## Comments and proposals to slide decks.

General remarks - we have different DDI standards (Codebook, Lifecycle and very soon will be CDI) and even different versions within one standard, I would suggest to add to the specific slide decks references (if there is already no reference in the content) which standards and versions these covers, even if some of them (or all?) is common for all.

### DDITL\_02\_B\_What\_Is\_DDI

**Slide 9**:

I would strongly suggest adding to the list also the Statistical Offices as an example. One of the objectives could be to share these slide decks also within Statistical Offices, but it's very difficult to promote DDI within Statistical Offices unless there are no examples of them who already applying DDI. Even under the link provided, this information will not come out. Or is this related to the registration in the DDI Alliance?

Denmark, France, Canada, New Zealand, Australia, Estonia, etc.? (I don't know all of them, but would be nice to know).

### DDITL\_04

Amend the title - based on the content it is not only for the listed professions, but also for statisticians and analytics, and even for all who are interested on data. The title could be more general and metadata-oriented.

**Slide 3:**

Typo - Data comes from more, different sources

**Slide 12:**

Typo - Data has historically has a very short life-span, even though it can be a valuable resource

DDITL\_08

**Slide 16**

I would suggest adding the “as of *date*” to this review. Life will go on and the state of the tools may change as well.

### DDITL\_09

**Slide 20**

The blue frame seems to be too large.

**Slide 22**

For better reading, it would probably be better to change the sign of a single variable to a small one.

### DDITL\_11\_1

**Slide 3.**

In the comments: I agree with Hayley. We have had the cases when people do not make differences between variable and question.

### DDITL\_B\_11\_2

**Slide 3**

Typo - This allows for reuse of components within or between ~~instrument~~ instruments

**Slide 5**

Typo - Numeirc -> Numeric

### DDITL\_12-2

**Slides 3, 5, 10**

DDI – C / DDI – L, why not -> DDI-C / DDI-L?

**Slide 6:**

There is a typo under the first bullet in the second list - The are ... -> They are ...

The 3rd block "Codes must be unique" is in my opinion inconsistent with the following points. The same character string (Code) is possible to use in different CodeLists, but just within one CodeList it "must be" unique.

Codelist -> CodeList

### DDITL\_14

Would it be possible to add some illustrative schemes to visualize the relationship between Data Set and Data File?

As far I know Data File itself is not an item type of DDI, but would be very interesting how the Data File and/or DataSet is/are or not connected to the DDI item types like PhysicalStructure, RecordLayout. DataProduct, PhysicalInstance, and some more item types. (DDI 3.3) Actually, this is quit complicated topic starting already with DataSet and its relations to Record Set, Item Set, and Variable Set. But the other hand this is also very important topic to understand how exactly document the Data Sets a