	
614	Asia	0	0.0	
615	East Asia	0	0.0	
616	Africa	0	0.0	
617	Arab League	0	0.0	
618	Economic and Monetary Union of the European Union (EMU)	0	0.0	
701	Africa nec	0	0.0	
702	Americas nec	0	0.0	
703	Asia nec	0	0.0	
704	Belgium and Luxembourg	0	0.0	
705	Central America and the Caribbean (excludes Mexico)	0	0.0	
706	Christmas Island	0	0.0	
707	Cocos (Keeling) Islands	0	0.0	
708	Country conf. Alumina (a secondary (International Trade spec	0	0.0	
711	Denmark (includes Greenland and Faroe Islands)	0	0.0	
712	Eurodollar Market	0	0.0	
713	Europe nec	0	0.0	
714	Falkland Islands (includes South Georgia and South Sandwich	0	0.0	
715	France (includes Andorra and Monaco)	0	0.0	
716	French Antilles (Guadeloupe and Martinique)	0	0.0	
717	French Southern Territories	0	0.0	
718	International Capital Markets	0	0.0	
721	International Institutions	0	0.0	
722	International Waters (goods placed in the ocean outside any	0	0.0	
724	Johnston and Sand Islands	0	0.0	
725	Midway Islands	0	0.0	
726	Morocco (includes places under Spanish sovereignty)	0	0.0	

#h26dad_2: H26. Citizenship - Father (2nd listed)

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
727	No Country Details (overseas country detail for exports not	0	0.0	
728	Oceania nec	0	0.0	
731	Other ASEAN	0	0.0	
732	Other Asia nec (excludes ASEAN)	0	0.0	
733	Other EU	0	0.0	
734	Other Europe	0	0.0	
735	Other Europe (excludes EU and OECD)	0	0.0	
736	Other OECD	0	0.0	
737	Other OECD in Europe	0	0.0	
741	Reserve Bank Gold (gold held by the Reserve Bank of Austral	0	0.0	
742	Ships and Aircraft Stores (stores including fuel loaded on	0	0.0	
743	Switzerland (includes Liechtenstein)	0	0.0	
744	United States Miscellaneous Islands	0	0.0	
745	Wake Island	0	0.0	
746	Australia (includes External Territories Australian Antarct	0	0.0	
747	JPDA (Joint Petrolium Development Area - administered by Aus	0	0.0	
748	APEC nfd	0	0.0	
751	ASEAN nfd	0	0.0	
752	EEA nfd	0	0.0	
753	EU nfd	0	0.0	
754	OECD nfd	0	0.0	
755	OPEC nfd	0	0.0	
756	SPARTECA nfd	0	0.0	
757	The Commonwealth nfd	0	0.0	
758	Arab League nfd	0	0.0	
761	EMU nfd	0	0.0	
912	Former USSR, nfd	0	0.0	
918	Africa, nfd	0	0.0	
1000	Oceania and Antarctica	0	0.0	
1100	Australia (includes External Territories)	0	0.0	
1101	Australia	0	0.0	
1102	Norfolk Island	0	0.0	
1199	Australian External Territories nec	0	0.0	
1201	New Zealand	0	0.0	
1300	Melanesia	0	0.0	
1301	New Caledonia	0	0.0	
1302	Papua New Guinea	0	0.0	
1303	Solomon Islands	0	0.0	
1304	Vanuatu	0	0.0	
1400	Micronesia	0	0.0	

#h26dad_2: H26. Citizenship - Father (2nd listed)

