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The current DDI DataCapture model is a parsimonious and robust model that can document a variety of data capture contexts and instruments. In particular, its invocation of the Process model has streamlined the model so that many specific instrument functions, processes, and controls can be represented in the Process model instead of Data Capture description. This approach is also sympathetic to the modelling principle that there should not be more than one way to describe/document one thing. Indeed, the current DataCapture model appears capable of describing many things with a circumscribed set of modelling objects.

To my way of thinking, the Process model should replicate any type of instrument control, including elements currently found in DDI 3.2 such as QuestionGrid and QuestionBlock. As such, I argue that these elements are unnecessary in the DDI 4 DataCapture model.

1. Starting with QuestionGrid: “Structures the QuestionGrid as an NCube-like structure providing dimension information, labeling options, and response domains attached to one or more cells within the grid.”
	1. This definition suggests that the grid is a way of describing and locating cells (question items) in a table (grid), such that in its simplest form, one response to one question is the cell in a 1x1 grid. This distinction seems semantical; one can also identify a cell by its question number or identifier or label, and can define its “location” via the Process model (its location in a sequence). If a question grid can represent or locate a cell as a specific sequence of if-then-else steps, then the Process model can adequately represent a question grid.
		1. To elaborate, *a question grid is more appropriately considered a modal artifact* (a characteristic of a specific administration mode) than an object in its own right.
			1. Consider a household roster that enumerates and characterizes the members of a respondent’s household. In a self-administered mode, it makes sense to represent the series of questions in a HH roster to the respondent in grid form. This mode of administration eases the data entry (by the respondent), and data capture, transcription, and cleaning (by the data “capturer”). But the question grid is indigenous to this mode of administration, and is not a necessary individual element of a comprehensive data capture model because the same series of HH roster questions could be administered in a phone survey by an interviewer using CAI software. In this instance, a grid is not only unnecessary but could prove inefficient to administer and code by the control language used in the CAI program.
		2. The mode-related issues associated with QuestionGrid dovetail somewhat with display-related characteristics that DDI has traditionally avoided describing, i.e., typography, fonts, size, graphic design, layout, etc. Yet this information is legitimate metadata that is critical to accurately describing some instruments and measures.
			1. The Process model, along with the ExternalAid object in DataCapture, should be able to adequately document all of the elements in this data capture context, i.e., a set of related questions administered in sequence in a specific mode with specific display characteristics. (Or should it?)
		3. Taken to its logical extreme, if DDI accepts the QuestionGrid object as a mode-specific series of related itemized questions like those found in a HH roster, then what is to keep DDI from introducing other mode-specific elements in DDI 4 that describe evermore idiosyncratic data capture situations? Extend the QuestionGrid situation to other domains (e.g. clinical measures, cognitive assessments, laboratory experiments, multi-media product development research, etc.) and DataCapture becomes a top-heavy model overpopulated with objects that describe a specific mode.
2. Another example of potentially unnecessary objects in DDI 3.2 is QuestionBlock. “A QuestionBlock is a specific structure used in educational and other types of testing where an object (Stimulus Material) is provided and a set of questions are asked regarding the object.”
	1. QuestionBlock does not have the same modal overtures that QuestionGrid does, but it is another idiosyncratic data capture situation that is using an object designed specifically to describe one type of data capture situation. The instrument and/or measures used in a QuestionBlock situation are not that different from any other survey-type data capture. As such, the specific characteristics that make it unique (such as use of Stimulus Material, a characteristic shared by many other instrument types like intelligence tests and cognitive assessments) are more appropriately described by invoking ExternalAid within DataCapture, or by moving this description to the Methodology model.
3. Ultimately, the inclusion of Grid and Block in the model (as individual objects) should be determined by whether use cases can or cannot be implemented by using the current DataCapture model. If the Grid or Block use cases break the current model then such objects should be appended, and there should be some forethought how/where they would be included.
	1. If Grid and Block are unnecessary as individual objects in DataCapture, then ***an alternative scheme needs to be offered that clearly describes where/how such data captures are described in the new DDI 4 model***. Some parties have been resistant to removing Grid and Block because they have been used quite extensively in some situations and integrated into workflows. This is a legitimate objection, but not a sufficient reason for their inclusion in a new model-based DataCapture view. Nonetheless, use cases for Grids and Blocks need to describe where/how they live in the DataCapture model. In InstrumentComponent, Measurement, ExternalAid, Statement, through a combination of these objects?

The resolution of the above issues will determine which of two directions the development of the DataCapture model proceeds: (1) a elegant model able to describe many data capture processes using a minimum of robust elements or descriptors; or (2) a complicated model able to describe many data capture processes with an ever-expanding set (as new data capture situations are invented or described) of individual and highly specific elements.