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1401	Guam	0	0.0	
1402	Kiribati	0	0.0	
1403	Marshall Islands	0	0.0	
1404	Micronesia Federated States of	0	0.0	
1405	Nauru	0	0.0	
1406	Northern Mariana Islands	0	0.0	
1407	Palau	0	0.0	
1500	Polynesia (excludes Hawaii)	0	0.0	
1501	Cook Islands	0	0.0	
1502	Fiji	0	0.0	
1503	French Polynesia	0	0.0	
1504	Niue	0	0.0	
1505	Samoa	0	0.0	
1506	Samoa American	0	0.0	
1507	Tokelau	0	0.0	
1508	Tonga	0	0.0	
1511	Tuvalu	0	0.0	
1512	Wallis and Futuna	0	0.0	
1513	Pitcairn Islands	0	0.0	
1599	Polynesia (excludes Hawaii) nec	0	0.0	
1600	Antarctica	0	0.0	
1601	Adélie Land (France)	0	0.0	
1602	Argentinian Antarctic Territory	0	0.0	
1603	Australian Antarctic Territory	0	0.0	
1604	British Antarctic Territory	0	0.0	
1605	Chilean Antarctic Territory	0	0.0	
1606	Queen Maud Land (Norway)	0	0.0	
1607	Ross Dependency (New Zealand)	0	0.0	
2000	North-West Europe	0	0.0	
2100	United Kingdom Channel Islands and Isle of Man	0	0.0	
2102	England	0	0.0	
2103	Isle of Man	0	0.0	
2104	Northern Ireland	0	0.0	
2105	Scotland	0	0.0	
2106	Wales	0	0.0	
2107	Guernsey	0	0.0	
2108	Jersey	0	0.0	
2201	Ireland	0	0.0	
2300	Western Europe	0	0.0	
2301	Austria	0	0.0	
2302	Belgium	0	0.0	
2303	France	0	0.0	
2304	Germany	0	0.0	

h26dad_2: H26. Citizenship - Father (2nd listed)

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
2305	Liechtenstein	0	0.0	
2306	Luxembourg	0	0.0	
2307	Monaco	0	0.0	
2308	Netherlands	0	0.0	
2311	Switzerland	0	0.0	
2400	Northern Europe	0	0.0	
2401	Denmark	0	0.0	
2402	Faroe Islands	0	0.0	
2403	Finland	0	0.0	
2404	Greenland	0	0.0	
2405	Iceland	0	0.0	
2406	Norway	0	0.0	
2407	Sweden	0	0.0	
2408	Aland Islands	0	0.0	
3000	Southern and Eastern Europe	0	0.0	
3100	Southern Europe	0	0.0	
3101	Andorra	0	0.0	
3102	Gibraltar	0	0.0	
3103	Holy See	0	0.0	
3104	Italy	0	0.0	
3105	Malta	0	0.0	
3106	Portugal	0	0.0	
3107	San Marino	0	0.0	
3108	Spain	0	0.0	
3200	South Eastern Europe	0	0.0	
3201	Albania	0	0.0	
3202	Bosnia and Herzegovina	0	0.0	
3203	Bulgaria	0	0.0	
3204	Croatia	0	0.0	
3205	Cyprus	0	0.0	
3206	Former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia (FYROM)	0	0.0	
3207	Greece	0	0.0	
3208	Moldova	0	0.0	
3211	Romania	0	0.0	
3212	Slovenia	0	0.0	
3214	Montenegro	0	0.0	
3215	Serbia	0	0.0	
3216	Kosovo	0	0.0	
3300	Eastern Europe	0	0.0	
3301	Belarus	0	0.0	
3302	Czech Republic	0	0.0	
3303	Estonia	0	0.0	
3304	Hungary	0	0.0	

h26dad_2: H26. Citizenship - Father (2nd listed)

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
3305	Latvia	0	0.0	
3306	Lithuania	0	0.0	
3307	Poland	0	0.0	
3308	Russian Federation	0	0.0	
3311	Slovakia	0	0.0	
3312	Ukraine	0	0.0	
4000	North Africa and the Middle East	0	0.0	
4100	North Africa	0	0.0	
4101	Algeria	0	0.0	
4102	Egypt	0	0.0	
4103	Libya	0	0.0	
4104	Morocco	0	0.0	
4105	Sudan	0	0.0	
4106	Tunisia	0	0.0	
4107	Western Sahara	0	0.0	
4108	Spanish North Africa	0	0.0	
4200	Middle East	0	0.0	
4201	Bahrain	0	0.0	
4202	Gaza Strip and West Bank	0	0.0	
4203	Iran	0	0.0	
4204	Iraq	0	0.0	
4205	Israel	0	0.0	
4206	Jordan	0	0.0	
4207	Kuwait	0	0.0	
4208	Lebanon	0	0.0	
4211	Oman	0	0.0	
4212	Qatar	0	0.0	
4213	Saudi Arabia	0	0.0	
4214	Syria	0	0.0	
4215	Turkey	0	0.0	
4216	United Arab Emirates	0	0.0	
4217	Yemen	0	0.0	
5000	South-East Asia	0	0.0	
5100	Mainland South-East Asia	0	0.0	
5101	Burma (Myanmar)	0	0.0	
5102	Cambodia	0	0.0	
5103	Laos	0	0.0	
5104	Thailand	0	0.0	
5105	Vietnam	0	0.0	
5200	Maritime South-East Asia	0	0.0	
5201	Brunei Darussalam	0	0.0	
5202	Indonesia	0	0.0	
5203	Malaysia	0	0.0	

h26dad_2: H26. Citizenship - Father (2nd listed)

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
5204	Philippines	0	0.0	
5205	Singapore	0	0.0	
5206	East Timor	0	0.0	
6000	North-East Asia	0	0.0	
6100	Chinese Asia (includes Mongolia)	0	0.0	
6101	China (excludes SARs and Taiwan)	0	0.0	
6102	Hong Kong (SAR of China)	0	0.0	
6103	Macau (SAR of China)	0	0.0	
6104	Mongolia	0	0.0	
6105	Taiwan	0	0.0	
6200	Japan and the Koreas	0	0.0	
6201	Japan	0	0.0	
6202	Korea Democratic Peoples Republic of (North)	0	0.0	
6203	Korea Republic of (South)	0	0.0	
7000	Southern and Central Asia	0	0.0	
7100	Southern Asia	0	0.0	
7101	Bangladesh	0	0.0	
7102	Bhutan	0	0.0	
7103	India	0	0.0	
7104	Maldives	0	0.0	
7105	Nepal	0	0.0	
7106	Pakistan	0	0.0	
7107	Sri Lanka	0	0.0	
7200	Central Asia	0	0.0	
7201	Afghanistan	0	0.0	
7202	Armenia	0	0.0	
7203	Azerbaijan	0	0.0	
7204	Georgia	0	0.0	
7205	Kazakhstan	0	0.0	
7206	Kyrgyzstan	0	0.0	
7207	Tajikistan	0	0.0	
7208	Turkmenistan	0	0.0	
7211	Uzbekistan	0	0.0	
8000	Americas	0	0.0	
8100	Northern America	0	0.0	
8101	Bermuda	0	0.0	
8102	Canada	0	0.0	
8103	St Pierre and Miquelon	0	0.0	
8104	United States of America	0	0.0	
8200	South America	0	0.0	
8201	Argentina	0	0.0	
8202	Bolivia	0	0.0	
8203	Brazil	0	0.0	

h26dad_2: H26. Citizenship - Father (2nd listed)

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
8204	Chile	0	0.0	
8205	Colombia	0	0.0	
8206	Ecuador	0	0.0	
8207	Falkland Islands	0	0.0	
8208	French Guiana	0	0.0	
8211	Guyana	0	0.0	
8212	Paraguay	0	0.0	
8213	Peru	0	0.0	
8214	Suriname	0	0.0	
8215	Uruguay	0	0.0	
8216	Venezuela	0	0.0	
8299	South America nec	0	0.0	
8300	Central America	0	0.0	
8301	Belize	0	0.0	
8302	Costa Rica	0	0.0	
8303	El Salvador	0	0.0	
8304	Guatemala	0	0.0	
8305	Honduras	0	0.0	
8306	Mexico	0	0.0	
8307	Nicaragua	0	0.0	
8308	Panama	0	0.0	
8400	Caribbean	0	0.0	
8401	Anguilla	0	0.0	
8402	Antigua and Barbuda	0	0.0	
8403	Aruba	0	0.0	
8404	Bahamas	0	0.0	
8405	Barbados	0	0.0	
8406	Cayman Islands	0	0.0	
8407	Cuba	0	0.0	
8408	Dominica	0	0.0	
8411	Dominican Republic	0	0.0	
8412	Grenada	0	0.0	
8413	Guadeloupe	0	0.0	
8414	Haiti	0	0.0	
8415	Jamaica	0	0.0	
8416	Martinique	0	0.0	
8417	Montserrat	0	0.0	
8418	Netherlands Antilles	0	0.0	
8421	Puerto Rico	0	0.0	
8422	St Kitts and Nevis	0	0.0	
8423	St Lucia	0	0.0	
8424	St Vincent and the Grenadines	0	0.0	
8425	Trinidad and Tobago	0	0.0	

#h26dad_2: H26. Citizenship - Father (2nd listed)

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
8426	Turks and Caicos Islands	0	0.0	
8427	Virgin Islands British	0	0.0	
8428	Virgin Islands United States	0	0.0	
8431	St Barthelemy	0	0.0	
8432	St Martin (French part)	0	0.0	
9000	Sub-Saharan Africa	0	0.0	
9100	Central and West Africa	0	0.0	
9101	Benin	0	0.0	
9102	Burkina Faso	0	0.0	
9103	Cameroon	0	0.0	
9104	Cape Verde	0	0.0	
9105	Central African Republic	0	0.0	
9106	Chad	0	0.0	
9107	Congo	0	0.0	
9108	Congo Democratic Republic of	0	0.0	
9111	CÃ´te dIvoire	0	0.0	
9112	Equatorial Guinea	0	0.0	
9113	Gabon	0	0.0	
9114	Gambia	0	0.0	
9115	Ghana	0	0.0	
9116	Guinea	0	0.0	
9117	Guinea-Bissau	0	0.0	
9118	Liberia	0	0.0	
9121	Mali	0	0.0	
9122	Mauritania	0	0.0	
9123	Niger	0	0.0	
9124	Nigeria	0	0.0	
9125	Sao TomÃ© and Principe	0	0.0	
9126	Senegal	0	0.0	
9127	Sierra Leone	0	0.0	
9128	Togo	0	0.0	
9200	Southern and East Africa	0	0.0	
9201	Angola	0	0.0	
9202	Botswana	0	0.0	
9203	Burundi	0	0.0	
9204	Comoros	0	0.0	
9205	Djibouti	0	0.0	
9206	Eritrea	0	0.0	
9207	Ethiopia	0	0.0	
9208	Kenya	0	0.0	
9211	Lesotho	0	0.0	
9212	Madagascar	0	0.0	
9213	Malawi	0	0.0	

h26dad_2: H26. Citizenship - Father (2nd listed)

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
9214	Mauritius	0	0.0	
9215	Mayotte	0	0.0	
9216	Mozambique	0	0.0	
9217	Namibia	0	0.0	
9218	Réunion	0	0.0	
9221	Rwanda	0	0.0	
9222	St Helena	0	0.0	
9223	Seychelles	0	0.0	
9224	Somalia	0	0.0	
9225	South Africa	0	0.0	
9226	Swaziland	0	0.0	
9227	Tanzania	0	0.0	
9228	Uganda	0	0.0	
9231	Zambia	0	0.0	
9232	Zimbabwe	0	0.0	
9299	Southern and East Africa nec	0	0.0	
-1	Missing	3955	3955.0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

H27: H27. Ever been back to country of birth

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/-1]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1498 / 1508.249] [Invalid=2457 / 2446.751]
Literal question	If you were born outside of Australia: Since coming to Australia, have you ever been back to your country of birth? If you were born in Australia: Have you ever been to your mother's or father's country of birth?

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes, once only	296	303.2	20.1%
2	Yes, 2 to 4 times	462	472.0	31.3%
3	Yes, 5 to 9 times	219	211.0	14.0%
4	Yes, 10 times or more	148	139.6	9.3%
5	No	373	382.5	25.4%
-1	Missing	2457	2446.8	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

H28: H28. Interest in politics in country of birth

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*/-1]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1588 / 1602.099] [Invalid=2367 / 2352.901]
Literal question	And how much interest do you generally have in what is going on in politics in your (or your mother's or father's) country of birth?

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	A good deal	178	188.1	11.7%
2	Some	412	390.1	24.3%
3	Not much	466	461.0	28.8%
4	None	532	563.0	35.1%
-1	Missing	2367	2352.9	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

H29: H29. Experienced discrimination or unfair treatment in Australia past 5 years

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*/-1]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1577 / 1593.136] [Invalid=2378 / 2361.864]
Literal question	In the past five years, how often do you feel that you have experienced ethnic discrimination or unfair treatment in Australia?

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Often	65	73.2	4.6%
2	Sometimes	221	259.5	16.3%
3	Rarely	329	336.5	21.1%
4	Not at all	962	923.9	58.0%
-1	Missing	2378	2361.9	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

H30: H30. English main language spoken at home

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*/-1]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1590 / 1604.512] [Invalid=2365 / 2350.488]
Literal question	Is English the main language you speak at home?

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	1322	1294.7	80.7%
2	No	268	309.8	19.3%
-1	Missing	2365	2350.5	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

H31: H31. How good at speaking English day-to-day

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*/-1]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1571 / 1590.42] [Invalid=2384 / 2364.58]
Literal question	In day-to-day life, how good are you at speaking English when you need to?
Post-question	That is the end of the questionnaire. Please put the questionnaire in the pre-paid reply envelope and post it back to us. Thank you again for your co-operation.

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Very good	1330	1358.1	85.4%
2	Fairly good	192	183.2	11.5%
3	Below average	40	38.5	2.4%
4	Poor	9	10.6	0.7%
-1	Missing	2384	2364.6	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

weight: Weight

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0.3601200221577392-6.653360831348877] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3955 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=1 /-] [StdDev=0.556 /-]

StateMap: State mapping variable

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3955 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Literal question	(Derived from State)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	NSW	1223	30.9%

StateMap: State mapping variable

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2	Vic	967	24.5%
3	Qld	794	20.1%
4	SA	347	8.8%
5	WA	378	9.6%
6	Tas	159	4.0%
7	NT	56	1.4%
8	ACT	31	0.8%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ALP_1PRF: ALP First Preference Vote - Percentage

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 11.65-60.76] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3955 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=33.009 /-] [StdDev=10.171 /-]
Notes	AEC election result information for respondent's electoral division - sourced from http://results.aec.gov.au/17496/Website/HouseDownloadsMenu-17496-csv.htm See Note in Related Materials for further information on derivation of variables.

LP_1PRF: Liberal First Preference Vote - Percentage

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-65.47] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3955 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=32.034 /-] [StdDev=21.816 /-]
Notes	AEC election result information for respondent's electoral division - sourced from http://results.aec.gov.au/17496/Website/HouseDownloadsMenu-17496-csv.htm See Note in Related Materials for further information on derivation of variables.

LNP_1PRF: Liberal-National Party (QLD) First Preference Vote - Percentage

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-57.42] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3955 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=9.118 /-] [StdDev=18.392 /-]
Notes	AEC election result information for respondent's electoral division - sourced from http://results.aec.gov.au/17496/Website/HouseDownloadsMenu-17496-csv.htm See Note in Related Materials for further information on derivation of variables.

NP_1PRF: National Party First Preference Vote - Percentage

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-64.01] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3955 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=4.237 /-] [StdDev=13.542 /-]
Notes	AEC election result information for respondent's electoral division - sourced from http://results.aec.gov.au/17496/Website/HouseDownloadsMenu-17496-csv.htm See Note in Related Materials for further information on derivation of variables.

CLP_1PRF: Country Liberal Party First Preference Vote - Percentage

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-44.69] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3955 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=0.321 /-] [StdDev=3.682 /-]
Notes	AEC election result information for respondent's electoral division - sourced from http://results.aec.gov.au/17496/Website/HouseDownloadsMenu-17496-csv.htm See Note in Related Materials for further information on derivation of variables.

OTH_1PRF: Other Parties First Preference Vote - Percentage

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 9.22-52.02] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3955 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=21.281 /-] [StdDev=6.619 /-]
Notes	AEC election result information for respondent's electoral division - sourced from http://results.aec.gov.au/17496/Website/HouseDownloadsMenu-17496-csv.htm See Note in Related Materials for further information on derivation of variables.

ALP_2PP: ALP Two-Party Preferred Vote - 2 party preferred (vs LNP)

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 26.34-70.98] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3955 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=46.237 /-] [StdDev=10.371 /-]
Notes	AEC election result information for respondent's electoral division - sourced from http://results.aec.gov.au/17496/Website/HouseDownloadsMenu-17496-csv.htm See Note in Related Materials for further information on derivation of variables.

LNC_2PP: ALP Two-Party Preferred Vote - 2 party preferred (vs ALP)

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 29.02-73.66] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3955 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=53.763 /-] [StdDev=10.371 /-]
Notes	AEC election result information for respondent's electoral division - sourced from http://results.aec.gov.au/17496/Website/HouseDownloadsMenu-17496-csv.htm See Note in Related Materials for further information on derivation of variables.

STATEAB: State

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3955 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Notes	AEC election result information for respondent's electoral division - sourced from http://results.aec.gov.au/17496/Website/HouseDownloadsMenu-17496-csv.htm See Note in Related Materials for further information on derivation of variables.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
ACT		58	1.5%
NSW		1223	30.9%
NT		30	0.8%
QLD		792	20.0%
SA		347	8.8%
TAS		159	4.0%
VIC		968	24.5%
WA		378	9.6%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ENROLMNT: Enrolment - number of enrolled voters in division

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 63267-138047] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3955 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=98202.5 /-] [StdDev=8357.485 /-]
Notes	AEC election result information for respondent's electoral division - sourced from http://results.aec.gov.au/17496/Website/HouseDownloadsMenu-17496-csv.htm See Note in Related Materials for further information on derivation of variables.

TURNOUT: Turnout - number of voters that voted in the election

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 49715-130394] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3955 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=91704.377 /-] [StdDev=8051.806 /-]
Notes	AEC election result information for respondent's electoral division - sourced from http://results.aec.gov.au/17496/Website/HouseDownloadsMenu-17496-csv.htm See Note in Related Materials for further information on derivation of variables.

TURNPCT: Turnout percentage - turnout as a percentage of enrolled voters

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 75.4000015258789-95.6800003051758] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3955 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=93.372 /-] [StdDev=1.698 /-]
Notes	AEC election result information for respondent's electoral division - sourced from http://results.aec.gov.au/17496/Website/HouseDownloadsMenu-17496-csv.htm See Note in Related Materials for further information on derivation of variables.

TURNSWG: Turnout swing - change in the turnout percentage compared to 2010

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= -1.88999998569489-2.25] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3955 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=0.0279 /-] [StdDev=0.663 /-]
Notes	AEC election result information for respondent's electoral division - sourced from http://results.aec.gov.au/17496/Website/HouseDownloadsMenu-17496-csv.htm See Note in Related Materials for further information on derivation of variables.

ORDVOTES: Number of ordinary votes in division

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 45039-116528] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3955 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=76098.921 /-] [StdDev=7955.162 /-]
Notes	AEC election result information for respondent's electoral division - sourced from http://results.aec.gov.au/17496/Website/HouseDownloadsMenu-17496-csv.htm See Note in Related Materials for further information on derivation of variables.

ABSVOTES: Number of absent votes in division

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 989-7988] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3955 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=4315.439 /-] [StdDev=1353.599 /-]
Notes	AEC election result information for respondent's electoral division - sourced from http://results.aec.gov.au/17496/Website/HouseDownloadsMenu-17496-csv.htm See Note in Related Materials for further information on derivation of variables.

PRVVOTES: Number of provisional votes in division

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 89-696] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3955 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=316.399 /-] [StdDev=117.032 /-]
Notes	AEC election result information for respondent's electoral division - sourced from http://results.aec.gov.au/17496/Website/HouseDownloadsMenu-17496-csv.htm See Note in Related Materials for further information on derivation of variables.

PREVOTES: Number of prepoll votes in division

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1810-6322] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3955 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=3406.515 /-] [StdDev=1019.725 /-]
Notes	AEC election result information for respondent's electoral division - sourced from http://results.aec.gov.au/17496/Website/HouseDownloadsMenu-17496-csv.htm See Note in Related Materials for further information on derivation of variables.

POSVOTES: Number of postal votes in division

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1566-13057] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3955 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=7567.102 /-] [StdDev=2023.898 /-]
Notes	AEC election result information for respondent's electoral division - sourced from http://results.aec.gov.au/17496/Website/HouseDownloadsMenu-17496-csv.htm See Note in Related Materials for further information on derivation of variables.

TOTVOTES: Total number of votes in division

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 49715-130394] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3955 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=91704.377 /-] [StdDev=8051.806 /-]
Notes	AEC election result information for respondent's electoral division - sourced from http://results.aec.gov.au/17496/Website/HouseDownloadsMenu-17496-csv.htm See Note in Related Materials for further information on derivation of variables.

TOTPCT: Turnout - total votes as a percentage of enrolled voters

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 75.4000015258789-95.6800003051758] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3955 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=93.372 /-] [StdDev=1.698 /-]

TOTPCT: Turnout - total votes as a percentage of enrolled voters

Notes	AEC election result information for respondent's electoral division - sourced from http://results.aec.gov.au/17496/Website/HouseDownloadsMenu-17496-csv.htm See Note in Related Materials for further information on derivation of variables.

FORVOTES: Number of Formal votes in division

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 46019-125535] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3955 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=86443.145 /-] [StdDev=7792.778 /-]
Notes	AEC election result information for respondent's electoral division - sourced from http://results.aec.gov.au/17496/Website/HouseDownloadsMenu-17496-csv.htm See Note in Related Materials for further information on derivation of variables.

INFVOTES: Number of Informal votes in division

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 2428-12814] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3955 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=5261.231 /-] [StdDev=1805.704 /-]
Notes	AEC election result information for respondent's electoral division - sourced from http://results.aec.gov.au/17496/Website/HouseDownloadsMenu-17496-csv.htm See Note in Related Materials for further information on derivation of variables.

INFPCT: Informal votes as a percentage of total votes in division

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 3.25-13.9499998092651] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3955 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=5.73 /-] [StdDev=1.898 /-]
Notes	AEC election result information for respondent's electoral division - sourced from http://results.aec.gov.au/17496/Website/HouseDownloadsMenu-17496-csv.htm See Note in Related Materials for further information on derivation of variables.

INFSWING: Informal Swing - change in the informal percentage compared to 2007

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= -2.22000002861023-2.57999992370605] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3955 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=0.322 /-] [StdDev=0.865 /-]
Notes	AEC election result information for respondent's electoral division - sourced from http://results.aec.gov.au/17496/Website/HouseDownloadsMenu-17496-csv.htm See Note in Related Materials for further information on derivation of variables.

CANDIDN: AEC 2010 Election Candidate identifier - 2CP non-elected candidate

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 23185-24865] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3955 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=23838.784 /-] [StdDev=493.698 /-]
Notes	AEC election result information for respondent's electoral division - sourced from http://results.aec.gov.au/17496/Website/HouseDownloadsMenu-17496-csv.htm See Note in Related Materials for further information on derivation of variables.

PARTYABN: Party of 2CP non-elected candidate

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3955 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Notes	AEC election result information for respondent's electoral division - sourced from http://results.aec.gov.au/17496/Website/HouseDownloadsMenu-17496-csv.htm See Note in Related Materials for further information on derivation of variables.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
ALP		2377	60.1%
CLP		11	0.3%
GRN		55	1.4%
IND		27	0.7%

PARTYABN: Party of 2CP non-elected candidate

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
LNP		218		5.5%
LP		1173		29.7%
NP		94		2.4%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

TOTVOTN: Number of votes for 2CP non-elected candidate

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 22355-50474] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3955 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=35780.899 /-] [StdDev=5449.009 /-]
Notes	AEC election result information for respondent's electoral division - sourced from http://results.aec.gov.au/17496/Website/HouseDownloadsMenu-17496-csv.htm See Note in Related Materials for further information on derivation of variables.

SWINGN: N swing

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= -14.3000001907349-49.9700012207031] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3955 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=0.93 /-] [StdDev=10.04 /-]
Notes	AEC election result information for respondent's electoral division - sourced from http://results.aec.gov.au/17496/Website/HouseDownloadsMenu-17496-csv.htm See Note in Related Materials for further information on derivation of variables.

CANDIDY: AEC 2010 Election Candidate identifier - 2CP elected candidate

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 20881-24739] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3955 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=23674.987 /-] [StdDev=499.638 /-]
Notes	AEC election result information for respondent's electoral division - sourced from http://results.aec.gov.au/17496/Website/HouseDownloadsMenu-17496-csv.htm See Note in Related Materials for further information on derivation of variables.

PARTYABY: Party of 2CP elected candidate

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3955 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Notes	AEC election result information for respondent's electoral division - sourced from http://results.aec.gov.au/17496/Website/HouseDownloadsMenu-17496-csv.htm See Note in Related Materials for further information on derivation of variables.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
ALP		1392	35.2%
CLP		19	0.5%
GRN		25	0.6%
IND		57	1.4%
KAP		32	0.8%
LNP		574	14.5%
LP		1578	39.9%
NP		247	6.2%
PUP		31	0.8%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

TOTVOTY: Number of votes for 2CP elected candidate

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 23413-78614] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3955 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=50662.247 /-] [StdDev=7264.045 /-]
Notes	AEC election result information for respondent's electoral division - sourced from http://results.aec.gov.au/17496/Website/HouseDownloadsMenu-17496-csv.htm See Note in Related Materials for further information on derivation of variables.

SWINGY: Swing in pct vote for 2CP elected candidate

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= -16.1499996185303-65.1999969482422] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3955 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=3.04 /-] [StdDev=12.037 /-]
Notes	AEC election result information for respondent's electoral division - sourced from http://results.aec.gov.au/17496/Website/HouseDownloadsMenu-17496-csv.htm See Note in Related Materials for further information on derivation of variables.